The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information							00-00-00 =	000-00-00 = -	
Michigan [26]	St. Clair County [147]	Grant [34420]		SEC. 6-7 G	SEC. 6-7 GRANT TWP.			0.000000	
77313H00015B010 Highway agency district 7			Owner County Hig	Owner County Highway Agency [02] Maintenance responsibility		County Highway A	Agency [02]		
Route 0 JEDDO ROAD			Toll	Toll On free road [3] Features intersected SILVER CR			REEK		
Design - Steel [3] main Stringer/Mul	ti-beam or girder [02]	Design - approach O Other	r [00]	Kilometerpo Year built Skew angle Historical sig	1920 Year 0 Structur	reconstructed N/A e Flared ge is not eligible for	[0000] the NRHP. [5]		
Total length 15.5 m = 50.9 ft Length of maximum span 14.3 m = 46.9 ft Deck width, out-to-out 5.7 m = 18.7 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 5.4 m = 17.7 ft Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 5.4 m = 17.7 ft Curb or sidewalk width - left 0 m = 0.0 ft Curb or sidewalk width - right Deck structure type Wood or Timber [8]									
Type of wearing surface Deck protection Type of membrane/wearing surface Wood or Timber [7] Deck protection									
Weight Limits Bypass, detour length 0.3 km = 0.2 mi Method to determine inventory rating Method to determine operating rating Bridge posting 00.1 - 09.9 % belo			Allowable Stres	·	Inventory rating Operating ratin Design Load				

Functional Details									
Average Daily Traffic 145 Average daily tru	ck traffi 3 % Year 1994 Future average daily traffic 205 Year 2014								
Road classification Local (Rural) [09]	Lanes on structure 2 Approach roadway width 6.1 m = 20.0 ft								
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2] Bridge median								
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	exists. [N]								
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control								
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A								
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 99.99 m = 328.1 ft									
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]									
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 99.9 = Unlimited Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A									
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A	Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]								
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]									
Repair and Replacement Plans									
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]								
Replacement of bridge or other structure because of substandard load carrying capacity or substantial	Bridge improvement cost 0 Roadway improvement cost 0								
bridge roadway geometry. [31]	Length of structure improvement 21.3 m = 69.9 ft Total project cost								
	Year of improvement cost estimate 1995								
	Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state 0								
	Border bridge - structure number								

Inspection and Sufficiency									
Structure status Posted for lo	ad [P]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of corrrective action [3]						
Condition ratings - superstructur	Serious [3]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4]						
Condition ratings - substructure	Fair [5]	Appraisal ratings - deck geometry	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of replacement [2]						
Condition ratings - deck	Satisfactory [6]								
Scour	Scour calculation/evaluation h	Scour calculation/evaluation has not been made. [6]							
Channel and channel protection	Bank and embankment protect debris are in the channel. [4]	Bank and embankment protection is severely undermined. River control devices have severe damage. Large deposits of debris are in the channel. [4]							
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	Somewhat better than miniming in place as is [5]	um adequacy to tolerate b	being left Status evaluation	Structurally deficient [1]					
Pier or abutment protection		Sufficiency rating 18.6							
Culverts Not applicable. Used	if structure is not a culvert. [N]								
Traffic safety features - railings									
Traffic safety features - transition	ns								
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail								
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail ends									
Inspection date February 1999 [0299] Designated inspection frequency 12 Months									
Underwater inspection Unknown [N24] Underwater inspection date									
Fracture critical inspection	Unknown [N24]	Fracture critical inspection date							
Other special inspection Unknown [N24] Other special inspection date									