HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information							33-36-06.78 =	086-11-47.82
Alabama [01] St. Clair County [115]			Riverside [64920]	@ ST CLAIR/TALLAD	DEGA CL		33.601883	= -86.196617
10641 Highway agency district: 3			Owner State Highway A	Agency [01]	Maintenance re	Maintenance responsibility State Highway Agency [01]		
Route 78	US 78		Toll On fre	e road [3]	Features intersected COOSA RIVER			
Design - Steel [3] main		Design - approach Steel	[3]	Kilometerpoint 22 Year built 1930	289.4 km = 13819. Year recor		2	
4 Truss - Thi	Truss - Thru [10]		ger/Multi-beam or girder [02]	Skew angle 0	Structure Flared			
				Historical significance	Bridge is r	not eligible for th	ne NRHP. [5]	
Total length 279.5 n	n = 917.0 ft Leng	gth of maximum sp	oan 70.7 m = 232.0 ft	Deck width, out-to-o	out 7.1 m = 23.3 ft	Bridge road	dway width, curb-to-cu	urb 6 m = 19.7 ft
Inventory Route, Tota	l Horizontal Clearance	6.4 m = 21.0 ft	Curb or sidewalk wi	dth - left $0 m = 0.0$	ft	Curb or side	ewalk width - right	0 m = 0.0 ft
Deck structure type	Со	oncrete Cast-in-Pla	ace [1]					
Type of wearing surface Monolit		Ionolithic Concrete (concurrently placed with structural deck) [1]						
Deck protection								
Type of membrane/wo	earing surface							
Weight Limits								
Bypass, detour lengt	iviction to actorimite inv		rating Load Factor(LF) [1]		ventory rating 28.6 metric ton = 31.5 tons			
0.2 km = 0.1 mi	Method to determine	ne operating rating	Load Factor(LF) [1]	Ор	perating rating 4	7.6 metric ton =	= 52.4 tons	
Bridge posting Equal to or above legal loads [5]				De	Design Load M 13.5 / H 15 [2]			

Functional Details								
Average Daily Traffic 5883 Average daily tr	ruck traffi 3 % Year 2019 Future average daily traffic 9635	Year 2039						
Road classification Major Collector (Rural) [07]	Lanes on structure 2 Approac	h roadway width 7.3 m = 24.0 ft						
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2]	Bridge median						
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure exists. [N]								
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control Navigation c	ontrol on waterway (bridge permit required). [1]						
Navigation vertical clearance 7.3 m = 24.0 ft Navigation horizontal clearance 30.5 m = 100.1 ft								
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge 0 m = 0.0 ft Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 4.19 m = 13.7 ft								
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]								
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A								
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]								
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]								
Repair and Replacement Plans								
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]							
Bridge rehabilitation because of general structure deterioration or inadequate strength. [35]	Bridge improvement cost 4408000 Roadway improvement cost	st 441000						
actionation of madequate strongth. [55]	Length of structure improvement 279.5 m = 917.0 ft Total project co	ost 4849000						
	Year of improvement cost estimate 2019							
	Border bridge - state Border bridge - p	percent responsibility of other state						
	Border bridge - structure number							

Inspection and Sufficient	iency								
Structure status Op	Open, no restriction [A]			ppraisal ratings - ructural	Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4]				
Condition ratings - superstructure Fair [5]		Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment		Equal to present minimum criteria [6]					
Condition ratings - substructure Poor [Poor [4]		Appraisal ratings - deck geometry	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of replacement [2]				
Condition ratings - deck Fair [Fair [5]	C						
Scour		Bridge is scour	critical; bridge four	ndations determined t	to be unstab	le. [3]			
Channel and channel protection			Bank is beginning to slump. River control devices and embankment protection have widespread minor damage. There is minor stream bed movement evident. Debris is restricting the channel slightly. [6]						
Appraisal ratings - water adequacy		Superior to pre	sent desirable crite	eria [9]		Status evaluation	Structurally deficient [1]		
Pier or abutment protection		Navigation prot	igation protection not required [1]			Sufficiency rating	cy rating 47.2		
Culverts Not applicate	able. Used if	structure is not a culv	rert. [N]						
Traffic safety features - railings									
Traffic safety features - transitions			Inpected feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]						
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail			Inpected feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]						
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail ends			Inpected feature	npected feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]					
Inspection date February 1999 [299] Design			signated inspection	gnated inspection frequency 24 Mod					
Underwater inspection Every y		very year [Y12]	year [Y12]		ction date	August 2018 [0	August 2018 [0818]		
Fracture critical inspection Every		very two years [Y24]	two years [Y24]		spection dat	e February 1999	February 1999 [299]		
Other special inspection Every		very year [Y12]	year [Y12]		ection date	ate February 1999 [299]			