## HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Info	ormation										48-04-34.85 =	119-58-07.57
Washington [53] Okanogan County [047]				Unkı	Unknown [00000] 4.3 N JCT US 97			48.076347	= -119.968769			
0003704A0000000 Highway agency district 2				Ow	Owner State Highway Agency [01] Maintenance responsibility				State Highway Age	ency [01]		
Route 153 SR 153					Toll On free road [3] Features intersected METHOW RI				RIVER			
main approach  Truss - Thru [10] 4 Tee bo				approach	Concrete [1]	•	Kilometeri Year built	1950	0.6 km = 422 Year Structure	reconstructed N/A	[0000]	
				Historical significance			Bridg	e is not eligible for t				
Total leng				ength of maximu		7			ut 7.9 m = 25		dway width, curb-to-c	
Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 7.3 m = 24.0 ft						Curb or sidewalk width - left 1.1 m = 3.6 ft Curb or sidewa					ewalk width - right	0.3 m = 1.0 ft
Deck structure type Concrete Cast-in-Place				in-Place [1]								
Type of wearing surface Monolithic Concrete (c				crete (concu	rrently placed with	structural decl	k) [1]					
Deck protection												
Type of m	nembrane/we	earing s	surface									
Weight L	imits											
Bypass, detour length Method to determine inventory ra				rating	ng Load Factor(LF) [1]			entory rating	16.2 metric ton	= 17.8 tons		
3.7 km = 2.3 mi  Method to determine operating rating  Bridge posting Equal to or above le				rating	Load Factor(LF) [1] egal loads [5]		Ор	erating rating	27 metric ton =	29.7 tons		
				oove legal lo			De	Design Load MS 13.5 / HS 15 [3]				

Functional Details								
Average Daily Traffic 1724 Average daily to	uck traffi 16 % Year 2010 Future average daily traffic	2414 Year 2030						
Road classification Minor Arterial (Rural) [06]	Lanes on structure 2	Approach roadway width 8.5 m = 27.9 ft						
Type of service on bridge Highway-pedestrian [5]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2]	Bridge median						
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure exists. [N]								
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control							
Navigation vertical clearance 0 = N/A Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A								
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge  Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway  4.75 m = 15.6 ft								
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]								
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A  Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A								
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]								
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]								
Repair and Replacement Plans								
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]							
Bridge rehabilitation because of general structure deterioration or inadequate strength. [35]	Bridge improvement cost 8360000 Roadway im	nprovement cost 1672000						
deterioration of madequate strength, [55]	Length of structure improvement 144.8 m = 475.1 ft	Total project cost 16720000						
	Year of improvement cost estimate 2010							
	Border bridge - state Bo	order bridge - percent responsibility of other state						
	Border bridge - structure number							

Inspection and Sufficiency									
Structure status Open, no res	striction [A]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4]						
Condition ratings - superstructure	Satisfactory [6]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Equal to present desirable criteria [8]						
Condition ratings - substructure	Satisfactory [6]	Appraisal ratings -	Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4]						
Condition ratings - deck	Satisfactory [6]	deck geometry							
Scour	Bridge is scour co	Bridge is scour critical; bridge foundations determined to be unstable. [3]							
Channel and channel protection		Bank is beginning to slump. River control devices and embankment protection have widespread minor damage. There is minor stream bed movement evident. Debris is restricting the channel slightly. [6]							
Appraisal ratings - water adequad	Equal to present	Equal to present desirable criteria [8]  Status evaluation							
Pier or abutment protection			Sufficiency rating 49.1						
Culverts Not applicable. Used if structure is not a culvert. [N]									
Traffic safety features - railings		npected feature meets currently acce	eptable standards. [1]						
Traffic safety features - transition	ns [	npected feature meets currently acce	eptable standards. [1]						
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail	npected feature meets currently acce	eptable standards. [1]						
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail ends								
Inspection date October 201	2 [1012] Desi	gnated inspection frequency 24	Months						
Underwater inspection	Not needed [N]	Underwater inspe							
Fracture critical inspection	Every two years [Y24]	Fracture critical in							
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special insp	pection date						