The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Inf	ormation									41-57-25 =	084-20-32 = -
Michigan [26] Lenawee County [091]			Rol	lin [69340]	0.1 MI E OF S	ORBY HWY	RBY HWY		84.342222		
46318H00010B010		Highwa	Highway agency district 6			vner County Highw	ay Agency [02]	Mainter	ance responsibility	County Highway	Agency [02]
Route 0			RAYMO	OND RD		Toll On free road [3] Features intersected FITTS CRE			REEK		
Design - main				Design - approach	Other [00]		Kilometerpoint 205.4 km = 127.3 mi Year built #Num! Year reconstructed N/A [0000] Skew angle 0 Structure Flared Historical significance Bridge is not eligible for the NRHP. [5]				
Total length 10.6 m = 34.8 ft Length of maximum span 10 m Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 4.2 m = 13.8 ft Deck structure type Wood or Timber [8]					8 ft	0 m = 32.8 ft Curb or sidewalk	Deck width, o	out-to-out 4.4 m = n = 0.0 ft	14.4 ft Bridge ro	padway width, curb-to- idewalk width - right	0 m = 0.0 ft
Type of wearing surface Deck protection Type of membrane/wearing surface											
Weight Limits Bypass, detour length 0.3 km = 0.2 mi Method to determine inventory rating Method to determine operating rating Bridge posting				Ü	Allowable Stress(A		Inventory ration Operating ration Design Load	<u> </u>			

Functional Details										
Average Daily Traffic 67 Average daily tru	ıck traffi 0 % Year 2005 Future average daily traffic 120 Year 2025									
Road classification Local (Rural) [09]	Lanes on structure 2 Approach roadway width 4.9 m = 16.1 ft									
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic One lane bridge for 2 - way traffic [3] Bridge median									
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	exists. [N]									
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control									
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A									
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift brid	ge Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 99.99 m = 328.1 ft									
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Fe	ature not a highway or railroad [N]									
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 99.9 = Unlim	Minimum lateral underclearance on right 99.9 = Unlimited Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A									
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A	Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]									
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]										
Danair and Danlacement Dlane										
Repair and Replacement Plans	West days by West to be days by control [4]									
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]									
Replacement of bridge or other structure because of substandard load carrying capacity or substantial	Bridge improvement cost 320000 Roadway improvement cost 50000									
bridge roadway geometry. [31]	Length of structure improvement 16.2 m = 53.2 ft Total project cost 370000									
	Year of improvement cost estimate									
	Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state									
	Border bridge - structure number									

Inspection and Sufficience	СУ								
Structure status Bridge	ture status Bridge closed to all traffic [K]								
Condition ratings - superst	tructur		Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Somewhat better than minimum adequacy to tolerate being left in place is [5]			ace as		
Condition ratings - substructure Criti		tical [2]	Appraisal ratings -	N/A [N]					
Condition ratings - deck		minent Failure [1]	deck geometry						
Scour		Bridge foundations determined to be stable for assessed or calculated scour condition. [5]							
Channel and channel prote	ection	Bank protection is being eroded. River control devices and/or embankment have major damage. Trees and rush restrict the channel. [5]							
Appraisal ratings - water a	adequacy	Somewhat better than minimin place as is [5]	um adequacy to tolerate b	oeing left	Status evaluation	Structurally deficient [1]			
Pier or abutment protection	n				Sufficiency rating	26.4			
Culverts Not applicable.	. Used if stru	ucture is not a culvert. [N]							
Traffic safety features - ra	ailings								
Traffic safety features - tra	ansitions								
Traffic safety features - ap	pproach gua	ardrail							
Traffic safety features - ap	pproach gua	ardrail ends							
Inspection date February 2009 [0209] Designated inspection frequency 24 Months									
Underwater inspection	needed [N]	Underwater inspection date							
Fracture critical inspection	on Not i	needed [N]	[N] Fracture critical inspection date						
Other special inspection	Not	needed [N]	Other special inspe	ection date					