

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportation departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.  
 Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

**Basic Information**

California [06]	Stanislaus County [099]	Unknown [00000]	.45 MI S CROWS LANDING RD	37-23-56 = 37.398889	121-01-58 = - 121.032778
38C0168	Highway agency district 10	Owner County Highway Agency [02]	Maintenance responsibility	County Highway Agency [02]	
Route 0	KILBURN ROAD	Toll On free road [3]	Features intersected ORESTIMBA CREEK		
Design - main Steel [3]	Design - approach	Kilometerpoint 0 km = 0.0 mi	Year built 1910	Year reconstructed 1918	
1 Truss - Thru [10]	0 Other [00]	Skew angle 0	Structure Flared		
		Historical significance	Bridge is eligible for the NRHP. [2]		
Total length 18.9 m = 62.0 ft	Length of maximum span 18.3 m = 60.0 ft	Deck width, out-to-out 6 m = 19.7 ft	Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 6 m = 19.7 ft		
Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 6 m = 19.7 ft	Curb or sidewalk width - left 0 m = 0.0 ft	Curb or sidewalk width - right 0 m = 0.0 ft			
Deck structure type	Concrete Cast-in-Place [1]				
Type of wearing surface	Bituminous [6]				
Deck protection					
Type of membrane/wearing surface					

**Weight Limits**

Bypass, detour length 1 km = 0.6 mi	Method to determine inventory rating	Load Factor(LF) [1]	Inventory rating	6.5 metric ton = 7.2 tons
	Method to determine operating rating	Load Factor(LF) [1]	Operating rating	11.7 metric ton = 12.9 tons
	Bridge posting		Design Load	

### Functional Details

Average Daily Traffic	172	Average daily truck traffi	13	%	Year	2007	Future average daily traffic	419	Year	2029
Road classification	Local (Rural) [09]		Lanes on structure	2		Approach roadway width	5.8 m = 19.0 ft			
Type of service on bridge	Highway [1]		Direction of traffic	2 - way traffic [2]		Bridge median				
Parallel structure designation	No parallel structure exists. [N]									
Type of service under bridge	Waterway [5]		Lanes under structure	0		Navigation control				
Navigation vertical clearanc	0 = N/A		Navigation horizontal clearance	0 = N/A						
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge			Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway	99.99 m = 328.1 ft						
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature	Feature not a highway or railroad [N]									
Minimum lateral underclearance on right	0 = N/A					Minimum lateral underclearance on left	0 = N/A			
Minimum Vertical Underclearance	0 = N/A		Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature	Feature not a highway or railroad [N]						
Appraisal ratings - underclearances	N/A [N]									

### Repair and Replacement Plans

Type of work to be performed	Work done by		Work to be done by contract [1]							
Replacement of bridge or other structure because of substandard load carrying capacity or substantial bridge roadway geometry. [31]	Bridge improvement cost	259000	Roadway improvement cost	51000						
	Length of structure improvement	18.9 m = 62.0 ft		Total project cost	436000					
	Year of improvement cost estimate	2010								
	Border bridge - state				Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state					
	Border bridge - structure number									

## Inspection and Sufficiency

Structure status	Posted for load [P]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of replacement [2]
Condition ratings - superstructure	Good [7]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Equal to present minimum criteria [6]
Condition ratings - substructure	Good [7]	Appraisal ratings - deck geometry	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of corrective action [3]
Condition ratings - deck	Good [7]		
Scour	Bridge foundations determined to be stable for the assessed or calculated scour condition. [8]		
Channel and channel protection	Bank is beginning to slump. River control devices and embankment protection have widespread minor damage. There is minor stream bed movement evident. Debris is restricting the channel slightly. [6]		
Appraisal ratings - water adequacy	Equal to present desirable criteria [8]	Status evaluation	Structurally deficient [1]
Pier or abutment protection		Sufficiency rating	33.8
Culverts	Not applicable. Used if structure is not a culvert. [N]		
Traffic safety features - railings			
Traffic safety features - transitions			
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail			
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail ends			
Inspection date	September 2011 [0911]	Designated inspection frequency	24 Months
Underwater inspection	Not needed [N]	Underwater inspection date	
Fracture critical inspection	Not needed [N]	Fracture critical inspection date	
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special inspection date	