HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

2009 Inventory

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format. Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information														42-59-57 =	082-25-29 = -
Michigan [26]	Aichigan [26] St. Clair County [147]					Fort Gratiot [29760]			AT PORT HURON					42.999167	82.424722
77177111000B044 Highway age			agency	ncy district: 7		Owner State Highway Agency [01]			Maintenance responsibility State Highway Agency [01]			gency [01]			
Route 94 I-94 WB				Toll Toll bridge [1] Features intersected ST CLAIR RI					R RIVER	, CN NA RR					
Design - Steel [3] main				Design - Steel [3 approach		3]		Kilometerpoint4137.5 km = 2565.3 miYear built1938Year reconstructed1999							
3 Truss - Thru [10]				64	Girder and floorbeam system [03]			Skew ang	gle 0	0 Structure Flared Yes, flared [1]					
								Historical	significar	cance Bridge is on the NRHP. [1]					
Total length 1883.2 m = 6178.8 ft Length of maximum span 265.5 m = 871.1 ft Deck width, out-to-out 11.4 m = 37.4 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 10.6 m = 34.8 ft									o-curb 10.6 m = 34.8 ft						
Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 10.6 m = 34.			I.8 ftCurb or sidewalk width - left0 m = 0.0 ftCurb or sidewalk					sidewalk	width - right	0 m = 0.0 ft					
Deck structure type Concrete Cast			it-in-Place [1]												
Type of wearing surface Bitu			ituminous [6]												
Deck protection			Ep	Epoxy Coated Reinforcing [1]											
Type of membrane/wearing surface Bu			Built-up [1]												
Weight Limits															
			determir	mine inventory rating			Load Factor(LF) [1]			Inventory r	ating	32.7 metric to	on = 36.0	tons	
0 km = 0.0 mi Method to determine operating ra			rating	g Load Factor(LF) [1]				Operating	rating	54.5 metric to	on = 60.0	tons			
Bridge posting Equal to or above le				ove leg	gal loads [5]				Design Load						

Functional Details								
Average Daily Traffic 7234 Average daily tr	uck traffi 28 % Year 2007 Future ave	rage daily traffic 9068	Year 2018					
Road classification Principal Arterial - Interstate (Un	ban) [11] Lanes on structure 3	Approa	Approach roadway width 11.2 m = 36.7 ft					
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic 1 - way traffic [1]		Bridge median					
Parallel structure designation The left structure of parallel bridges. This structure carries traffic in the opposite direction. [L]								
Type of service under bridge Highway-waterway-rai	road [8 Lanes under structure 20 Na	vigation control Navigation	on control Navigation control on waterway (bridge p					
Navigation vertical clearanc 41.1 m = 134.8 ft	Navigation vertical clearance41.1 m = 134.8 ftNavigation horizontal clearance182.8 m = 599.8 ft							
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bri	lge Mini	num vertical clearance over b	ridge roadway 5.23 m	= 17.2 ft				
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature H	ghway beneath structure [H]							
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0.3 m = 1.0	ft Minimu	m lateral underclearance on le	eft 0.3 m = 1.0 ft					
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 5.49 m = 18.0 ft	Minimum vertical underclear	ance reference feature Highv	vay beneath structure [H]					
Appraisal ratings - underclearances Basically intoler	able requiring high priority of corrrective action [3]							
Repair and Replacement Plans								
Type of work to be performed	Work done by							
Type of work to be performed								
	Bridge improvement cost	Roadway improvement of	ost					
	Length of structure improvement	Total project	cost					
	Year of improvement cost estimate							
	Border bridge - state Unknown [CAN]	Border bridge	percent responsibility of	other state 50				
	Border bridge - structure number CAN50000	000000						

Inspection and Sufficiency									
Structure status Open, no res	striction [A]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Equal to present minimum criteria [6]						
Condition ratings - superstructure	Satisfactory [6]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Better than present minimum criteria [7]						
Condition ratings - substructure	Good [7]	Appraisal ratings -	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of replacement [2]						
Condition ratings - deck	Good [7]	deck geometry							
Scour	Bridge foundations determined	Bridge foundations determined to be stable for the assessed or calculated scour condition. [8]							
Channel and channel protection		Banks are protected or well vegetated. River control devices such as spur dikes and embankment protection are not required or are in a stable condition. [8]							
Appraisal ratings - water adequad	cy Superior to present desirable	criteria [9]	Status evaluation Functionally obsolete [2]						
Pier or abutment protection	In place and functioning [2]		Sufficiency rating 70						
Culverts Not applicable. Used	if structure is not a culvert. [N]								
Traffic safety features - railings	Inpected feat	ture meets currently acce	eptable standards. [1]						
Traffic safety features - transition	Not applicabl	le or a safety feature is no	not required. [N]						
Traffic safety features - approact	n guardrail Not applicabl	le or a safety feature is no	not required. [N]						
Traffic safety features - approact	n guardrail ends Not applicabl	le or a safety feature is no	not required. [N]						
Inspection date December 2	008 [1208] Designated inspe	ection frequency 12	2 Months						
Underwater inspection	Unknown [Y60]	Underwater inspec	ection date October 2004 [1004]						
Fracture critical inspection	Every year [Y12]	Fracture critical in:	nspection date October 2006 [1006]						
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special insp	pection date						