The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information 41-37-56 = 080-09-39 = -								
Pennsylvania [42] Crawford County [039]		Meadville [48360] MERCER STREET,MEADVILLE			41.632222	80.160833		
207301882130160 Highway agency district 1		Owner County Highway	Owner County Highway Agency [02] Maintenance responsibility		esponsibility	County Highway A	Agency [02]	
Route 7301		MERCER STREET	Toll On fre	ree road [3] Features intersected OVER FRENCH CREEK				
Design - main  Steel [3] Design - approach  Truss - Thru [10] 0 Other		Skew angle 0 Structure Flared			ot determinable at t	his time. [4]		
Historical significance   Historical significance is not determinable at this time. [4]  Total length   67.4 m = 221.1 ft   Length of maximum span   65.2 m = 213.9 ft   Deck width, out-to-out   9.6 m = 31.5 ft   Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb   9.1 m = 29.9 ft    Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance   9.1 m = 29.9 ft   Curb or sidewalk width - left   1.7 m = 5.6 ft   Curb or sidewalk width - right   1.7 m = 5.6 ft								
Deck structure type  Concrete Cast-in-Place [1]								
Type of wearing surface Monolithic Concrete (			e (concurrently placed with structural deck) [1]					
Deck protection								
Type of membrane/wearing surface								
Weight Limits								
Bypass, detour length  0.1 km = 0.1 mi	Wethou to determine inventory ruting		` ' ' '		, ,	29 metric ton = 3 48.1 metric ton =		
Bridge posting Equal to or above legal loads [5]			Des	sign Load M 13	3.5 / H 15 [2]			

Functional Details									
Average Daily Traffic 9000 Average daily tr	uck traffi % Year 2006 Future average daily traffic 11250 Year 2026								
Road classification Local (Urban) [19]	Lanes on structure 2 Approach roadway width 9.1 m = 29.9 ft								
Type of service on bridge Highway-pedestrian [5]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2]  Bridge median								
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	e exists. [N]								
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control								
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A								
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge 0 m = 0.0 ft  Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 4 m = 13.1 ft									
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]									
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A  Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A									
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]									
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]									
Repair and Replacement Plans									
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]								
Replacement of bridge or other structure because of substandard load carrying capacity or substantial	Bridge improvement cost 0 Roadway improvement cost 0								
bridge roadway geometry. [31]	Length of structure improvement 84 m = 275.6 ft Total project cost 2000								
	Year of improvement cost estimate 2002								
	Border bridge - state  Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state								
	Border bridge - structure number								

Inspection and Sufficiency										
Structure status Posted for lo	ad [P]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Equal to present minimum criteria [6]							
Condition ratings - superstructur Satisfactory [6]		Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment								
Condition ratings - substructure	Satisfactory [6]	Appraisal ratings -	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of replacement [2]							
Condition ratings - deck Satisfactory [6]		deck geometry								
Scour	Bridge is scour c	Bridge is scour critical; bridge foundations determined to be unstable. [3]								
Channel and channel protection	Bank protection i Banks and/or cha	Bank protection is in need of minor repairs. River control devices and embankment protection have a little minor damage.  Banks and/or channel have minor amounts of drift. [7]								
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	Better than preso	ent minimum criteria [7]	Status evaluation	Functionally obsolete [2]						
Pier or abutment protection			Sufficiency rating	71.4						
Culverts Not applicable. Used if structure is not a culvert. [N]										
Traffic safety features - railings		npected feature meets currently acce	eature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]							
Traffic safety features - transition	ns	npected feature meets currently acce	ected feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]							
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail	npected feature meets currently acce	cted feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]							
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail ends	npected feature meets currently acce	ature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]							
Inspection date December 2008 [1208] Designated inspection frequency 24 Months										
Underwater inspection	Every two years [Y24]	Underwater inspec	December 200	8 [1208]						
Fracture critical inspection	Not needed [N]	Fracture critical ins	spection date							
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special insp	ection date							