## HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information							40-20-16 =	078-53-44 = -
Pennsylvania [42] Cambria County [021]			Johnstown [38288] 0.7 MILE SOUT		JTH OF SR 3029		40.337778	78.895556
110271026007910 Highway agency district: 9			Owner Railroad [27]	ailroad [27] Maintenance responsibility			State Highway Age	ency [01]
Route 271	P	A 271 MAPLE AVE	Toll On fre	e road [3]	Features intersed	cted L.CONEMA	.RIV;NORFOLK STH	
Design - Steel [3] main  2 Truss - The	ru [10]	Design - approach  3 String	[3] er/Multi-beam or girder [02]	Kilometerpoint Year built  Skew angle  Historical signifi	Structure F	constructed 1997		
Total length 284.7 n Inventory Route, Total	n = 934.1 ft al Horizontal Cleara	Length of maximum sp ance 12.9 m = 42.3 ft	an 146.3 m = 480.0 ft  Curb or sidewalk wi	Deck width, or	ut-to-out 14 m = 45.9 m = 5.2 ft	ft Bridge road		12.9 m = 42.3 ft 1.6 m = 5.2 ft
Deck structure type		Closed Grating [4]						
Type of wearing surface Latex Concrete or simi		nilar additive [3]						
Deck protection								
Type of membrane/w	earing surface							
Weight Limits								
Bypass, detour lengt	Wethou to determine inventory ruling		Load Factor(LF) [1]		Inventory rating	32.7 metric ton = 36.0 tons		
1.6 km = 1.0 mi  Method to determine operati		termine operating rating	rating Load Factor(LF) [1]		Operating rating 55.3 metric to		n = 60.8 tons	
Bridge posting Equal to or above legal loads [5]			egal loads [5]		Design Load M 1	13.5 / H 15 [2]		

Functional Details						
Average Daily Traffic 5421 Average daily tr	ick traffi 11 % Year 2007 Future average daily traffic 7618	Year 2018				
Road classification Other Principal Arterial (Urban)	14] Lanes on structure 2 Approach	roadway width 12.8 m = 42.0 ft				
Type of service on bridge Highway-pedestrian [5]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2]	Bridge median				
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	exists. [N]					
Type of service under bridge Railroad-waterway [7]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control					
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A					
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge 0 m = 0.0 ft  Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 4 m = 13.1 ft						
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature R	ilroad beneath structure [R]					
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A	Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0	) = N/A				
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 7 m = 23.0 ft	Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Railroad	beneath structure [R]				
Appraisal ratings - underclearances Basically intolera	ble requiring high priority of replacement [2]					
Repair and Replacement Plans						
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]					
Replacement of bridge or other structure because of	Bridge improvement cost 1000 Roadway improvement cost	3000				
substandard load carrying capacity or substantial bridge roadway geometry. [31]	Length of structure improvement 356 m = 1168.0 ft Total project cos					
	Year of improvement cost estimate					
	Border bridge - state Border bridge - pe	ercent responsibility of other state				
	Border bridge - structure number					

Inspection and Sufficiency								
Structure status Open, no restriction [A]  Condition ratings - superstructure Fair [5]		Appraisal ratings - structural	Somewhat better than minimum adequacy to tolerate being left in place as is [5]					
		Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Equal to present desirable crit	eria [8]				
Condition ratings - substructure	Fair [5]	Appraisal ratings - deck geometry	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of replacement [2]					
Condition ratings - deck	Satisfactory [6]							
Scour	Bridge foundations determine	Bridge foundations determined to be stable for assessed or calculated scour condition. [5]						
Channel and channel protection	Banks are protected or well v required or are in a stable co		evices such as spur dikes and en	nbankment protection are not				
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	Superior to present desirable	Superior to present desirable criteria [9]		Functionally obsolete [2]				
Pier or abutment protection			Sufficiency rating	68.6				
Culverts Not applicable. Used	if structure is not a culvert. [N]							
Traffic safety features - railings	<u> </u>	ture meets currently acce						
Traffic safety features - transition	<u>'</u>	ture meets currently acce						
Traffic safety features - approach		ture meets currently acce						
Traffic safety features - approach		ture meets currently acce						
Inspection date May 2008 [0			Months					
Underwater inspection Not needed [N]		Underwater inspe						
•	Not needed [N]	Fracture critical in						
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special insp	ection date					