## HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information							38-03-01 =	122-45-35 = -	
California [06]	Marin County [041]	Unknown [00000] 1.8 miles E of Olema on S		38.050278	122.759722				
8530001P0000000 Highway agency district 0			Owner National Park Service [66] Maintenance responsibility			National Park Serv	vice [66]		
Route 0 OLD SIR FRANCIS DR				e road [3]	Features intersed	cted LAGUNITAS	S CREEK		
Design - Concrete [1 main Arch - Thru		approach	rete continuous [2] ger/Multi-beam or girder [02]	Kilometerpoint Year built 192 Skew angle 0 Historical signific	Structure F	constructed 1929 lared s eligible for the N			
Total length 53.6 m = 175.9 ft Length of maximum span 29.3 m = 96.1 ft Deck width, out-to-out 6.7 m = 22.0 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 6.1 m = 20.0 ft  Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 5.8 m = 19.0 ft Curb or sidewalk width - left 0 m = 0.0 ft Curb or sidewalk width - right 0 m = 0.0 ft									
Deck structure type	C	oncrete Cast-in-Pla	nce [1]						
Type of wearing surface Bituminous [6]		ituminous [6]							
Deck protection									
Type of membrane/we	aring surface								
Weight Limits									
Bypass, detour length $0.3 \text{ km} = 0.2 \text{ mi}$ Method to determine inventory rating Method to determine operating rating		Load Factor(LF) [1] Load Factor(LF) [1]		Inventory rating Operating rating					
Bridge posting					Design Load				

Functional Details								
Average Daily Traffic 20 Average daily true	ck traffi % Year 2009 Future average daily traffic 24 Year 2029							
Road classification Local (Rural) [09]	Lanes on structure 2 Approach roadway width 3 m = 9.8 ft							
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2]  Bridge median							
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	exists. [N]							
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control Not applicable, no waterway. [N]							
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A							
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge  Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway  99.99 m = 328.1 ft								
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]								
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A								
Minimum Vertical Underclearance   0 = N/A   Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature   Feature not a highway or railroad [N]								
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]								
Repair and Replacement Plans								
Type of work to be performed	Work done by							
	Bridge improvement cost 600000 Roadway improvement cost 60000							
	Length of structure improvement Total project cost 900000							
	Year of improvement cost estimate 2009							
	Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state							
	Border bridge - structure number							

Inspection and Sufficiency								
Structure status Posted for Io	ad [P]	Appraisal ratings - structural						
Condition ratings - superstructur Poor [4]		Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment						
Condition ratings - substructure	Poor [4]	Appraisal ratings -	Somewhat better than minimum adequacy to tolerate being left in place as					
Condition ratings - deck	Fair [5]	deck geometry	is [5]					
Scour	Bridge foundations	undations determined to be stable for assessed or calculated scour condition. [5]						
Channel and channel protection	Bank protection is in Banks and/or chann	Bank protection is in need of minor repairs. River control devices and embankment protection have a little minor damage.  Banks and/or channel have minor amounts of drift. [7]						
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	y Equal to present de	sirable criteria [8]	Status evaluation	Structurally deficient [1]				
Pier or abutment protection	Navigation protection	on not required [1]	Sufficiency rating	39				
Culverts Not applicable. Used	f structure is not a culvert.	[N]						
Traffic safety features - railings								
Traffic safety features - transition	s No	Not applicable or a safety feature is not required. [N]						
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail No	Not applicable or a safety feature is not required. [N]						
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail ends  Not applicable or a safety feature is not required. [N]								
Inspection date July 2011 [07	711] Designa	ated inspection frequency 12	Months					
Underwater inspection	Not needed [N]	Underwater inspec	Underwater inspection date					
Fracture critical inspection	Not needed [N]	Fracture critical ins	Fracture critical inspection date					
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special inspection date						