The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Informa	ation									40-28-15 =	080-02-13 = -
Pennsylvania [42] Allegheny County [003]			Pittsbur	Pittsburgh [61000] OVER ECKERT ST					40.470833	80.036944	
020065002015290 Highway agency district 11			Owner	Owner State Highway Agency [01] Maintenance responsibility			State Highway A	gency [01]			
Route 65 North [1] OHIO RIVER BL				Toll On free road [3] Features intersected ECKERT ST				REET			
Design - main Concrete [1] Arch - Deck [11]		approach	approach		Kilometerpoint 383.2 km = 237.6 mi Year built 1930 Year reconstructed 1994 Skew angle 0 Structure Flared Historical significance Bridge is not eligible for the NRHP. [5]						
Total length 155.4 m = 509.9 ft Length of maximum span 82.3 m = 270.0 ft Deck width, out-to-out 18.6 m = 61.0 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 14.9 m = 48.9 ft Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 7.5 m = 24.6 ft Curb or sidewalk width - left 1.5 m = 4.9 ft Curb or sidewalk width - right 0.3 m = 1.0 ft											
Deck structure type Concrete Cast-in-Place				in-Place [1]	ace [1]						
Type of wearing surface Monolithic Concrete (crete (concurre	e (concurrently placed with structural deck) [1]							
Deck protection											
Type of membrane/wearing surface											
Weight Limits	S										
			etermine inventory	rating Lo	Load Factor(LF) [1]		Inv	entory rating	48.1 metric ton =	52.9 tons	
1.1 km = 0.7 r	mi	Method to determine operating rating			Load Factor(LF) [1]		Ор	erating rating	80.7 metric ton =	: 88.8 tons	
Bridge posting Equal to or above leg			oove legal loads	al loads [5]		De	Design Load M 13.5 / H 15 [2]				

Functional Details							
Average Daily Traffic 15376 Average daily tr	ruck traffi 7 % Year 2009 Future average daily traffic 36000 Year 2027						
Road classification	yays or Exp Lanes on structure 4 Approach roadway width 12.2 m = 40.0 ft						
Type of service on bridge Highway-pedestrian [5]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2] Bridge median Closed median with non-mountable bar						
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	re exists. [N]						
Type of service under bridge Highway, with or without	put ped Lanes under structure 2 Navigation control Not applicable, no waterway. [N]						
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A						
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 99.99 m = 328.1 ft							
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature H	lighway beneath structure [H]						
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 10.7 m = 35.1 ft Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A							
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 15.24 m = 50.0 ft	Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Highway beneath structure [H]						
Appraisal ratings - underclearances Superior to pres	sent desirable criteria [9]						
Repair and Replacement Plans							
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by owner's forces [2]						
Bridge rehabilitation because of general structure deterioration or inadequate strength. [35]	Bridge improvement cost 0 Roadway improvement cost 0						
deterioration of induceduate strength. [50]	Length of structure improvement 155.4 m = 509.9 ft Total project cost 1000						
	Year of improvement cost estimate						
	Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state						
	Border bridge - structure number						

Inspection and Sufficiency									
Structure status Open, no res	striction [A]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Equal to present minimum criteria [6]						
Condition ratings - superstructur	Satisfactory [6]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment Equal to present desirable criteria [8]						
Condition ratings - substructure	Satisfactory [6]	Appraisal ratings -	Basically intolerable requiring	high priority of replacement [2]					
Condition ratings - deck	Good [7]	deck geometry							
Scour	Bridge not over waterw	Bridge not over waterway. [N]							
Channel and channel protection	Not applicable. [N]	Not applicable. [N]							
'	11	app.::saa.s. []							
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	N/A [N]		Status evaluation	Functionally obsolete [2]					
Pier or abutment protection			Sufficiency rating	70.8					
Culverts Not applicable. Used	if structure is not a culvert. [N]								
T (C) () ()		16.1							
Traffic safety features - railings		ed feature meets currently acce	eptable standards. [1]						
Traffic safety features - transition Traffic safety features - approach		ed feature meets currently acce	feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]						
Traffic safety features - approach			ture meets currently acceptable standards. [1]						
Inspection date March 2009		ated inspection frequency 24 Months							
	Not needed [N]	Underwater inspection date							
Fracture critical inspection	Not needed [N]	Fracture critical in:	Fracture critical inspection date						
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special insp							