

# HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

2009 Inventory

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportation departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

## Basic Information

Pennsylvania [42]		Juniata County [067]		Mifflintown [49304]		MIFFLIN		40-34-10 = 40.569444		077-24-05 = - 77.401389	
340035053000000		Highway agency district: 2		Owner State Highway Agency [01]		Maintenance responsibility		State Highway Agency [01]			
Route 35		SR 35-PA 35		Toll On free road [3]		Features intersected JUNIATA RIVER					
Design - main Steel [3]		Design - approach		Kilometerpoint 3969.1 km = 2460.8 mi							
4		Truss - Thru [10]		0		Other [00]		Year built 1937		Year reconstructed 1972	
								Skew angle 0		Structure Flared	
								Historical significance Bridge is not eligible for the NRHP. [5]			
Total length 210.3 m = 690.0 ft		Length of maximum span 52.4 m = 171.9 ft		Deck width, out-to-out 7.8 m = 25.6 ft		Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 7.2 m = 23.6 ft					
Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 7.2 m = 23.6 ft		Curb or sidewalk width - left 1.5 m = 4.9 ft		Curb or sidewalk width - right 0.2 m = 0.7 ft							
Deck structure type		Concrete Cast-in-Place [1]									
Type of wearing surface		Monolithic Concrete (concurrently placed with structural deck) [1]									
Deck protection											
Type of membrane/wearing surface											

## Weight Limits

Bypass, detour length 1.3 km = 0.8 mi		Method to determine inventory rating		Load Factor(LF) [1]		Inventory rating 30.8 metric ton = 33.9 tons	
		Method to determine operating rating		Load Factor(LF) [1]		Operating rating 51.7 metric ton = 56.9 tons	
Bridge posting		Equal to or above legal loads [5]		Design Load		M 13.5 / H 15 [2]	

## Functional Details

Average Daily Traffic	8641	Average daily truck traffi	9	%	Year	2008	Future average daily traffic	2720	Year	2029
Road classification	Major Collector (Rural) [07]		Lanes on structure	2		Approach roadway width	7.2 m = 23.6 ft			
Type of service on bridge	Highway-pedestrian [5]		Direction of traffic	2 - way traffic [2]		Bridge median				
Parallel structure designation	No parallel structure exists. [N]									
Type of service under bridge	Waterway [5]		Lanes under structure	0		Navigation control				
Navigation vertical clearanc	0 = N/A		Navigation horizontal clearance	0 = N/A						
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge	0 m = 0.0 ft					Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway	4 m = 13.1 ft			
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature	Feature not a highway or railroad [N]									
Minimum lateral underclearance on right	0 = N/A					Minimum lateral underclearance on left	0 = N/A			
Minimum Vertical Underclearance	0 = N/A		Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature	Feature not a highway or railroad [N]						
Appraisal ratings - underclearances	N/A [N]									

## Repair and Replacement Plans

Type of work to be performed	Work done by	Work to be done by contract [1]		
Replacement of bridge or other structure because of substandard load carrying capacity or substantial bridge roadway geometry. [31]	Bridge improvement cost	0	Roadway improvement cost	1000
	Length of structure improvement	210 m = 689.0 ft	Total project cost	6000
	Year of improvement cost estimate			
	Border bridge - state		Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state	
	Border bridge - structure number			

## Inspection and Sufficiency

Structure status	Open, no restriction [A]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4]
Condition ratings - superstructure	Poor [4]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Better than present minimum criteria [7]
Condition ratings - substructure	Poor [4]	Appraisal ratings - deck geometry	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of replacement [2]
Condition ratings - deck	Satisfactory [6]		
Scour	Bridge foundations determined to be stable for assessed or calculated scour conditions; field review indicates action is required. [4]		
Channel and channel protection	Bank and embankment protection is severely undermined. River control devices have severe damage. Large deposits of debris are in the channel. [4]		
Appraisal ratings - water adequacy	Equal to present minimum criteria [6]	Status evaluation	Structurally deficient [1]
Pier or abutment protection		Sufficiency rating	39
Culverts	Not applicable. Used if structure is not a culvert. [N]		
Traffic safety features - railings			
Traffic safety features - transitions			
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail			
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail ends			
Inspection date	March 2008 [0308]	Designated inspection frequency	12 Months
Underwater inspection	Every two years [Y24]	Underwater inspection date	October 2008 [1008]
Fracture critical inspection	Not needed [N]	Fracture critical inspection date	
Other special inspection	Every year [Y12]	Other special inspection date	March 2009 [0309]