HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Info	ormation											34-34-30.00 =	086-34-00.00
Alabama	[01]	Morg	gan Coun	ty [103]		Hunt	sville [37000]	MORGAN-MADI	SON CO LI	INE		34.575000	= -86.566667
8809			Highway	y agency	y district: 2	Owr	ner State Highway A	Agency [01]	Ma	intenance re	esponsibility	State Highway Age	ncy [01]
Route 23	31			SR 53			Toll On fre	ee road [3]	Feature	es intersecte	d TENNESSE	EE RIVER	
Design - main	Steel [3] Truss - Thr	[1 <u>0]</u>			Design - approach	Steel continu	uous [4] ti-beam or girder [02]	Kilometerpoint Year built 1965		km = 30574 Year recor	.5 mi nstructed N/A	[0000]	
3	IIUSS - IIII	u [I U]			7	Sunger/iviul	n-beath of glider [02]	Skew angle 0 Historical signific		Structure Flar Bridge is r	red not eligible for t	he NRHP. [5]	
Total leng	th 623.9 m	n = 204	47.0 ft	Lenç	gth of maxim	um span 109	9.7 m = 359.9 ft	Deck width, ou	t-to-out 10.	.1 m = 33.1 f	Bridge roa	dway width, curb-to-c	8.5 m = 27.9 ft
Inventory	Route, Tota	l Horiz	zontal Cle	arance	8.5 m = 27	.9 ft	Curb or sidewalk w	idth - left 0.5 m	n = 1.6 ft		Curb or side	ewalk width - right	0.5 m = 1.6 ft
Deck struc	cture type			Co	ncrete Cast	in-Place [1]							
Type of w	earing surfa	ce		Мо	onolithic Con	crete (concur	rently placed with str	ructural deck) [1]					
Deck protection Unknown [8]													
Type of m	embrane/we	earing	surface	Un	iknown [8]								
Weight Li	imits												
Bypass, (detour lengtl 0.1 mi	10			ne inventory ne operating	Ü	Load Factor(LF) [1] Load Factor(LF) [1]		Inventory Operating	_	9.1 metric ton 8.6 metric ton		
		В	Bridge pos	sting E	Equal to or a	bove legal loa	ads [5]		Design L	oad MS 18	8 / HS 20 [5]		

Functional Details										
Average Daily Traffic 10175 Average daily tr	uck traffi 3 % Year 2013 Future average daily traffic 13226 Year 2033									
Road classification	[02] Lanes on structure 2 Approach roadway width 12.8 m = 42.0 ft									
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic 1 - way traffic [1] Bridge median Open median [1]									
Parallel structure designation The left structure of parallel bridges. This structure carries traffic in the opposite direction. [L]										
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control Navigation control on waterway (bridge permit required). [1]									
Navigation vertical clearance 20.1 m = 65.9 ft Navigation horizontal clearance 106.7 m = 350.1 ft										
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge 0 m = 0.0 ft Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 4.93 m = 16.2 ft										
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]										
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 99.9 = Unlin	Minimum lateral underclearance on right 99.9 = Unlimited Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A									
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]										
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]										
Repair and Replacement Plans	Wards dama har. Mark ta ha dana har anatarat [4]									
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]									
Bridge rehabilitation because of general structure deterioration or inadequate strength. [35]	Bridge improvement cost 14117000 Roadway improvement cost 1412000									
3. []	Length of structure improvement 623.9 m = 2047.0 ft Total project cost 15529000									
	Year of improvement cost estimate 2019									
	Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state									
	Border bridge - structure number									

Inspection and Sufficiency									
Structure status Open, no res	ructure status Open, no restriction [A]			Somewhat better than minimum adequacy to tolerate being left in place as is [5]					
Condition ratings - superstructure	Satisfactory [6]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment							
Condition ratings - substructure	Fair [5]	Appraisal ratings -	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of corrrective action [3]						
Condition ratings - deck	Fair [5]	deck geometry							
Scour	Bridge foundations determ	Bridge foundations determined to be stable for assessed or calculated scour condition. [5]							
Channel and channel protection		Bank protection is in need of minor repairs. River control devices and embankment protection have a little minor damage. Banks and/or channel have minor amounts of drift. [7]							
Appraisal ratings - water adequad	Equal to present desirable	criteria [8]		Status evaluation Functionally obsolete [2]					
Pier or abutment protection	None present but re-evalu	ation suggested [5]		Sufficiency rating 57.5					
Culverts Not applicable. Used	if structure is not a culvert. [N]								
Traffic safety features - railings									
Traffic safety features - transition	ns								
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail								
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail ends								
Inspection date September 2	Designated in:	spection frequency 24	Mo	onths					
Underwater inspection	Every two years [Y24]	Underwater inspec	ction date	July 2017 [0717]					
Fracture critical inspection	Every two years [Y24]	Fracture critical ins	spection date	September 2017	[0917]				
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special inspe	ection date						