The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

| Basic Inf | ormation | | | | | | | | | 46-11-13 = | 084-33-39 = - |
|---|-------------------------|-----------------------|--------------|---|---|--------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------|---------------------------|------------|----------------|
| Michigan [26] Ch | | Chippewa County [033] | | Rudyard | Rudyard [70220] 2 | | 2.0 MI N OF MACKINAC COL | | | 46.186944 | 84.560833 |
| 17117033000S010 Highway agency district | | | y district 1 | Owner | Owner State Highway Agency [01] Maintenance respo | | | eresponsibility | State Highway Agency [01] | | |
| Route 48 M-48 | | | | Toll On fre | e road [3] | F | eatures interse | cted I-75,US-2 | | | |
| Design - main | main approach | | her [00] | | | | | | [0000] he NRHP. [5] | | |
| | | | | | | | _ | ut 10.7 m = 35. | 1 ft Bridge road | | 0.7 m = 2.3 ft |
| Deck structure type Type of wearing surface Concrete Cast-in-Place Monolithic Concrete (cast-in-Place) | | | | | ly placed with str | uctural decl | :) [1] | | | | |
| Deck prot | | aring surface | | | | | | | | | |
| Weight L | imits | | | | | | | | | | |
| Bypass, 1.1 km = | detour length 0.7 mi | Method to determ | ing Allo | Allowable Stress(AS) [2] Allowable Stress(AS) [2] | | | ventory rating perating rating | 44.5 metric ton | | | |
| Bridge posting Equal to or above legal loads [| | | | ts [5] | | | Design Load MS 18 / HS 20 [5] | | | | |

| Functional Details | | | | | | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Average Daily Traffic 605 Average daily to | ruck traffi 27 % Year 2007 Future average daily traffic 476 Year 2018 | | | | | | | | |
| Road classification Major Collector (Rural) [07] | Lanes on structure 2 Approach roadway width 10 m = 32.8 ft | | | | | | | | |
| Type of service on bridge Highway [1] | Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2] Bridge median | | | | | | | | |
| Parallel structure designation No parallel structure exists. [N] | | | | | | | | | |
| Type of service under bridge Highway, with or without ped Lanes under structure 4 Navigation control Not applicable, no waterway. [N] | | | | | | | | | |
| Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A | | | | | | | | | |
| Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 99.99 m = 328.1 ft | | | | | | | | | |
| Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Highway beneath structure [H] | | | | | | | | | |
| Minimum lateral underclearance on right 3.4 m = 11.2 ft Minimum lateral underclearance on left 10.3 m = 33.8 ft | | | | | | | | | |
| Minimum Vertical Underclearance 4.88 m = 16.0 ft Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Highway beneath structure [H] | | | | | | | | | |
| Appraisal ratings - underclearances Somewhat better than minimum adequacy to tolerate being left in place as is [5] | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| Repair and Replacement Plans | | | | | | | | | |
| Type of work to be performed Work done by Work to be done by contract [1] | | | | | | | | | |
| Bridge deck replacement with only incidental widening. [37] | Bridge improvement cost 448000 Roadway improvement cost | | | | | | | | |
| widefing. [57] | Length of structure improvement Total project cost | | | | | | | | |
| | Year of improvement cost estimate | | | | | | | | |
| | Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state | | | | | | | | |
| | Border bridge - structure number | | | | | | | | |

| Inspection and Sufficiency | | | |
|--------------------------------------|------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---|
| Structure status Open, no res | striction [A] | Appraisal ratings - structural | Somewhat better than minimum adequacy to tolerate being left in place as is [5] |
| Condition ratings - superstructur | Satisfactory [6] | Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment | Better than present minimum criteria [7] |
| Condition ratings - substructure | Fair [5] | Appraisal ratings - deck geometry | Somewhat better than minimum adequacy to tolerate being left in place as |
| Condition ratings - deck | Fair [5] | | is [5] |
| Scour | Bridge not over waterway. [N] | | |
| Channel and channel protection | Not applicable. [N] | | |
| chains and chains protection | iter applicable. [:1] | | |
| Appraisal ratings - water adequac | cy N/A [N] | | Status evaluation |
| | | | Status evaluation |
| Pier or abutment protection | | | Sufficiency rating 80.6 |
| Culverts Not applicable. Used | if structure is not a culvert. [N] | | |
| | | | |
| Traffic safety features - railings | | | |
| Traffic safety features - transition | Inpected fear | ture meets currently acce | ptable standards. [1] |
| Traffic safety features - approach | n guardrail Inpected fea | ture meets currently acce | ptable standards. [1] |
| Traffic safety features - approach | n guardrail ends Inpected fea | ture meets currently acce | ptable standards. [1] |
| Inspection date June 2008 [0 | Designated inspe | ection frequency 24 | Months |
| Underwater inspection | Not needed [N] | Underwater inspec | ction date |
| Fracture critical inspection | Not needed [N] | Fracture critical in: | spection date |
| Other special inspection | Not needed [N] | Other special insp | ection date |