The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information							40-36-20 =	079-33-40 = -
Pennsylvania [42] Westmoreland County [129]		East Vandergrift [21976] VANDERGRIFT BRIDGE				40-30-20 =	79.561111	
640056032001920	Highway age	ency district 12	Owner State Highway A	Agency [01]	Maintenance	e responsibility	State Highway Ag	ency [01]
Route 56	SR	0056	Toll On fre	e road [3]	Features interse	cted KISKI, NS R	/R , SR 2054	
Design - Steel [3] main Truss - Thi	ru [10]	Design - approach Steel	[3] ger/Multi-beam or girder [02]	Kilometerpoint Year built 1933	2161.8 km = 1340 Year re	0.3 mi econstructed 1988		
	u []			Skew angle 0 Historical significan	Structure F	· ·	red [1] not determinable at t	his time. [4]
Total length 151.5 n	n = 497.1 ft L	ength of maximum sp	94.8 m = 311.0 ft	Deck width, out-to	o-out 15.2 m = 49	.9 ft Bridge road	way width, curb-to-o	9.8 m = 32.2 ft
Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 9.8 m = 32.2 ft Curb or sidewalk width - left 2.4					7.9 ft	Curb or side	walk width - right	2.4 m = 7.9 ft
Deck structure type		Concrete Cast-in-Pla	ice [1]					
Type of wearing surface Monolithic Concre		Monolithic Concrete	te (concurrently placed with structural deck) [1]					
Deck protection Epoxy Coated Re		Epoxy Coated Reinfo	forcing [1]					
Type of membrane/w	earing surface							
Weight Limits								
Bypass, detour length Method to determine inventory rating			Load Factor(LF) [1]		Inventory rating	50.8 metric ton =	= 55.9 tons	
1.9 km = 1.2 mi Method to determine operation		rmine operating rating	Load Factor(LF) [1]		Operating rating	84.4 metric ton =	92.8 tons	
Bridge posting Equal to or above legal loads [5]				Design Load M 13.5 / H 15 [2]				

Functional Details								
Average Daily Traffic 9093 Average daily tr	ruck traffi 5 % Year 2007 Future average daily traffic 14977 Year 2012							
Road classification Minor Arterial (Urban) [16]	Lanes on structure 2 Approach roadway width 9.8 m = 32.2 ft							
Type of service on bridge Highway-pedestrian [5]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2] Bridge median							
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	re exists. [N]							
Type of service under bridge Highway-waterway-rail	ilroad [Lanes under structure 2 Navigation control							
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A							
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 5 m = 16.4 ft								
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Hi	Highway beneath structure [H]							
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 45.9 m = 15	50.6 ft Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A							
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 4 m = 13.1 ft	Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Highway beneath structure [H]							
Appraisal ratings - underclearances Basically intoler	rable requiring high priority of corrrective action [3]							
Repair and Replacement Plans								
Type of work to be performed	Work done by							
	Bridge improvement cost 0 Roadway improvement cost 0							
	Length of structure improvement 0 m = 0.0 ft Total project cost 0							
	Year of improvement cost estimate							
	Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state							
	Border bridge - structure number							

Inspection and Sufficiency								
Structure status Open, no res	striction [A]	Apprais structur	ai ratings	Equal to present minimum criteria [6]				
Condition ratings - superstructur	Satisfactory [6]		eal ratings - Equal y alignment	Equal to present desirable criteria [8]				
Condition ratings - substructure	Satisfactory [6]		Jui rutings	minimum tolerable limits	to be left in place as is [4]			
Condition ratings - deck	Good [7]	deck g	eometry					
Scour	Bridge foundation	Bridge foundations determined to be stable for the assessed or calculated scour condition. [8]						
Channel and channel protection	There are no no	There are no noticeable or noteworthy deficiencies which affect the condition of the channel. [9]						
Appraisal ratings - water adequate	Superior to pres	ent desirable criteria [9]		Status evaluation	Functionally obsolete [2]			
Pier or abutment protection				Sufficiency rating	80.3			
Culverts Not applicable. Used	if structure is not a culv	ert. [N]						
Traffic safety features - railings		Inpected feature meets	ature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]					
Traffic safety features - transition	าร	Inpected feature meets	currently acceptable sta					
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail Inpected features			currently acceptable sta					
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail ends Inpected fe			feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]					
Inspection date April 2009 [C	0409] Des	ignated inspection frequ	ection frequency 24 Months					
Underwater inspection	Und	Underwater inspection date April 2009 [0409]						
Fracture critical inspection	Not needed [N]	Fra	cture critical inspection of	ate				
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Oth	ner special inspection dat	е				