HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.
Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Info	rmation															3	6-28-53.14 =	121-56-13.69
California	[06]	Mon	terey Cou	ınty [053	3]		Unknowr	า [00000]		05-MON-0	01-67	.85				_	6.481428	= -121.937136
44 00	17		Highway	/ agency	district: 5		Owner	State H	ighway <i>i</i>	Agency [01]			Mainte	enance	responsibility	State	e Highway Age	ency [01]
Route 1				STATE	ROUTE 1			Tol	On fre	ee road [3]		Fea	atures i	intersec	ted MALPASC) CREEK	<	
main	Concrete [1				Design - approach	Concre				Kilometer Year built				4206.7 i ⁄ear rec	mi onstructed 199	98		
1	Arch - Deck	K [11]			6	lee be	eam [04]			Skew ang	e 0		Stru	cture FI	ared			
										Historical	signific	ance	E	Bridge is	eligible for the	NRHP.	[2]	
Total lengt	h 64 m = 2	210.0	ft	Leng	oth of maxim	um spa	n 37.9 m	= 124.3	ft	Deck wi	dth, ou	t-to-out	9.1 m	= 29.9	ft Bridge roa	adway w	vidth, curb-to-c	7.3 m = 24.0 ft
Inventory F	Route, Tota	l Horiz	zontal Cle	arance	7.3 m = 24	.0 ft	Cı	urb or sic	lewalk w	ridth - left	0.6 n	n = 2.0	ft		Curb or sid	dewalk w	vidth - right	0.6 m = 2.0 ft
Deck struc	ture type			Сс	ncrete Cast-	-in-Place	e [1]											
Type of we	earing surfa	ce																
Deck prote	ection																	
Type of me	embrane/we	earing	surface															
Weight Lir	mits																	
	etour length	n N	Method to	determi	ne inventory	rating	Loa	nd Factor	(LF) [1]			Inver	ntory ra	ating	32.4 metric tor	n = 35.6	tons	
19.9 km =	12.3 mi	N	Method to	determi	ne operating	rating	Loa	d Factor	(LF) [1]			Oper	rating ra	ating	53.8 metric tor	า = 59.2	tons	
		В	Bridge pos	sting E	Equal to or a	bove le	gal loads	[5]				Desi	gn Loa	d MS	13.5 / HS 15 [3	3]		

Functional Details										
Average Daily Traffic 11100 Average daily to	uck traffi 0 % Year 2009 Future average	e daily traffic 5174 Year 2034								
Road classification Minor Arterial (Rural) [06]	Lanes on structure 2	Approach roadway width 7.3 m = 24.0 ft								
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2]	Bridge median								
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	e exists. [N]									
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Naviga	tion control								
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizontal clearance	e 0 = N/A								
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 99.99 m = 328.1 ft										
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature F	eature not a highway or railroad [N]									
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A										
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A	Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]									
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]										
Panair and Panlacement Plana										
Repair and Replacement Plans	Mode done by									
Type of work to be performed	Work done by									
	Bridge improvement cost	Roadway improvement cost								
	Length of structure improvement	Total project cost								
	Year of improvement cost estimate									
	Border bridge - state	Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state								
	Border bridge - structure number									

Inspection and Sufficiency									
Structure status Open, no res	striction [A]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Somewhat better than minimum adequacy to tolerate being left in place as is [5]						
Condition ratings - superstructure	Fair [5]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	criteria [8]						
Condition ratings - substructure	Fair [5]	Appraisal ratings -	Basically intolerable requirir	ng high priority of replacement [2]					
Condition ratings - deck	Good [7]	deck geometry							
Scour	Bridge foundations (including	piles) on dry land well abo	ove flood water elevations. [9]						
Channel and channel protection	Bank is beginning to slump. minor stream bed movement			widespread minor damage. There is					
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	Superior to present desirable	e criteria [9]	Status evaluation	Functionally obsolete [2]					
Pier or abutment protection			Sufficiency rating	47					
	if structure is not a culvert. [N]								
Traffic safety features - railings									
Traffic safety features - transition									
Traffic safety features - approach Traffic safety features - approach									
Inspection date July 2017 [07		ection frequency 24	Months						
	Not needed [N]	Underwater inspec							
•									
Fracture critical inspection	Not needed [N]	Fracture critical ins	pection date						