## HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information							40-20-36 =	078-53-03 = -
Pennsylvania [42] Ca	ambria County [021]		East Conemaugh [20992] FRANKLIN BOROUGH				40.343333	78.884167
110271028000000 Highway agency district: 9			Owner Railroad [27]	Owner Railroad [27] Maintenance responsibility			State Highway Ag	ency [01]
Route 271 PA 271 FRANK-E.CON Toll On free road [3] Features intersected RR,BORO ST.,L.CONE.RIVER								
Design - Steel [3] main		Design - Stee	l [3]	Kilometerpoint Year built 192	1882.1 km = 1160	6.9 mi econstructed 198	DA .	
1 Truss - Thru [10] 8		8 Mixe	ed types [20]	Skew angle 0			04	
				Historical signifi	icance Bridge	is not eligible for	the NRHP. [5]	
Total length 228.6 m = 7	750.0 ft Leng	gth of maximum s	pan 53 m = 173.9 ft	Deck width, or	ut-to-out 7.6 m = 24.	9 ft Bridge roa	adway width, curb-to-c	7.3  m = 24.0  ft
Inventory Route, Total Ho	orizontal Clearance	7.3 m = 24.0 ft	Curb or sidewalk v	width - left 0 m	= 0.0 ft	Curb or sid	lewalk width - right	1.9 m = 6.2 ft
Deck structure type	Co	ncrete Cast-in-Pl	ace [1]					
Type of wearing surface Monolithic Concrete (co.			(concurrently placed with st	oncurrently placed with structural deck) [1]				
Deck protection								
Type of membrane/wearing	ng surface							
Weight Limits								
Bypass, detour length Method to determine inventory rating		Load Factor(LF) [1]		Inventory rating	18.1 metric ton	= 19.9 tons		
1.6 km = 1.0 mi  Method to determine operating rating		g Load Factor(LF) [1]	Load Factor(LF) [1]		46.3 metric ton	= 50.9 tons		
Bridge posting Equal to or above legal loads [5]				Design Load M	13.5 / H 15 [2]			

Functional Details								
Average Daily Traffic 6293 Average daily tr	uck traffi 9 % Year 2009 Future average daily tr	raffic 8755 Year 2024						
Road classification Other Principal Arterial (Urban)	[14] Lanes on structure 2	Approach roadway width 7.3 m = 24.0 ft						
Type of service on bridge Highway-pedestrian [5]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2]	Bridge median						
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	exists. [N]							
Type of service under bridge Highway-waterway-rail	road [8] Lanes under structure 2 Navigation cont	ntrol						
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/.	I/A						
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge 0 m = 0.0 ft  Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 4 m = 13.1 ft								
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Highway beneath structure [H]								
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 4 m = 13.1 ft  Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A								
Minimum Vertical Underclearance   6 m = 19.7 ft   Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature   Highway beneath structure [H]								
Appraisal ratings - underclearances Superior to present desirable criteria [9]								
Repair and Replacement Plans								
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]							
Other structural work, including hydraulic		way improvement cost 2000						
replacements. [38]	Length of structure improvement 286 m = 938.4 ft	Total project cost 8000						
	Year of improvement cost estimate 2008							
	Border bridge - state	order bridge - percent responsibility of other state						
	Border bridge - structure number							

Inspection and Sufficiency						
Structure status Open, no res	striction [A]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of corrrective action [3]			
Condition ratings - superstructure Poor [4]		Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4]			
Condition ratings - substructure	Serious [3]	Appraisal ratings - deck geometry	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of replacement [2]			
Condition ratings - deck	Satisfactory [6]					
Scour	Bridge foundations determine	d to be stable for assesse	ed or calculated scour condition. [	5]		
Channel and channel protection  Bank is beginning to slump. River control devices and embankment protection have widespread minor damage. There is minor stream bed movement evident. Debris is restricting the channel slightly. [6]						
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	Superior to present desirable	criteria [9]	Status evaluation	Structurally deficient [1]		
Pier or abutment protection			Sufficiency rating	3		
Culverts Not applicable. Used	if structure is not a culvert. [N]					
Traffic safety features - railings						
Traffic safety features - transitions						
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail						
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail ends					
Inspection date June 2009 [C	Designated inspe	ection frequency 12	Months			
Underwater inspection						
Fracture critical inspection	Not needed [N]	Fracture critical in:				
Other special inspection   Not needed [N]   Other special inspection date						