The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information								41-25-07 =	079-52-33 = -
Pennsylvania [42] Ven	nango County [121	Sugarcreek [7500		SUGAR	0] SUGAR CREEK BOROUGH			41.418611	79.875833
604010010009340 Highway agency district 1			Owner State	Owner State Highway Agency [01] Maintenance responsibility			State Highway Ago	ency [01]	
Route 0 SR 4010,SUGAR CR Toll On free road [3] Features intersected OVER SUGAR CREEK									
Design - Main Aluminum, Wrought Iron or Cast Iron [9] Design - Approach Truss - Thru [10] O C		approach	Kilometerpoint 663.4 km = 411.3 mi Year built 1920 Year reconstructed 1981 Skew angle 0 Structure Flared Historical significance Historical significance is r				nis time. [4]		
Total length 38.4 m = 126.0 ft Length of maximum span 37.5 m = 123.0 ft Deck width, out-to-out 5.5 m = 18.0 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 4.8 m = 15.7 ft Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 4.8 m = 15.7 ft Curb or sidewalk width - left 0 m = 0.0 ft Curb or sidewalk width - right 0 m = 0.0 ft									
Deck structure type Concrete Cast-in-Place [1]						3			
Type of wearing surface Bituminous [6]									
Deck protection Epoxy Co		ooxy Coated Rein	oxy Coated Reinforcing [1]						
Type of membrane/wearing surface Preformed Fabric [2]			2]						
Weight Limits									
Bypass, detour length 2.4 km = 1.5 mi Authority and to determine inventory ration		Ŭ	Load Factor(LF) [1]		entory rating	26.3 metric ton =			
Method to determine operating rating			•	Load Factor(LF) [1]		Operating rating 44.5 metric ton = 49.0		= 49.0 tons	
Bridge posting Equal to or above legal loads [5]					Des	sign Load M	13.5 / H 15 [2]		

Functional Details							
Average Daily Traffic 1092 Average daily tru	ıck traffi 2 % Year 2010 Future average daily traffic 1758 Year 2025						
Road classification Minor Collector (Rural) [08]	Lanes on structure 2 Approach roadway width 5.2 m = 17.1 ft						
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic One lane bridge for 2 - way traffic [3] Bridge median						
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	exists. [N]						
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control						
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A						
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge 0 m = 0.0 ft Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 4 m = 13.1 ft							
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]							
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A							
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]							
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]							
Repair and Replacement Plans							
Type of work to be performed	Work done by						
Type of work to be performed	Work done by						
	Bridge improvement cost 0 Roadway improvement cost 0						
	Length of structure improvement $0 \text{ m} = 0.0 \text{ ft}$ Total project cost 0						
	Year of improvement cost estimate						
	Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state						
	Border bridge - structure number						

Inspection and Sufficiency									
Structure status Open, no res	triction [A]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Somewhat better than minimum adequacy to tolerate being left in place as is [5]						
Condition ratings - superstructur	Fair [5]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Equal to present desirable criteria [8]						
Condition ratings - substructure	Satisfactory [6]	Appraisal ratings -	N/A [N]						
Condition ratings - deck	Fair [5]	deck geometry							
Scour	Bridge is scour critical; brid	lge is scour critical; bridge foundations determined to be unstable. [3]							
Channel and channel protection	Bank protection is being er channel. [5]	Bank protection is being eroded. River control devices and/or embankment have major damage. Trees and rush restrict the channel. [5]							
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	Better than present minimum	um criteria [7]	Status evaluation						
Pier or abutment protection			Sufficiency rating 57.1						
Culverts Not applicable. Used if structure is not a culvert. [N]									
Traffic safety features - railings									
Traffic safety features - transition	Inpected f	Inpected feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]							
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail								
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail ends									
Inspection date									
•	Not needed [N]	Underwater inspe							
- L	Every two years [Y24] Unknown [Y06]	Fracture critical in							
Other special inspection	Spection date July 2009 [0709]								