

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportation departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.  
 Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

**Basic Information**

California [06] Placer County [061] Roseville [62938] ROSEVILLE ST & LINCOLN ST 38-45-14 = 38.753889 121-17-05 = - 121.284722  
 19C0067 Highway agency district 3 Owner City or Municipal Highway Agency [04] Maintenance responsibility City or Municipal Highway Agency [04]  
 Route 0 SIERRA BLVD Toll On free road [3] Features intersected UP RR & AMTRAK  
 Design - main Concrete [1] Design - approach Concrete [1] Kilometerpoint 0 km = 0.0 mi  
 1 Arch - Thru [12] 13 Slab [01] Year built 1929 Year reconstructed N/A [0000]  
 Skew angle 99 Structure Flared  
 Historical significance Bridge is eligible for the NRHP. [2]  
 Total length 110.9 m = 363.9 ft Length of maximum span 26.5 m = 86.9 ft Deck width, out-to-out 9.2 m = 30.2 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 6.6 m = 21.7 ft  
 Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 6.6 m = 21.7 ft Curb or sidewalk width - left 0 m = 0.0 ft Curb or sidewalk width - right 1.8 m = 5.9 ft  
 Deck structure type Concrete Cast-in-Place [1]  
 Type of wearing surface  
 Deck protection  
 Type of membrane/wearing surface

**Weight Limits**

Bypass, detour length 0.6 km = 0.4 mi Method to determine inventory rating Load Factor(LF) [1] Inventory rating 20.7 metric ton = 22.8 tons  
 Method to determine operating rating Load Factor(LF) [1] Operating rating 34.3 metric ton = 37.7 tons  
 Bridge posting Equal to or above legal loads [5] Design Load

### Functional Details

Average Daily Traffic	2960	Average daily truck traffi	2	%	Year	1975	Future average daily traffic	10923	Year	2029
Road classification	Collector (Urban) [17]		Lanes on structure	2	Approach roadway width	22.9 m = 75.1 ft				
Type of service on bridge	Highway-pedestrian [5]		Direction of traffic	2 - way traffic [2]		Bridge median				
Parallel structure designation	No parallel structure exists. [N]									
Type of service under bridge	Railroad [2]		Lanes under structure	0	Navigation control	Not applicable, no waterway. [N]				
Navigation vertical clearanc	0 = N/A		Navigation horizontal clearance	0 = N/A						
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge			Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway	99.99 m = 328.1 ft						
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature	Railroad beneath structure [R]									
Minimum lateral underclearance on right	1.5 m = 4.9 ft				Minimum lateral underclearance on left	0 = N/A				
Minimum Vertical Underclearance	6.81 m = 22.3 ft		Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature	Railroad beneath structure [R]						
Appraisal ratings - underclearances	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of replacement [2]									

### Repair and Replacement Plans

Type of work to be performed	Work done by			Work to be done by contract [1]		
Replacement of bridge or other structure because of substandard load carrying capacity or substantial bridge roadway geometry. [31]	Bridge improvement cost	2346000	Roadway improvement cost	469000		
	Length of structure improvement	110.9 m = 363.9 ft		Total project cost	3941000	
	Year of improvement cost estimate	2010				
	Border bridge - state			Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state		
	Border bridge - structure number					

## Inspection and Sufficiency

Structure status	<input type="text" value="Open, no restriction [A]"/>	Appraisal ratings - structural	<input type="text" value="Somewhat better than minimum adequacy to tolerate being left in place as is [5]"/>
Condition ratings - superstructure	<input type="text" value="Good [7]"/>	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	<input type="text" value="Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4]"/>
Condition ratings - substructure	<input type="text" value="Satisfactory [6]"/>	Appraisal ratings - deck geometry	<input type="text" value="Basically intolerable requiring high priority of replacement [2]"/>
Condition ratings - deck	<input type="text" value="Satisfactory [6]"/>		
Scour	<input type="text" value="Bridge not over waterway. [N]"/>		
Channel and channel protection	<input type="text" value="Not applicable. [N]"/>		
Appraisal ratings - water adequacy	<input type="text" value="N/A [N]"/>	Status evaluation	<input type="text" value="Functionally obsolete [2]"/>
Pier or abutment protection	<input type="text"/>	Sufficiency rating	<input type="text" value="51.4"/>
Culverts	<input type="text" value="Not applicable. Used if structure is not a culvert. [N]"/>		
Traffic safety features - railings	<input type="text"/>		
Traffic safety features - transitions	<input type="text"/>		
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail	<input type="text"/>		
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail ends	<input type="text"/>		
Inspection date	<input type="text" value="April 2011 [0411]"/>	Designated inspection frequency	<input type="text" value="24"/> Months
Underwater inspection	<input type="text" value="Not needed [N]"/>	Underwater inspection date	<input type="text"/>
Fracture critical inspection	<input type="text" value="Not needed [N]"/>	Fracture critical inspection date	<input type="text"/>
Other special inspection	<input type="text" value="Not needed [N]"/>	Other special inspection date	<input type="text"/>