HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Info	ormation							38-30-39 =	122-27-21 = -	
California [06]		Napa County [055]		Saint Helena [64140]	SILVERADO TRAIL	ERADO TRAIL			122.455833	
21C0109		Highway age	ency district 4	Owner City or Municipa	Owner City or Municipal Highway Agency [04] Maintenance responsibility			City or Municipal H	lighway Agency [04]	
Route 0 PO		PE ST	Toll On fre	Toll On free road [3] Features intersected NAPA RIVE		ER				
main	Masonry [8] Arch - Deck	[11]	Design - approach 0 Othe	r [00]	Kilometerpoint 0 km Year built 1894 Skew angle 0 Historical significance	Structure F		[1]		
Total length 53.9 m = 176.8 ft Length of maximum span 15.2 m = 49.9 ft Deck width, out-to-out 6.6 m = 21.7 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 5.6 m = 18.4 ft										
Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 5.6 m = 18.4 ft				Curb or sidewalk w	Curb or sidewalk width - left 0 m = 0.0 ft Curb or side			ewalk width - right	0 m = 0.0 ft	
Deck structure type Not applicable [N]										
Type of wearing surface Not a			Not applicable (appli	ot applicable (applies only to structures with no deck) [N]						
Deck protection			Not applicable (applies only to structures with no deck) [N]							
Type of membrane/wearing surface			Not applicable (applies only to structures with no deck) [N]							
Weight Li	mits									
71	detour length	Method to determine inventory rating			Inver	ntory rating	16.2 metric ton	= 17.8 tons		
0.5 km =	= 0.3 mi Method to dete		rmine operating rating		Oper	Operating rating 27.2 metric ton = 29		= 29.9 tons		
		Bridge posting	Equal to or above I	egal loads [5]	Desiç	gn Load				

Functional Details										
Average Daily Traffic 2790 Average daily tr	uck traffi 10 % Year 2005 Future average daily traffic 4186 Year 2029									
Road classification Local (Rural) [09]	Lanes on structure 2 Approach roadway width 6.4 m = 21.0 ft									
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2] Bridge median									
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure exists. [N]										
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control									
Navigation vertical clearance 0 = N/A Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A										
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 99.99 m = 328.1 ft										
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]										
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A										
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]										
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]										
Repair and Replacement Plans										
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]									
Replacement of bridge or other structure because of substandard load carrying capacity or substantial	Bridge improvement cost 818000 Roadway improvement cost 163000									
bridge roadway geometry. [31]	Length of structure improvement 53.9 m = 176.8 ft Total project cost 1374000									
	Year of improvement cost estimate 2010									
	Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state									
	Border bridge - structure number									

Inspection and Sufficiency										
Structure status Open, no res	striction [A]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4]							
Condition ratings - superstructur	Satisfactory [6]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Basically intolerable requiring	ng high priority of replacement [2]						
Condition ratings - substructure	Satisfactory [6]	Appraisal ratings - deck geometry	Basically intolerable requiring	ng high priority of replacement [2]						
Condition ratings - deck	Not Applicable [N]									
Scour	Bridge with "unknown" founda	Bridge with "unknown" foundation that has not been evaluated for scour. [U]								
Channel and channel protection		Bank protection is in need of minor repairs. River control devices and embankment protection have a little minor damage. Banks and/or channel have minor amounts of drift. [7]								
Appraisal ratings - water adequace	Equal to present desirable cri	teria [8]	Status evaluation	Functionally obsolete [2]						
Pier or abutment protection			Sufficiency rating	49.4						
Culverts Not applicable. Used if structure is not a culvert. [N]										
Traffic safety features - railings										
Traffic safety features - transition	ns									
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail										
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail ends										
Inspection date August 2011 [0811] Designated inspection frequency 24 Months										
Underwater inspection	Not needed [N]	Underwater inspection date								
Fracture critical inspection	Not needed [N]	Fracture critical in:	spection date							
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special inspection date								