The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information							37-45-54 =	122-13-30 = -	
California [06]	Alameda County [0	01]	Unknown [00000]	0.4 MI S/W OF I-	880		37.765000	122.225000	
33C0026 Highway agency district 4		Owner County Highway	Owner County Highway Agency [02] Maintenance responsibility			County Highway A	gency [02]		
Route #Num!	#Num! HIGH ST Toll On fre				ree road [3] Features intersected OAKLAND ESTUARY				
Design - Movable - E	Bascule [16]	approach	crete [1] Deam [04]	Kilometerpoint Year built Skew angle 0	0 km = 0.0 mi Year re		[0000]		
Total length 154 m =	505 3 ft	ength of maximum sr	nan 90 m = 295 3 ft	Historical signification	ance Bridge i -to-out 7.9 m = 25.9	s eligible for the N	IRHP. [2] Iway width, curb-to-c	7 3 m = 24 0 ft	
Total length 154 m = 505.3 ft Length of maximum span 90 m = 295.3 ft Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 7.3 m = 24.0 ft Curb or sidewalk					= 3.6 ft		walk width - right	1.1 m = 3.6 ft	
Deck structure type		Open Grating [3]							
Type of wearing surface Other [9]									
Deck protection									
Type of membrane/we	earing surface								
Weight Limits									
Bypass, detour length Method to determine inventory rating		Allowable Stress(AS) [2]		Inventory rating	42.8 metric ton =	= 47.1 tons			
0.3 km = 0.2 mi	0.3 km = 0.2 mi Method to determine operating rating Load Factor(LF) [1]			Operating rating	62.5 metric ton =	= 68.8 tons			
Bridge posting Equal to or above legal loads [5]			Design Load						

Functional Details									
Average Daily Traffic 18620 Average daily tr	ruck traffi 10 % Year 1979 Future average daily traffic 22296 Year 2028								
Road classification Minor Arterial (Urban) [16]	Lanes on structure 2 Approach roadway width 8.8 m = 28.9 ft								
Type of service on bridge Highway-pedestrian [5]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2] Bridge median								
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	e exists. [N]								
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control Navigation control on waterway (bridge permit required). [1]								
Navigation vertical clearanc 5.5 m = 18.0 ft	Navigation horizontal clearance 73.2 m = 240.2 ft								
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 4.85 m = 15.9 ft									
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]									
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A									
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]									
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]									
Repair and Replacement Plans									
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]								
Bridge rehabilitation because of general structure deterioration or inadequate strength. [35]	Bridge improvement cost 1150000 Roadway improvement cost 230000								
deterioration of induceduate strength. [50]	Length of structure improvement 154 m = 505.3 ft Total project cost 1932000								
	Year of improvement cost estimate 2010								
	Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state								
	Border bridge - structure number								

Inspection and Sufficiency									
Structure status Open, no res	Open, no restriction [A]		Equal to present minimum criteria [6]						
Condition ratings - superstructur Satisfactory [6]		Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment							
Condition ratings - substructure	Good [7]	Appraisal ratings - deck geometry	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of replacement [2]						
Condition ratings - deck	Good [7]								
Scour	Bridge with "unknown" founda	Bridge with "unknown" foundation that has not been evaluated for scour. [U]							
Channel and channel protection	Banks are protected or well verequired or are in a stable con	Banks are protected or well vegetated. River control devices such as spur dikes and embankment protection are not required or are in a stable condition. [8]							
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	Superior to present desirable	Superior to present desirable criteria [9]			Functionally obsolete [2]				
Pier or abutment protection	In place and functioning [2]	In place and functioning [2]			69.6				
Culverts Not applicable. Used if structure is not a culvert. [N]									
Traffic safety features - railings									
Traffic safety features - transition									
Traffic safety features - approach									
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail ends									
Inspection date March 2010 [0310] Designated inspection frequency 24 Months									
Underwater inspection Not needed [N]		Underwater inspection date							
·	Every two years [Y24]	Fracture critical ins	•	September 2010	0 [0910]				
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special inspection date							