The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Informati	ion															42-57-56 =	_	085-21-03 = -
Michigan [26] Kent County [081]				Vergennes [81920] 0.25 MI NE			OF LIN LK AV			42.965556		85.350833						
41322H00007B010 Highway age			agency	district 3		Owner	wner County Highway Agency [02]			Ma	aintenance	e respon	sibility	County Highw	vay Aç	gency [02]		
Route 0 BUR				BURRO	OUGHS ST			Toll O	On free	road [3]		Featur	es interse	cted FL	.AT RIVE	ER		
Design - main  Steel [3]  Truss - Thru [10]				Design - approach  Other [00]			\ S	Kilometerpoint 44.1 km = 27.3 mi  Year built 1995 Year reconstructed N/A [0000]  Skew angle 0 Structure Flared  Historical significance Bridge is not eligible for the NRHP. [5]										
Total length 38	8.1 m =	= 125.0	0 ft	Leng	gth of maxim	um spa	n 36.5 m	= 119.8 ft		Deck widt	h, out-to	out 13	.4 m = 44	.0 ft B	ridge roa	adway width, curb	o-to-cu	urb 8.2 m = 26.9 ft
Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 8.1 m = 26.6 ft					Cı	Curb or sidewalk width - left 1.5 m = 4.9 ft			Cu	urb or sid	dewalk width - righ	ht	1.5 m = 4.9 ft					
Deck structure type Concrete Cast-in-Place					e [1]													
Type of wearing surface Monolithic Concrete (				crete (c	oncurrent	ly placed wit	th struc	tural deck)	[1]									
Deck protection E				Ер	Epoxy Coated Reinforcing [1]													
Type of membra	ane/wea	aring	surface															
Weight Limits																		
			determir	mine inventory rating Load Factor(LF) [1]			) [1]		li	nventor	y rating	31.7 m	netric ton	n = 34.9 tons				
0.6  km = 0.4  mi	0.6 km = 0.4 mi Method to dete			determir	ermine operating rating Load Factor(LF) [1]			) [1]		(	Operatir	ng rating	52.9 m	netric ton	n = 58.2 tons			
Bridge posting Equal to or above le				bove le	gal loads [5]				Design Load MS 18+Mod / HS 20+Mod [6]									

Functional Details	
Average Daily Traffic 677 Average daily tr	uck traffi 5 % Year 2003 Future average daily traffic 1150 Year 2023
Road classification Minor Collector (Rural) [08]	Lanes on structure 2 Approach roadway width 9.1 m = 29.9 ft
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2]  Bridge median
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	e exists. [N]
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bri	Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 99.99 m = 328.1 ft
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature	eature not a highway or railroad [N]
Minimum lateral underclearance on right $\boxed{99.9 = \text{Unlir}}$	mited Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A	Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]	
Repair and Replacement Plans	
Type of work to be performed	Work done by
	Bridge improvement cost Roadway improvement cost
	Length of structure improvement Total project cost
	Year of improvement cost estimate
	Border bridge - state  Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state
	Border bridge - structure number

Inspection and Sufficiency									
Structure status Open, no res	striction [A]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Better than present minimum criteria [7]						
Condition ratings - superstructur	Very Good [8]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4]						
Condition ratings - substructure	Very Good [8]	Appraisal ratings -	Somewhat better than minimum adequacy to tolerate being left in place as						
Condition ratings - deck	Very Good [8]	deck geometry	is [5]						
Scour	Bridge foundations	Bridge foundations determined to be stable for assessed or calculated scour condition. [5]							
Channel and channel protection		ed or well vegetated. River control do a stable condition. [8]	evices such as spur dikes and embankment protection are not						
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	Equal to present d	esirable criteria [8]	Status evaluation						
Pier or abutment protection			Sufficiency rating 83.6						
Culverts Not applicable. Used	if structure is not a culvert	. [N]							
Traffic safety features - railings	Traffic safety features - railings								
Traffic safety features - transition	ns In	pected feature meets currently acce	ptable standards. [1]						
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail In	pected feature meets currently acce	ptable standards. [1]						
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail ends Inpected feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]									
Inspection date November 2009 [1109] Designated inspection frequency 24 Months									
Underwater inspection	Not needed [N]	Underwater inspec	ction date						
Fracture critical inspection	Not needed [N]	Fracture critical inspection date							
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special insp	ection date						

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information								
Michigan [26] Wayne County [163]	Unknown [00000] BELLEVILLE S/I-94							
82200110000B010 Highway agency district 9	Owner County Highway Agency [02] Maintenance responsibility County Highway Agency [02]							
Route 2057 BELLEVILLE ROAD	Toll On free road [3] Features intersected HURON RIVER							
Design - main  Steel [3] Design - approach  Truss - Thru [10] 0 Other	Skew angle 0 Structure Flared							
	Historical significance Bridge is on the NRHP. [1]							
Total length 37.5 m = 123.0 ft Length of maximum spa	an 36.6 m = 120.1 ft Deck width, out-to-out 9.1 m = 29.9 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 8.2 m = 26.9 ft							
Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 8.5 m = 27.9 ft	Curb or sidewalk width - left 1.5 m = 4.9 ft Curb or sidewalk width - right 1.5 m = 4.9 ft							
Deck structure type Concrete Cast-in-Place [1]								
Type of wearing surface Bituminous [6]								
Deck protection								
Type of membrane/wearing surface								
Weight Limits								
Bypass, detour length Method to determine inventory rating	Inventory rating 11.3 metric ton = 12.4 tons							
0.3 km = 0.2 mi  Method to determine operating rating	Operating rating 12.6 metric ton = 13.9 tons							
Bridge posting 10.0 - 19.9 % belo	w [3] Design Load MS 18+Mod / HS 20+Mod [6]							

Functional Details	
Average Daily Traffic 19287 Average daily tr	ıck traffi % Year 1984 Future average daily traffic 19287 Year 1977
Road classification Other Principal Arterial (Urban)	14] Lanes on structure 2 Approach roadway width 11 m = 36.1 ft
Type of service on bridge Highway-pedestrian [5]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2]  Bridge median
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	exists. [N]
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift brid	Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 99.99 m = 328.1 ft
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Fe	ature not a highway or railroad [N]
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A	Minimum lateral underclearance on left
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A	Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]	
Repair and Replacement Plans	
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]
	Bridge improvement cost 555000 Roadway improvement cost 56000
	Length of structure improvement 37.5 m = 123.0 ft Total project cost 655000
	Year of improvement cost estimate
	Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state
	Border bridge - structure number

Inspection and Sufficiency									
Structure status Posted for lo	ad [P]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Basically intolerable requiri	ng high priority of corrrective action [3]					
Condition ratings - superstructur	Very Good [8]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Better than present minimu	m criteria [7]					
Condition ratings - substructure	Serious [3]	Appraisal ratings -	Basically intolerable requir	ng high priority of replacement [2]					
Condition ratings - deck	Satisfactory [6]	deck geometry							
Scour  Channel and channel protection		Banks are protected or well vegetated. River control devices such as spur dikes and embankment protection are not required or are in a stable condition. [8]							
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	Equal to present desirable c	riteria [8]	Status evaluation	Not applicable [N]					
Pier or abutment protection			Sufficiency ratin	g 7					
Culverts Not applicable. Used if structure is not a culvert. [N]									
Traffic safety features - railings									
Traffic safety features - transitions									
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail Inpected fea	Inpected feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]							
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail ends									
Inspection date May 1990 [0590] Designated inspection frequency 24 Months									
Underwater inspection	Not needed [N]	Underwater inspec	ction date						
Fracture critical inspection	Not needed [N]	Fracture critical inspection date							
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special insp	ection date						