## HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information							42-52-10 =	084-54-13 = -
Michigan [26]	Ionia County [067]		Portland [65860]	100 FT WEST OF	100 FT WEST OF BUSINESS D			84.903611
344552000015B01 Highway agency district: 3		Owner City or Munici	wner City or Municipal Highway Agency [04] Maintenance responsibility			City or Municipal Highway Agency [04]		
Route 0	BRI	DGE STREET	Toll On	free road [3]	Features interse	cted GRAND RI	VER	
Design - main  Steel [3]  Truss - Thru	ı [10]	Design - approach  0 Other	[00]	Kilometerpoint Year built 1890 Skew angle 0 Historical significa	Structure F	constructed 199		
Total length $64 \text{ m} = 2$	210.0 ft L	ength of maximum sp	an 32 m = 105.0 ft	Deck width, out	to-out 5.4 m = 17.7	ft Bridge roa	dway width, curb-to-c	curb 4.6 m = 15.1 ft
Inventory Route, Total	Horizontal Clearand	ce 4.7 m = 15.4 ft	Curb or sidewalk	width - left 1.8 m	= 5.9 ft	Curb or side	ewalk width - right	0 m = 0.0 ft
Deck structure type		Open Grating [3]						
Type of wearing surface Other [9]								
Deck protection Galvanized		Galvanized Reinforci	ng [2]					
Type of membrane/we	earing surface							
Weight Limits								
Bypass, detour length Method to determine inventory rating		Allowable Stress(A	AS) [2]	Inventory rating	24.5 metric ton	= 27.0 tons		
0.2 km = 0.1 mi  Method to determine operating ratin		Allowable Stress(AS) [2]		Operating rating 30 metric ton = 33.0 tons				
	Bridge posting	10.0 - 19.9 % belo	ow [3]		Design Load MS	3 13.5 / HS 15 [3]		

Functional Details									
Average Daily Traffic 1100 Average daily tr	uck traffi 0 % Year 1998 Future average daily traffic 1400 Year 2010								
Road classification Major Collector (Rural) [07]	Lanes on structure 1 Approach roadway width 4.9 m = 16.1 ft								
Type of service on bridge Highway-pedestrian [5]	Direction of traffic 1 - way traffic [1] Bridge median								
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	e exists. [N]								
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control								
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A								
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge  Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway  99.99 m = 328.1 ft									
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Fe	eature not a highway or railroad [N]								
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 99.9 = Unlimited Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A									
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A	Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]								
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]									
Dancis and Danie coment Diane									
Repair and Replacement Plans  Type of work to be performed	Work done by								
Type of work to be performed	Work done by								
	Bridge improvement cost Roadway improvement cost								
	Length of structure improvement Total project cost								
	Year of improvement cost estimate								
	Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state								
	Border bridge - structure number								

Inspection and Sufficiency									
Structure status Posted for Ic	ad [P]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Equal to present minimum crite	eria [6]					
Condition ratings - superstructure	Good [7]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Equal to present minimum crite	eria [6]					
Condition ratings - substructure	Good [7]	Appraisal ratings -	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of replacement [2]						
Condition ratings - deck	Good [7]	deck geometry							
Scour	Bridge foundatio	Bridge foundations determined to be stable for the assessed or calculated scour condition. [8]							
Channel and channel protection		Bank protection is in need of minor repairs. River control devices and embankment protection have a little minor damage. Banks and/or channel have minor amounts of drift. [7]							
Appraisal ratings - water adequad	Equal to present	desirable criteria [8]	Status evaluation	Functionally obsolete [2]					
Pier or abutment protection			Sufficiency rating	72.4					
Culverts Not applicable. Used	if structure is not a culve	ert. [N]							
Traffic safety features - railings		Inpected feature meets currently acce	eptable standards. [1]						
Traffic safety features - transition	าร	Inpected feature meets currently acce	ure meets currently acceptable standards. [1]						
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail	Inpected feature meets currently acce	ure meets currently acceptable standards. [1]						
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail ends	Inpected feature meets currently acce	ure meets currently acceptable standards. [1]						
Inspection date November 2008 [1108] Designated inspection frequency 24 Months									
Underwater inspection	Unknown [Y00]	Underwater inspec	Underwater inspection date						
Fracture critical inspection	Not needed [N]	Fracture critical in:	Fracture critical inspection date						
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special inspection date							