HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

2013 Inventor

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format. Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Inf	ormation								35-57-31.92 =	083-55-11.58	
Tennessee [47]		Knox County [093]		Knoxville	Knoxville [40000] HENLEY ST		TENN RIVER		35.958867	= -83.919883	
47SR0330011		Highway agency district 1		Owner	Owner State Highway Agency [01]		Maintenance	Maintenance responsibility State		te Highway Agency [01]	
Route 441 FAU 33 730514V				Toll On fre	e road [3]	Features interse	cted TENNESSE	E RIVER & 2 RTS			
Design - mainConcrete [1]6Arch - Deck [11]			approach	Concrete [1] Tee beam [04]	Year built 1930		Structure F	Year reconstructed N/A [0000] Structure Flared			
Total length 546.6 m = 1793.4 ft Length of maximum span 96.6 m = 316.9 ft Deck width, out-to-out 21.5 m = 70.5 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 16.6 m = 54.5 ft											
Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearanc 16.6 m = 54.5 ft							valk width - right	1.8 m = 5.9 ft			
Deck structure type Concrete Cast-in-Place [1]											
Type of wearing surface Bituminous [6]											
Deck protection											
Type of membrane/wearing surface											
Weight L	.imits										
Bypass, detour length Method to determine inventory			ating No	rating analysis or	evaluation perfor	Inventory rating	0 metric ton = 0.0) tons			
1.6 km = 1.0 mi Method to determine operating rating		rating No	rating analysis or	evaluation perfor	Operating rating	0 metric ton = 0.0) tons				
Bridge posting						Design Load M	13.5 / H 15 [2]				

Functional Details						
Average Daily Traffic 42490 Average daily tr	uck traffi 4 % Year 201	2 Future average	ge daily traffic 6	7360 Yea	ır 2033	
Road classification Other Principal Arterial (Urban)	[14] Lanes on structure	5		Approach road	way width	16.6 m = 54.5 ft
Type of service on bridge Highway-pedestrian [5]	Direction of traffic	2 - way traffic [2]		Bridge	median	
Parallel structure designatio No parallel structure	e exists. [N]	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
Type of service under bridge Highway-waterway [6]	Lanes under structure	4 Navig	ation control	avigation control o	on waterway (b	ridge permit required). [1]
Navigation vertical clearanc 12.1 m = 39.7 ft	Navigatio	on horizontal clearand	ce 73.1 m = 239.8	ft		
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift brid	lge	Minimu	m vertical clearand	e over bridge roa	dway 99.99	m = 328.1 ft
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Hi	ghway beneath structure [H]					
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 1.6 m = 5.2 ft Minimum lateral underclearance on left 3.8 m = 12.5 ft						
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 6.25 m = 20.5 ft Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Highway beneath structure [H]						
Appraisal ratings - underclearances						
Repair and Replacement Plans						
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be dor	ne by contract [1]				
Replacement of bridge or other structure because of substandard load carrying capacity or substantial			Roadway impro	vement cost	5000000	
bridge roadway geometry. [31]	Length of structure improvement	nt 546.6 m = 1	793.4 ft Tota	Il project cost	74995000	
	Year of improvement cost estin	nate 2013				
	Border bridge - state		Borde	r bridge - percent	responsibility	of other state
	Border bridge - structure number	er				

Inspection and Sufficiency							
Structure status Bridge closed	d to all traffic [K]	Appraisal ratings - structural					
Condition ratings - superstructur	Poor [4]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Equal to present desirable cr	to present desirable criteria [8]			
Condition ratings - substructure	Fair [5]	_ Appraisal ratings -					
Condition ratings - deck	Fair [5]	deck geometry					
Scour	Bridge foundations determined	d to be stable for the assess	sed or calculated scour condit	ion. [8]			
Channel and channel protection	Banks are protected or well ver required or are in a stable con		ices such as spur dikes and e	mbankment protection are not			
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	Equal to present desirable crit	teria [8]	Status evaluation	Structurally deficient [1]			
Pier or abutment protection	None present but re-evaluation	on suggested [5]	Sufficiency rating	2			
Culverts Not applicable. Used	if structure is not a culvert. [N]						
Traffic safety features - railings							
Traffic safety features - transition							
Traffic safety features - approach							
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail ends						
Inspection date January 2012	2 [0112] Designated inspe	ction frequency 24	Months				
	Unknown [Y60]	Underwater inspection		[1007]			
Fracture critical inspection	Not needed [N]	Fracture critical inspe	ection date				
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special inspect	tion date				