The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information							41-40-37 =	085-59-27 = -
Indiana [18]	Elkhart County [039]		Elkhart [20728]	0.1 W of FRANKLIN St			41.676944	85.990833
2000165 Highway agency district 2		Owner County Highwa	vner County Highway Agency [02] Maintenance responsibility		County Highway Agency [02]			
Route 0	BRIDO	GE St	Toll On fr	Toll On free road [3] Features intersected ST. JOSEP			H River	
Design - Concrete comain  Stringer/Mul	ntinuous [2] Iti-beam or girder [02]	Design - approach  0 Other	[00]	Kilometerpoint  Year built  1939  Skew angle  0  Historical significant	Structure F	constructed #Nui		
Total length 82.3 m = 270.0 ft Length of maximum span 35.7 m = 117.1 ft Deck width, out-to-out 16.6 m = 54.5 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 11.7 m = 38.4 ft								
Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 11.7 m = 38.4 ft					Curb or side	ewalk width - right	2 m = 6.6 ft	
Deck structure type  Concrete Cast-in-Place [1]								
Type of wearing surface Latex Concrete or sim		nilar additive [3]						
Deck protection								
Type of membrane/we	aring surface							
Weight Limits								
Bypass, detour length  0.2 km = 0.1 mi  Method to determine inventory rating  Method to determine operating rating		Load Factor(LF) [1]	I	nventory rating	18 metric ton = 1	19.8 tons		
		Load Factor(LF) [1]	(	Operating rating 29.7 metric ton = 32.7 tons				
Bridge posting Equal to or above legal loads [5]			]	Design Load				

Functional Details							
Average Daily Traffic 6579 Average daily tr	uck traffi 6 % Year 2007 Future average daily traffic 8108 Year 2028						
Road classification Collector (Urban) [17]	Lanes on structure 2 Approach roadway width 10.7 m = 35.1 ft						
Type of service on bridge Highway-pedestrian [5]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2]  Bridge median						
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	e exists. [N]						
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control						
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A						
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge  Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway  99.99 m = 328.1 ft							
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]							
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 99.9 = Unlimited Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A							
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]							
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]							
Repair and Replacement Plans							
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]						
Bridge rehabilitation because of general structure deterioration or inadequate strength. [35]	Bridge improvement cost 800000 Roadway improvement cost 50000						
and the second s	Length of structure improvement 83.5 m = 274.0 ft Total project cost 1000000						
	Year of improvement cost estimate 2007						
	Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state						
	Border bridge - structure number						

Inspection and Sufficiency									
Structure status Open, no res	striction [A]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4]						
Condition ratings - superstructur	ondition ratings - superstructur Satisfactory [6]		Equal to present desirable criteria [8]						
Condition ratings - substructure	Fair [5]	Appraisal ratings - deck geometry	Somewhat better than minimum adequacy to tolerate being left in place as						
Condition ratings - deck	Satisfactory [6]		is [5]						
Scour	Bridge foundations determined	Bridge foundations determined to be stable for the assessed or calculated scour condition. [8]							
Channel and channel protection	Bank protection is in need of r Banks and/or channel have m	Bank protection is in need of minor repairs. River control devices and embankment protection have a little minor damage.  Banks and/or channel have minor amounts of drift. [7]							
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	Superior to present desirable	criteria [9]	Status evaluation						
Pier or abutment protection			Sufficiency rating 65.3						
Culverts Not applicable. Used if structure is not a culvert. [N]									
Traffic safety features - railings									
Traffic safety features - transition	Traffic safety features - transitions								
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail								
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail ends									
Inspection date December 2008 [1208] Designated inspection frequency 24 Months									
Underwater inspection	Unknown [Y60]	Underwater inspec	october 2006 [1006]						
•	Not needed [N]	Fracture critical inspection date							
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special insp	pection date						