HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Info	ormation							48-00-06.00 =	119-39-22.00	
Washington [53] Douglas C		Douglas Count	ty [017]	Unknown [00000]	Unknown [00000] 0.1 N JCT SR 1		73		= -119.656111	
000000LR0000000		Highway	agency district 2	Owner Corps of Eng	Owner Corps of Engineers (Civil) [70] Maintenance respons		e responsibility	State Highway Agency [01]		
Route 17 SF		SR 17	Toll On	Toll On free road [3] Features intersected COLUMBIA		RIVER				
Design - main Steel continuous [4] Truss - Deck [09]		approach	Steel continuous [4] Stringer/Multi-beam or girder [0	Kilometerpoint Year built 19 Skew angle 0 Historical signif	Structure F	constructed N/A	[0000]			
Total length 350.5 m = 1150.0 ft Length of maximum span 91.4 m = 299.9 ft Deck width, out-to-out 10.2 m = 33.5 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 7.9 m = 25.9 ft Curb or sidewalk width - left 0.7 m = 2.3 ft Curb or sidewalk width - right 0.7 m = 2.3 ft										
Deck structure type Concrete Cast-in-Place					width left 0.7	111 – 2.5 1(Odib di Side	wan man ngn	0.7 III - 2.3 II	
Type of wearing surface Bituminous [6]										
Deck protection										
Type of me	embrane/we	earing surface								
Weight Li	mits									
Bypass, detour length 5.6 km = 3.5 mi		Method to determine inventory rating		rating Load Factor(LF) [g Load Factor(LF) [1]		27.9 metric ton	= 30.7 tons		
		Method to determine operating rating		rating Load Factor(LF) []	Operating rating 45.9 metric ton = 50.5 tons				
Bridge posting E			ing Equal to or ab	Equal to or above legal loads [5]			Design Load MS 18 / HS 20 [5]			

Functional Details								
Average Daily Traffic 2164 Average daily tr	uck traffi 18 % Year 2010 Future average daily traffic 3030 Year 2030							
Road classification Minor Arterial (Rural) [06]	Lanes on structure 2 Approach roadway width 12.2 m = 40.0 ft							
Type of service on bridge Highway-pedestrian [5]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2] Bridge median							
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	e exists. [N]							
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control Navigation control on waterway (bridge permit required). [1]							
Navigation vertical clearanc 12.2 m = 40.0 ft	Navigation horizontal clearance 91.4 m = 299.9 ft							
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift brid	Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 99.99 m = 328.1 ft							
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]								
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A								
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]								
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]								
Repair and Replacement Plans								
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]							
Bridge rehabilitation because of general structure	Bridge improvement cost 21120000 Roadway improvement cost 4224000							
deterioration or inadequate strength. [35]	Length of structure improvement 365.8 m = 1200.2 ft Total project cost 42240000							
	Year of improvement cost estimate 2010							
	Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state							
	Border bridge - structure number							

Inspection and Sufficiency								
Structure status Open, no r	estriction [A]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Equal to present minimum criteria [6]					
Condition ratings - superstructu	re Satisfactory [6]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Equal to present minimum criteria [6]					
Condition ratings - substructure	Satisfactory [6]	Appraisal ratings -	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of corrrective action [3]					
Condition ratings - deck	Good [7]	deck geometry						
Scour	Bridge foundati	Bridge foundations determined to be stable for the assessed or calculated scour condition. [8]						
Channel and channel protection		Banks are protected or well vegetated. River control devices such as spur dikes and embankment protection are not required or are in a stable condition. [8]						
Appraisal ratings - water adequ	acy Equal to prese	nt desirable criteria [8]	Status evaluat	ion Functionally obsolete [2]				
Pier or abutment protection	Navigation pro	tection not required [1]	Sufficiency rati	ing 69.1				
Culverts Not applicable. Use	d if structure is not a cul	vert. [N]						
Traffic safety features - railings	,	Inpected feature meets currently acce	ture meets currently acceptable standards. [1]					
Traffic safety features - transiti	ons							
Traffic safety features - approa	ch guardrail	Inpected feature meets currently acce	eptable standards. [1]					
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail ends								
Inspection date August 20	I3 [0813] D€	signated inspection frequency 24	Months					
Underwater inspection	Unknown [Y60]	Underwater inspe	ction date September	er 2009 [0909]				
Fracture critical inspection	Every two years [Y24]	Fracture critical in	spection date August 20	13 [0813]				
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special insp						