The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information							40-29-29 =	080-04-47 = -	
Pennsylvania [42]	Allegheny County [003]		Neville [53136]	OB04 FLEMING PA	DB04 FLEMING PARK BRDG			80.079722	
027110623024940 Highway agency district 11		Owner County Highway	Owner County Highway Agency [02]		e responsibility	County Highway Agency [02]			
Route 0 FLEMING PARK BR			Toll On fre	Toll On free road [3] Features intersected OHIO RIVE			R BC & CSXT RR		
Design - Steel [3] main  Truss - Thru [	10]	Design - approach  4 Girde	[3] r and floorbeam system [03]	Year built 1955	Structure F			his time [4]	
Historical significance   Historical significance is not determinable at this time. [4]  Total length   246 m = 807.1 ft   Length of maximum span   110 m = 360.9 ft   Deck width, out-to-out   17.6 m = 57.7 ft   Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb   15.3 m = 50.2 ft    Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance   7.9 m = 25.9 ft   Curb or sidewalk width - left   0 m = 0.0 ft   Curb or sidewalk width - right   1.7 m = 5.6 ft									
Deck structure type	C	Concrete Cast-in-Pla	ce [1]						
Type of wearing surface Monolithic		Monolithic Concrete (	nolithic Concrete (concurrently placed with structural deck) [1]						
Deck protection Epox		poxy Coated Reinforcing [1]							
Type of membrane/wear	ing surface								
Weight Limits									
Bypass, detour length  1.9 km = 1.2 mi  Method to determine inventory rating  Method to determine operating rating		Load Factor(LF) [1]	In	ventory rating	25.4 metric ton =	27.9 tons			
		Load Factor(LF) [1]		Operating rating 42.6 metric ton =		46.9 tons			
Bridge posting Equal to or above legal loads [5]				D	Design Load M 13.5 / H 15 [2]				

Functional Details	
Average Daily Traffic 5888 Average daily to	ruck traffi 30 % Year 2005 Future average daily traffic 6500 Year 2022
Road classification Other Principal Arterial (Urban)	[14] Lanes on structure 4 Approach roadway width 15.2 m = 49.9 ft
Type of service on bridge Highway-pedestrian [5]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2]  Bridge median Closed median with non-mountable bar
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	e exists. [N]
Type of service under bridge Railroad-waterway [7]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bri	Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 5 m = 16.4 ft
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature	dailroad beneath structure [R]
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A	Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 7 m = 23.0 ft	Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Railroad beneath structure [R]
Appraisal ratings - underclearances Basically intoler	able requiring high priority of corrrective action [3]
Repair and Replacement Plans	
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by owner's forces [2]
Bridge rehabilitation because of general structure	
deterioration or inadequate strength. [35]	Bridge improvement cost 0 Roadway improvement cost 1000
	Length of structure improvement 308 m = 1010.5 ft Total project cost 3000
	Year of improvement cost estimate
	Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state
	Border bridge - structure number

Inspection and Sufficiency								
Structure status Open, no res	triction [A]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Equal to present minimum criteria [6]					
Condition ratings - superstructur	Satisfactory [6]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Meets minim	to be left in place as is [4]				
Condition ratings - substructure	Satisfactory [6]	Appraisal ratings -	Basically into	igh priority of corrrective action [3]				
Condition ratings - deck	Satisfactory [6]	deck geometry						
Scour	Bridge is scour critical; brid	Bridge is scour critical; bridge foundations determined to be unstable. [3]						
Channel and channel protection		Bank protection is in need of minor repairs. River control devices and embankment protection have a little minor damage. Banks and/or channel have minor amounts of drift. [7]						
Appraisal ratings - water adequace	y Superior to present desira	Superior to present desirable criteria [9]			Functionally obsolete [2]			
Pier or abutment protection				ufficiency rating	54.7			
Culverts Not applicable. Used	if structure is not a culvert. [N]							
Traffic safety features - railings	eature meets currently acce	ure meets currently acceptable standards. [1]						
Traffic safety features - transitions								
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail								
Traffic safety features - approach	eature meets currently acce	ure meets currently acceptable standards. [1]						
Inspection date October 2009	Designated in	spection frequency 24	ction frequency 24 Months					
Underwater inspection Unknown [Y48]		Underwater inspec	ction date	November 2004	4 [1104]			
Fracture critical inspection Not needed [N]		Fracture critical in:	spection date					
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special insp	ection date					