The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Info	ormation										39-40-00 =	079-51-42 = -
West Virginia [54] Monongalia County [061]			Unknow	Unknown [00000] 0.21 MI SOUTH CO RT 69/8				39.666667	79.861667			
0000000031A150 Highway			agency distri	ct 4	Owner	Owner State Highway Agency [01]			Maintenanc	responsibility State Highway Ag		ency [01]
Route 85700 MON CO RT 857					Toll On free road [3] Features intersected CHEAT LAK				KE			
Design - main  Steel [3]  Truss - Thru [10]		Desi appri	oach			Kilometerpoint 1639.6 km = 1016.6 mi  Year built 1922 Year reconstructed N/A [0000]  Skew angle 0 Structure Flared  Historical significance Historical significance is not determinable at this time. [4]						
Total length 252.4 m = 828.1 ft Length of maximum span 78.9 m = 258.9 ft Deck width, out-to-out 5.5 m = 18.0 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 5.5 m = 18.0 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 5.5 m = 10.0 ft Curb or sidewalk width - right 1.5 m												
Deck structure type  Type of wearing surface  Deck protection  Open Grating [3]  Other [9]												
Type of m	nembrane/we	aring surface										
Weight Limits  Bypass, detour length  0.2 km = 0.1 mi  Method to determine inventory rating Method to determine operating rating				` ' ' '			Inventory rating 7.2 metric ton = 7.9 tons Operating rating 10.8 metric ton = 11.9 tons					
Bridge posting								Design Load				

Functional Details								
Average Daily Traffic 6500 Average daily tru	ck traffi 6 % Year 2008 Future average daily traffic	9700 Year 2028						
Road classification Collector (Urban) [17]	Lanes on structure 2	Approach roadway width 6.7 m = 22.0 ft						
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2]	Bridge median						
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	exists. [N]							
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control							
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A							
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge  Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway  3.45 m = 11.3 ft								
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Fe	ature not a highway or railroad [N]							
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 99.9 = Unlimited Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A								
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]								
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]								
Repair and Replacement Plans								
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]							
Replacement of bridge or other structure because								
of substandard load carrying capacity or substantial	Bridge improvement cost 2126000 Roadway im	provement cost 999000						
bridge roadway geometry. [31]	Length of structure improvement 260.3 m = 854.0 ft	Total project cost 3788000						
	Year of improvement cost estimate 2008							
	Border bridge - state Bo	order bridge - percent responsibility of other state						
	Border bridge - structure number							

Inspection and Sufficiency									
Structure status Posted for lo	ad [P]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of replacement [2]						
Condition ratings - superstructur	Serious [3]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment  Basically intolerable requiring high priority of replacement [2]			igh priority of replacement [2]				
Condition ratings - substructure	Fair [5]	Appraisal ratings -	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of replacement [2]						
Condition ratings - deck	Fair [5]	deck geometry							
Scour	Bridge foundations determined	Bridge foundations determined to be stable for the assessed or calculated scour condition. [8]							
Channel and channel protection		Bank protection is in need of minor repairs. River control devices and embankment protection have a little minor damage.  Banks and/or channel have minor amounts of drift. [7]							
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	Superior to present desirable	Superior to present desirable criteria [9]			Structurally deficient [1]				
Pier or abutment protection					ng 0				
Culverts Not applicable. Used	if structure is not a culvert. [N]								
Traffic safety features - railings									
Traffic safety features - transition	ns								
Traffic safety features - approach									
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail ends									
Inspection date September 2010 [0910] Designated inspection frequency 12 Months									
Underwater inspection	Unknown [Y60]	Underwater inspec	ction date August 2008 [0		808]				
Fracture critical inspection	Every year [Y12]	Fracture critical ins	September 2		0 [0910]				
Other special inspection	Unknown [N00]	Other special inspe	ection date						