The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information									
Michigan [26]	Michigan [26] Saginaw County [145]		Unknown [00000] 0.6 MI E OF RIDO		E ROAD				
73200003000B010 Highway agency district 6		y district 6	Owner County Highway Agency [02]		Maintenance r	esponsibility County Highway	Agency [02]		
Route 0 DITCH ROAD			Toll On free road [3] Features intersected		ed SHIAWASSEE RIVER				
Design - Steel [3] main  1 Truss - Thru	[10]	Design - approach  O Other	[00]	Xilometerpoint Year built 1889 Skew angle 0 Historical significance	Structure Fla	onstructed 1954 ared possibly eligible for the NRHP. [3]			
Total length 42.7 m = 140.1 ft Length of maximum span 42.4 m = 139.1 ft Deck width, out-to-out 5.4 m = 17.7 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 5 m = 16.4 ft									
Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 4.9 m = 16.1 ft Curb or sidewalk wid				idth - left $0 \text{ m} = 0.0 \text{ ft}$	t	Curb or sidewalk width - right	0  m = 0.0  ft		
Deck structure type  Corrugated Steel [6]									
Type of wearing surface Bituminous [6]									
Deck protection									
Type of membrane/wearing surface									
Weight Limits									
Bypass, detour length Method to determine inventory rating				Inve	entory rating	5.6 metric ton = 6.2 tons			
1.1 km = 0.7 mi  Method to determine operating rating				Оре	erating rating	10.1 metric ton = 11.1 tons			
Bridge posting				Des	sign Load M 13	3.5 / H 15 [2]			

Functional Details										
Average Daily Traffic 600 Average daily tr	uck traffi 5 % Year 1988 Future average daily traffic 1080 Year 2008									
Road classification Major Collector (Rural) [07]	Lanes on structure 2 Approach roadway width 6.1 m = 20.0 ft									
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic One lane bridge for 2 - way traffic [3] Bridge median									
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	e exists. [N]									
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control									
Navigation vertical clearanc  0 = N/A  Navigation horizontal clearance  0 = N/A										
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge  Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway  4.26 m = 14.0 ft										
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]										
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A  Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A										
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]										
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]										
Danain and Danlessmant Dlane										
Repair and Replacement Plans										
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]									
Replacement of bridge or other structure because of substandard load carrying capacity or substantial	Bridge improvement cost 750000 Roadway improvement cost 75000									
bridge roadway geometry. [31]	Length of structure improvement 61 m = 200.1 ft Total project cost 825000									
	Year of improvement cost estimate									
	Border bridge - state  Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state									
	Border bridge - structure number									

Inspection and Sufficiency									
Structure status Posted for lo	ad [P]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of replacement [2]						
Condition ratings - superstructur	Serious [3]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Meets minimum tolera						
Condition ratings - substructure	Serious [3]	Appraisal ratings -	N/A [N]						
Condition ratings - deck	Serious [3]	deck geometry							
Scour	Scour calculation/e	Scour calculation/evaluation has not been made. [6]							
Channel and channel protection	Bank protection is i Banks and/or chan	Bank protection is in need of minor repairs. River control devices and embankment protection have a little minor damage.  Banks and/or channel have minor amounts of drift. [7]							
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	y Equal to present m	ninimum criteria [6]	Status eval	luation Structurally deficient [1]					
Pier or abutment protection			Sufficiency	rating 12.3					
Culverts Not applicable. Used	if structure is not a culvert.	[N]							
Traffic safety features - railings	In	pected feature meets currently acce	e meets currently acceptable standards. [1]						
Traffic safety features - transition	S								
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail								
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail ends									
Inspection date April 1990 [0490] Designated inspection frequency 24 Months									
Underwater inspection	Not needed [N]	Underwater inspec	ction date						
•	Unknown [Y06]	Fracture critical ins		990 [0490]					
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special insp	ection date						