HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

2011 Inventory

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format. Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

| Basic Information | | | | | | | | 39-03-30 = | 081-37-18 = - | | | | |
|---|--------------|-------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------------------|--|--------------------------|--|-------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------|--|
| West Virginia [| [54] Wo | Wood County [107] | | | Unknown [00000] 0.07 MILE EAST (| | | I OF CR 2 | .5 | | 39.058333 | 81.621667 | |
| 0000000054 | 4A072 | Highway agency | | district 3 | | Owner State Highway | | Agency [01] Maintenance responsibility | | responsibility | State Highway Agency [01] | | |
| Route 2508 COU | | | COUN | TY ROUTE | 25/8 | Toll On free road [3] Features intersected POND CREI | | | | ΕK | | | |
| Design - Steel [3] main 1 Truss - Thru [10] | | | Design - approach 0 Other [00] | | [00] | Skew angle 0 | | | Structure Flared | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | Historical signif | cance | Bridge is | possibly eligible | for the NRHP. [3] | |
| Total length 11.6 m = 38.1 ft Length of maximum span 11.3 m = 37.1 ft Deck width, out-to-out 4.3 m = 14.1 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 3.8 m = 12.5 ft | | | | | | | | | | -curb 3.8 m = 12.5 ft | | | |
| Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 3.8 m = 12.5 ft Curb or sidewalk width - left 0.1 m = 0.3 ft Curb or sidewalk width - right 0.1 r | | | | | | 0.1 m = 0.3 ft | | | | | | | |
| Deck structure type Wood or Timber [8] | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Type of wearing surface | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Deck protection | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Type of membr | brane/wearin | g surface | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Weight Limits | S | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Bypass, detour length Method to determine inventory | | | y rating | Allowable Stress(AS) [2] | | | Invento | ory rating | 18 metric ton = 1 | 9.8 tons | | | |
| 0 km = 0.0 mi Method to determi | | | determir | mine operating rating | | | Allowable Stress(AS) [2] | | Operat | ing rating | 25.2 metric ton = 27.7 tons | | |
| Bridge posting 00.1 - 09.9 % below | | | | v [4] | | | Design | Load | | | | | |

| Functional Details | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Average Daily Traffic 5 Average daily tr | ruck traffi 0 % Year 2007 Future average daily traffic 7 Year 2027 | | | | | | | | | |
| Road classification Local (Rural) [09] | Lanes on structure1Approach roadway width3.4 m = 11.2 ft | | | | | | | | | |
| Type of service on bridge Highway [1] | Direction of traffic One lane bridge for 2 - way traffic [3] Bridge median | | | | | | | | | |
| Parallel structure designation No parallel structure exists. [N] | | | | | | | | | | |
| Type of service under bridge Waterway [5] | Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control | | | | | | | | | |
| Navigation vertical clearance 0 = N/A Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A | | | | | | | | | | |
| Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge 99.99 m = 328.1 ft | | | | | | | | | | |
| Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N] | | | | | | | | | | |
| Minimum lateral underclearance on right 99.9 = Unlimited Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A | | | | | | | | | | |
| Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A | Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N] | | | | | | | | | |
| Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N] | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| Repair and Replacement Plans | | | | | | | | | | |
| Type of work to be performed | Work done by Work to be done by owner's forces [2] | | | | | | | | | |
| Bridge rehabilitation because of general structure deterioration or inadequate strength. [35] | Bridge improvement cost 35000 Roadway improvement cost 5000 | | | | | | | | | |
| deterioration of inducquate strength. [55] | Length of structure improvement11.6 m = 38.1 ftTotal project cost40000 | | | | | | | | | |
| | Year of improvement cost estimate 2007 | | | | | | | | | |
| | Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state | | | | | | | | | |
| | Border bridge - structure number | | | | | | | | | |

| Inspection and Sufficiency | | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------------------------------|----------------------------|---|---|---------------------------------------|--|------|--|--|--|--|
| Structure status Posted for lo | | opraisal ratings - ructural | Somewhat better than minimum adequacy to tolerate being left in place as is [5] | | | | | | | |
| Condition ratings - superstructur | Satisfactory [6] | | ppraisal ratings - adway alignment | Equal to present minimum criteria [6] | | | | | | |
| Condition ratings - substructure | Good [7] | A | ppraisal ratings - | Meets mini | Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4] | | | | | |
| Condition ratings - deck | Good [7] | | eck geometry | | | | | | | |
| Scour | Bridge foundati | Bridge foundations determined to be stable for the assessed or calculated scour condition. [8] | | | | | | | | |
| Channel and channel protection | | Bank protection is in need of minor repairs. River control devices and embankment protection have a little minor damage. Banks and/or channel have minor amounts of drift. [7] | | | | | | | | |
| Appraisal ratings - water adequac | y Better than pre | sent minimum criter | ria [7] | | Status evaluation | | | | | |
| Pier or abutment protection | | | | | Sufficiency rating | 59.2 | | | | |
| Culverts Not applicable. Used | if structure is not a culv | vert. [N] | | | | | | | | |
| Traffic safety features - railings | Inpected feature n | neets currently acce |] | | | | | | | |
| Traffic safety features - transition | IS | Inpected feature n | pected feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1] | | | | | | | |
| Traffic safety features - approach | n guardrail | Inpected feature n |] | | | | | | | |
| Traffic safety features - approach | n guardrail ends | Inpected feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1] | | | | | | | | |
| Inspection date April 2010 [0 | signated inspection | frequency 24 | Months | | | - | | | | |
| Underwater inspection | Unknown [N00] | | Underwater inspection date | | | | | | | |
| Fracture critical inspection | Every two years [Y24] | | Fracture critical in | spection date | April 2010 [041 | 0] | | | | |
| Other special inspection | Unknown [N00] | | Other special inspection date | | | | | | | |