The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information							00-00-00 =	000-00-00 = -
Michigan [26]	Allegan County [0	05]	Overisel [61820]	SEC. 25 OVERSIEI	_TWP.		0.000000	0.000000
03318H00007B010	Highway ag	ency district 5	Owner County Highwa	y Agency [02]	Maintenance	e responsibility	County Highway	Agency [02]
Route 0	139	9TH AVENUE	Toll On fre	ee road [3]	Features interse	cted BLACK CRI	EEK DRAIN EXT.	
Design - Steel [3] main Truss - Thr	u [10]	Design - approach 0 Othe	r [00]	Kilometerpoint (1920) Year built 1920 Skew angle 0) km = 0.0 mi Year re Structure F	constructed N/A	[0000]	
				Historical significand	ce Historic	al significance is ı	not determinable at	this time. [4]
Total length 13.4 m	= 44.0 ft	Length of maximum sp	oan 12.8 m = 42.0 ft	Deck width, out-to	-out 4.8 m = 15.7	ft Bridge road	dway width, curb-to-	curb 4.8 m = 15.7 ft
Inventory Route, Tota	l Horizontal Clearar	1.8 m = 15.7 ft	Curb or sidewalk w	width - left $0 \text{ m} = 0$.	0 ft	Curb or side	ewalk width - right	0 m = 0.0 ft
Deck structure type		Concrete Cast-in-Pla	ice [1]					
Type of wearing surfa	ce	Monolithic Concrete	(concurrently placed with str	uctural deck) [1]				
Deck protection								
Type of membrane/we	earing surface							
Weight Limits								
Bypass, detour lengtl	Method to dete	ermine inventory rating	Load Factor(LF) [1]	I	nventory rating	3.2 metric ton =	3.5 tons	
0.6 km = 0.4 mi	Method to dete	ermine operating rating	Load Factor(LF) [1]	(Operating rating	9.9 metric ton =	10.9 tons	
	Bridge posting				Design Load M	9 / H 10 [1]		

Functional Details								
Average Daily Traffic 174 Average daily tr	uck traffi 7 % Year 2000 Future average	ge daily traffic 348 Year 2020						
Road classification Local (Rural) [09]	Lanes on structure 2	Approach roadway width 6.1 m = 20.0 ft						
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2]	Bridge median						
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	e exists. [N]							
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navig	ation control						
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A								
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge 0 m = 0.0 ft Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 99.99 m = 328.1 ft								
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]								
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 99.9 = Unlimited Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A								
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A	Minimum vertical underclearand	e reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]						
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]								
Repair and Replacement Plans								
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]							
Replacement of bridge or other structure because of substandard load carrying capacity or substantial	Bridge improvement cost 0	Roadway improvement cost 0						
bridge roadway geometry. [31]	Length of structure improvement $18.9 \text{ m} = 62$.0 ft Total project cost 0						
	Year of improvement cost estimate 1997							
	Border bridge - state	Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state						
	Border bridge - structure number							

Inspection and Sufficiency								
Structure status Posted for lo	e status Posted for load [P]		Basically intolerable requiring high priority of replacement [2]					
Condition ratings - superstructur	Poor [4]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Equal to present minimum criteria [6]					
Condition ratings - substructure	Poor [4]	Appraisal ratings -	Basically in					
Condition ratings - deck	Poor [4]	deck geometry						
Scour Channel and channel protection		Scour calculation/evaluation has not been made. [6] Bank is beginning to slump. River control devices and embankment protection have widespread minor damage. There is						
Onamici and chamici protection	minor stream bed movement				sspread minor dumage. There is			
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	cy Equal to present desirable cr	Equal to present desirable criteria [8]		Status evaluation	Structurally deficient [1]			
Pier or abutment protection	Navigation protection not rec	Navigation protection not required [1]		Sufficiency rating	18			
Culverts Not applicable. Used	if structure is not a culvert. [N]							
Traffic safety features - railings								
Traffic safety features - transition	ns							
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail							
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail ends							
Inspection date December 2	000 [1200] Designated inspe	ection frequency 24	Me	onths				
Underwater inspection		Underwater inspec	ction date					
Fracture critical inspection	Unknown [N00]							
Other special inspection	Unknown [N00]	Other special insp	pection date					