

HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

2011 Inventory

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportation departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information

Michigan [26]	Washtenaw County [161]	Scio [71940]	SCIO TWP SEC 2	42-20-02 = 42.333889	083-48-32 = - 83.808889
11029	Highway agency district 6	Owner County Highway Agency [02]	Maintenance responsibility	County Highway Agency [02]	
Route 0		EAST DELHI ROAD	Toll On free road [3]	Features intersected HURON RIVER	
Design - main	Steel [3]	Design - approach		Kilometerpoint	282.9 km = 175.4 mi
1	Truss - Thru [10]	0	Other [00]	Year built #Num!	Year reconstructed 2008
				Skew angle 0	Structure Flared
				Historical significance Bridge is not eligible for the NRHP. [5]	
Total length	33.2 m = 108.9 ft	Length of maximum span	33.2 m = 108.9 ft	Deck width, out-to-out	5.8 m = 19.0 ft
Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance	5.3 m = 17.4 ft	Curb or sidewalk width - left	0 m = 0.0 ft	Curb or sidewalk width - right	0 m = 0.0 ft
Deck structure type	Wood or Timber [8]				
Type of wearing surface	Wood or Timber [7]				
Deck protection					
Type of membrane/wearing surface					

Weight Limits

Bypass, detour length	Method to determine inventory rating	Allowable Stress(AS) [2]	Inventory rating	4.5 metric ton = 5.0 tons
0.6 km = 0.4 mi	Method to determine operating rating	Allowable Stress(AS) [2]	Operating rating	8.2 metric ton = 9.0 tons
	Bridge posting		Design Load	MS 18+Mod / HS 20+Mod [6]

Functional Details

Average Daily Traffic	750	Average daily truck traffi	0	%	Year	2008	Future average daily traffic	1250	Year	2028
Road classification	Local (Rural) [09]		Lanes on structure	2		Approach roadway width	4.9 m = 16.1 ft			
Type of service on bridge	Highway [1]		Direction of traffic	2 - way traffic [2]		Bridge median				
Parallel structure designation	No parallel structure exists. [N]									
Type of service under bridge	Waterway [5]		Lanes under structure	0		Navigation control				
Navigation vertical clearanc	0 = N/A		Navigation horizontal clearance	0 = N/A						
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge						Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway	4.39 m = 14.4 ft			
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature	Feature not a highway or railroad [N]									
Minimum lateral underclearance on right	99.9 = Unlimited					Minimum lateral underclearance on left	0 = N/A			
Minimum Vertical Underclearance	0 = N/A		Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature	Feature not a highway or railroad [N]						
Appraisal ratings - underclearances	N/A [N]									

Repair and Replacement Plans

Type of work to be performed	Work done by	Work to be done by contract [1]		
Replacement of bridge or other structure because of substandard load carrying capacity or substantial bridge roadway geometry. [31]	Bridge improvement cost		Roadway improvement cost	
	Length of structure improvement	41.2 m = 135.2 ft	Total project cost	
	Year of improvement cost estimate			
	Border bridge - state		Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state	
	Border bridge - structure number			

Inspection and Sufficiency

Structure status	<input type="text" value="Posted for load [P]"/>	Appraisal ratings - structural	<input type="text" value="Basically intolerable requiring high priority of replacement [2]"/>
Condition ratings - superstructure	<input type="text" value="Good [7]"/>	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	<input type="text" value="Basically intolerable requiring high priority of replacement [2]"/>
Condition ratings - substructure	<input type="text" value="Very Good [8]"/>	Appraisal ratings - deck geometry	<input type="text" value="Basically intolerable requiring high priority of replacement [2]"/>
Condition ratings - deck	<input type="text" value="Very Good [8]"/>		
Scour	<input type="text" value="Countermeasures have been installed to mitigate an existing problem with scour. [7]"/>		
Channel and channel protection	<input type="text" value="Bank protection is in need of minor repairs. River control devices and embankment protection have a little minor damage. Banks and/or channel have minor amounts of drift. [7]"/>		
Appraisal ratings - water adequacy	<input type="text" value="Equal to present desirable criteria [8]"/>	Status evaluation	<input type="text"/>
Pier or abutment protection	<input type="text"/>	Sufficiency rating	<input type="text" value="23.3"/>
Culverts	<input type="text" value="Not applicable. Used if structure is not a culvert. [N]"/>		
Traffic safety features - railings	<input type="text" value="Inspected feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]"/>		
Traffic safety features - transitions	<input type="text" value="Inspected feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]"/>		
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail	<input type="text" value="Inspected feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]"/>		
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail ends	<input type="text" value="Inspected feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]"/>		
Inspection date	<input type="text" value="June 2011 [0611]"/>	Designated inspection frequency	<input type="text" value="24"/> Months
Underwater inspection	<input type="text" value="Not needed [N]"/>	Underwater inspection date	<input type="text"/>
Fracture critical inspection	<input type="text" value="Every two years [Y24]"/>	Fracture critical inspection date	<input type="text" value="August 2010 [0810]"/>
Other special inspection	<input type="text" value="Not needed [N]"/>	Other special inspection date	<input type="text"/>

HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

2000 Inventory

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportation departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information

Michigan [26]	Washtenaw County [161]	Scio [71940]	SCIO TWP SEC 2	00-00-00 = 0.000000	000-00-00 = 0.000000
81308H00036B010	Highway agency district 6	Owner County Highway Agency [02]	Maintenance responsibility	County Highway Agency [02]	
Route 0		EAST DELHI ROAD	Toll On free road [3]	Features intersected HURON RIVER	
Design - main	Steel [3]	Design - approach		Kilometerpoint	0 km = 0.0 mi
1	Truss - Thru [10]	0	Other [00]	Year built #Num!	Year reconstructed 1969
				Skew angle 0	Structure Flared
				Historical significance Bridge is not eligible for the NRHP. [5]	
Total length	34.1 m = 111.9 ft	Length of maximum span	33.2 m = 108.9 ft	Deck width, out-to-out	5.3 m = 17.4 ft
Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance	5.3 m = 17.4 ft	Curb or sidewalk width - left	0 m = 0.0 ft	Curb or sidewalk width - right	0 m = 0.0 ft
Deck structure type	Wood or Timber [8]				
Type of wearing surface	Wood or Timber [7]				
Deck protection					
Type of membrane/wearing surface					

Weight Limits

Bypass, detour length	Method to determine inventory rating	Allowable Stress(AS) [2]	Inventory rating	4.5 metric ton = 5.0 tons
0.8 km = 0.5 mi	Method to determine operating rating	Allowable Stress(AS) [2]	Operating rating	8.2 metric ton = 9.0 tons
	Bridge posting	20.0 - 29.9 % below [2]	Design Load	MS 18+Mod / HS 20+Mod [6]

Functional Details

Average Daily Traffic	627	Average daily truck traffi	0	%	Year	1999	Future average daily traffic	1130	Year	2019
Road classification	Local (Rural) [09]		Lanes on structure	2		Approach roadway width	5.4 m = 17.7 ft			
Type of service on bridge	Highway [1]		Direction of traffic	2 - way traffic [2]		Bridge median				
Parallel structure designation	No parallel structure exists. [N]									
Type of service under bridge	Waterway [5]		Lanes under structure	0		Navigation control				
Navigation vertical clearanc	0 = N/A		Navigation horizontal clearance	0 = N/A						
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge						Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway	4.39 m = 14.4 ft			
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature	Feature not a highway or railroad [N]									
Minimum lateral underclearance on right	99.9 = Unlimited					Minimum lateral underclearance on left	0 = N/A			
Minimum Vertical Underclearance	0 = N/A		Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature	Feature not a highway or railroad [N]						
Appraisal ratings - underclearances	N/A [N]									

Repair and Replacement Plans

Type of work to be performed	Work done by	Work to be done by contract [1]		
Replacement of bridge or other structure because of substandard load carrying capacity or substantial bridge roadway geometry. [31]	Bridge improvement cost	0	Roadway improvement cost	1000
	Length of structure improvement	41.2 m = 135.2 ft	Total project cost	
	Year of improvement cost estimate	1991		
	Border bridge - state		Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state	0
	Border bridge - structure number			

Inspection and Sufficiency

Structure status	<input type="text" value="Posted for load [P]"/>	Appraisal ratings - structural	<input type="text" value="Basically intolerable requiring high priority of replacement [2]"/>
Condition ratings - superstructure	<input type="text" value="Serious [3]"/>	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	<input type="text" value="Basically intolerable requiring high priority of replacement [2]"/>
Condition ratings - substructure	<input type="text" value="Poor [4]"/>	Appraisal ratings - deck geometry	<input type="text" value="Basically intolerable requiring high priority of replacement [2]"/>
Condition ratings - deck	<input type="text" value="Fair [5]"/>		
Scour	<input type="text" value="Bridge is scour critical; field review indicates that extensive scour has occurred at bridge foundations. [2]"/>		
Channel and channel protection	<input type="text" value="Banks are protected or well vegetated. River control devices such as spur dikes and embankment protection are not required or are in a stable condition. [8]"/>		
Appraisal ratings - water adequacy	<input type="text" value="Equal to present desirable criteria [8]"/>	Status evaluation	<input type="text" value="Structurally deficient [1]"/>
Pier or abutment protection	<input type="text"/>	Sufficiency rating	<input type="text" value="7"/>
Culverts	<input type="text" value="Not applicable. Used if structure is not a culvert. [N]"/>		
Traffic safety features - railings	<input type="text"/>		
Traffic safety features - transitions	<input type="text"/>		
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail	<input type="text"/>		
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail ends	<input type="text"/>		
Inspection date	<input type="text" value="June 1999 [0699]"/>	Designated inspection frequency	<input type="text" value="24"/> Months
Underwater inspection	<input type="text" value="Unknown [N24]"/>	Underwater inspection date	<input type="text"/>
Fracture critical inspection	<input type="text" value="Unknown [N24]"/>	Fracture critical inspection date	<input type="text"/>
Other special inspection	<input type="text" value="Unknown [N24]"/>	Other special inspection date	<input type="text"/>