HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information						34-02-14 =	118-13-49 = -	
California [06] Los Angeles County [037]		Los Angeles [44000] SIXTH STREET OVER LA RIVE		34.037222	118.230278			
53C1880 Highway agency district: 7		Owner City or Municipal Highway Agency [04] Maintenance responsibility		City or Municipal F	lighway Agency [04]			
Route #Num!	SIXT	TH STREET	Toll On free	e road [3] Featur	es intersected LOS ANGE	LES RIVER		
main approach		ncrete continuous [2] Kilometerpoint Year built 1932		0 km = 0.0 mi 2 Year reconstructed N/A [0000]				
2 Arch - Thru [12] 39 Tee t				Structure Flared Yes, fla Bridge is eligible for the N				
Total length 901.9 m = 2959.1 ft Length of maximum span 45.7 m = 149.9 ft Deck width, out-to-out 17.9 m = 58.7 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 14 m = 45.9 ft								
Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 14 m = 45.9 ft			Curb or sidewalk width - left 1.6 m = 5.2 ft Curb or sidew		ewalk width - right	1.6 m = 5.2 ft		
Deck structure type		Concrete Cast-in-Pl	ace [1]					
Type of wearing surface Bituminous [6]		Bituminous [6]						
Deck protection								
Type of membrane/wea	aring surface	Preformed Fabric [2]					
Weight Limits								
Bypass, detour length Method to determine inventory rating		g Load Factor(LF) [1]	Inventor	Inventory rating 32.4 metric ton = 35.6 tons				
0.3 km = 0.2 mi Method to determine operating rating		g Load Factor(LF) [1]	Operatir	Operating rating 54.1 metric ton = 59.5 tons				
Bridge posting Equal to or above legal loads [5]			Design I	Load M 18 / H 20 [4]				

Functional Details									
Average Daily Traffic 12283 Average daily to	uck traffi 1 % Year 2008 Future average daily traffic 21619 Year 2029								
Road classification Minor Arterial (Urban) [16]	Lanes on structure 4 Approach roadway width 14 m = 45.9 ft								
Type of service on bridge Highway-pedestrian [5]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2] Bridge median								
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	e exists. [N]								
Type of service under bridge Highway-waterway-ra	lroad [8] Lanes under structure 10 Navigation control								
Navigation vertical clearance 0 = N/A Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A									
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 99.99 m = 328.1 ft									
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Highway beneath structure [H]									
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 4.6 m = 15.1 ft Minimum lateral underclearance on left 4.6 m = 15.1 ft									
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 5.13 m = 16.8 ft Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Highway beneath structure [H]									
Appraisal ratings - underclearances Equal to present minimum criteria [6]									
Denoir and Denlessment Dians									
Repair and Replacement Plans	Wards dans has Mark to be done has contract [4]								
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]								
Other structural work, including hydraulic replacements. [38]	Bridge improvement cost 16415000 Roadway improvement cost 3283000								
[25]	Length of structure improvement 901.9 m = 2959.1 ft Total project cost 27577000								
	Year of improvement cost estimate 2010								
	Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state								
	Border bridge - structure number								

Inspection and Sufficiency									
Structure status Open, no restriction [A]		Appraisal ratings - structural	Meets minimum tolerable lim	its to be left in place as is [4]					
Condition ratings - superstructure Fair [5]		Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Equal to present desirable criteria [8]						
Condition ratings - substructure	Poor [4]	Appraisal ratings -	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of replacement [2]						
Condition ratings - deck	Poor [4]	deck geometry							
Scour	Bridge foundations determine	Bridge foundations determined to be stable for the assessed or calculated scour condition. [8]							
Channel and channel protection		Banks are protected or well vegetated. River control devices such as spur dikes and embankment protection are not required or are in a stable condition. [8]							
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	Superior to present desirable	criteria [9]	Status evaluation	Structurally deficient [1]					
Pier or abutment protection			Sufficiency rating	46.9					
Culverts Not applicable. Used	if structure is not a culvert. [N]								
Traffic safety features - railings									
Traffic safety features - transition	ns								
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail								
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail ends									
Inspection date January 2012 [0112] Designated inspection frequency 24 Months									
Underwater inspection	Not needed [N]	Underwater inspec	ction date						
·	Every two years [Y24]	Fracture critical ins	spection date September 2	011 [0911]					
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special insp	ection date						