The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information							43-08-39 =	082-39-06 = -	
Michigan [26]	chigan [26] St. Clair County [147]			Greenwood [35220] SEC. 12 GREEN		WOOD TWP.		82.651667	
77314H00022B010 Highway agency district 7			Owner County Highway	Owner County Highway Agency [02] Maintenance responsibility			County Highway Agency [02]		
Route 0 COMSTOCK ROAD			Toll On free road [3] Features intersected EVES DRA			IIN			
Design - Steel [3] main 2 Stringer/Multi	-beam or girder [02]	Design - approach Other	[00]	Kilometerpoir Year built 1 Skew angle Historical sign	1939 Year 0 Structur	reconstructed N/A	the NRHP. [5]		
Total length 18.2 m = 59.7 ft Length of maximum span 8.8 m = 28.9 ft Deck width, out-to-out 6.7 m = 22.0 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 6.1 m = 20.0 ft									
Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 6 m = 19.7 ft Curb or sidewalk widt Deck structure type Concrete Cast-in-Place [1]				iutii - ieit 0	111 = 0.0 10	Culb of Sid	ewaik widin - rigiii	0 m = 0.0 ft	
Type of wearing surface Monolithic Concrete (d		(concurrently placed with structural deck) [1]							
Deck protection									
Type of membrane/wear	ring surface								
Weight Limits									
Bypass, detour length $0.3 \text{ km} = 0.2 \text{ mi}$ Method to determine inventory rating Method to determine operating rating		Allowable Stress(AS) [2]		Inventory rating	32.7 metric ton	= 36.0 tons			
		Allowable Stress(AS) [2]		Operating rating	Operating rating 32.7 metric ton = 36.0 tons				
Bridge posting Equal to or above legal loads [5]				Design Load	MS 18+Mod / HS 20	D+Mod [6]			

Functional Details										
Average Daily Traffic 60 Average daily truc	ck traffi % Year 1994 Future average daily traffic 205 Year 2014									
Road classification Local (Rural) [09]	Lanes on structure 2 Approach roadway width 7.3 m = 24.0 ft									
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2] Bridge median									
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure e	exists. [N]									
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control									
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A									
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 99.99 m = 328.1 ft										
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]										
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 99.9 = Unlimited Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A										
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]										
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]										
Repair and Replacement Plans										
•	Work done by									
	Bridge improvement cost Roadway improvement cost 10000									
	Length of structure improvement 45.7 m = 149.9 ft Total project cost 14000									
	Year of improvement cost estimate									
	Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state									
	Border bridge - structure number									

Inspection and Sufficiency								
Structure status Open, no res	striction [A]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Somewhat better than minimum adequacy to tolerate being left in place as is [5]					
Condition ratings - superstructur	Satisfactory [6]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Somewhat better than minimu is [5]	m adequacy to tolerate being left in place as				
Condition ratings - substructure Fair [5]		Appraisal ratings -	Somewhat better than minimum adequacy to tolerate being left in place as					
Condition ratings - deck	Fair [5]	deck geometry	is [5]					
Scour	Bridge foundations determine	Bridge foundations determined to be stable for assessed or calculated scour condition. [5]						
Channel and channel protection	Bank protection is being erode channel. [5]	Bank protection is being eroded. River control devices and/or embankment have major damage. Trees and rush restrict the channel. [5]						
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	Equal to present minimum cri	iteria [6]	Status evaluation					
Pier or abutment protection				79				
Culverts Not applicable. Used	if structure is not a culvert. [N]							
Traffic safety features - railings Inpected feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]								
Traffic safety features - transition	ns							
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail							
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail ends								
Inspection date February 2009 [0209] Designated inspection frequency 24 Months								
Underwater inspection	Not needed [N]	Underwater inspec						
Fracture critical inspection	Not needed [N]	Fracture critical ins						
Other special inspection Other special inspection Other special inspection date								