## HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Inforr	mation															03-72-03.48 =	- 081-14-56.40
West Virginia [54]		Faye	Fayette County [019]				Unknown [00000]			0.10 MI E OF CR 25				4.200967	= -81.249000		
000000000	)10A126		Highway	agency	y district 9		Owner	Railroad	[27]				Maintenanc	e respor	nsibility	Railroad [27]	
Route 2502 CR 25/2 & CSX RR			?	Toll On free road [3] Features intersected NEW RIV					EW RIVE	R							
main	Steel [3] russ - Thro	u [10]			Design - approach	Steel [3	•	eam or girde	er [02]	Kilometerp Year built Skew angle	1916	16.1	km = 10.0 m Year re Structure	econstru	cted 195	1	
	054.0	004	, F.O.	<u> </u>			40.0	00/45		Historical s	Ü					NRHP. [2]	107 1015
Total length					gth of maxim	•					th, out-	to-out	3.8 m = 12.		_	-	-curb 3.7 m = 12.1 ft
Inventory Ro	oute, Total	Horiz	ontal Clea	arance	3.6 m = 11	.8 ft	С	urb or sidev	valk w	idth - left	0 m =	0.0 ft		С	urb or sid	ewalk width - right	0  m = 0.0  ft
Deck structu	ure type			Op	en Grating [	[3]											
Type of wearing surface Other [9]																	
Deck protect	ction																
Type of men	mbrane/we	aring	surface														
Weight Limi	nits																
Bypass, det		) N	lethod to	determi	ne inventory	rating	Lo	ad Factor(LI	F) [1]			Inver	ntory rating	10.9 n	netric ton	= 12.0 tons	
5.6 km = 3.5	.5 mi	M	lethod to	determi	ne operating	rating	Lo	ad Factor(LI	F) [1]			Oper	ating rating	10.9 n	netric ton	= 12.0 tons	
		В	ridge pos	ting								Desig	gn Load				

Functional Details											
Average Daily Traffic 200 Average daily truck traffi 6 % Year 2009 Future average daily traffic 244 Year 2029											
Road classification Local (Rural) [09]	Lanes on structure 1 Approach roadway width 3.4 m = 11.2 ft										
Type of service on bridge Highway-railroad [4] Direction of traffic One lane bridge for 2 - way traffic [3] Bridge median											
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure exists. [N]											
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control										
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A										
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge  Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway  99.99 m = 328.1 ft											
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]											
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 99.9 = Unlimited  Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A											
Minimum Vertical Underclearance   0 = N/A   Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature   Feature not a highway or railroad [N]											
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]											
Repair and Replacement Plans											
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]										
Other structural work, including hydraulic replacements. [38]	Bridge improvement cost 150000 Roadway improvement cost 10000										
ropidosinonis. [66]	Length of structure improvement 230.4 m = 755.9 ft Total project cost 160000										
	Year of improvement cost estimate 2011										
	Border bridge - state  Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state										
	Border bridge - structure number										

Inspection and Sufficiency											
Structure status Posted for lo	oad [P]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4]								
Condition ratings - superstructure	Satisfactory [6]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment									
Condition ratings - substructure	Poor [4]	Appraisal ratings -	Basically intolera	gh priority of replacement [2]							
Condition ratings - deck	Good [7]	deck geometry									
Scour	Bridge foundations determine	Bridge foundations determined to be stable for assessed or calculated scour condition. [5]									
Channel and channel protection		Banks are protected or well vegetated. River control devices such as spur dikes and embankment protection are not required or are in a stable condition. [8]									
Appraisal ratings - water adequa	Superior to present desirable	e criteria [9]	Statu	us evaluation	Structurally deficient [1]						
Pier or abutment protection			Suffic	ufficiency rating 19							
Culverts Not applicable. Used	if structure is not a culvert. [N]										
Traffic safety features - railings	Inpected fea	ature meets currently acce	ptable standards. [*								
Traffic safety features - transitio	ns										
Traffic safety features - approac											
Traffic safety features - approac	h guardrail ends										
Inspection date October 201			Months								
Underwater inspection	Unknown [Y60]	Underwater inspec		February 2013 [	0213]						
Fracture critical inspection	Not needed [N]	Fracture critical ins									
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special inspe	ection date								