HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

2000 Inventory

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format. Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information Michigan [26] Montmorency County [119]		Hillman [38380] IN HILLMAN M-32		2 SPUR		45-06-26 = 45.107222	083-90-07 = - 84.501944	
60160031000B010 Highway agency district 2		Owner State Highway A	Owner State Highway Agency [01] Maintenance responsibility		responsibility	State Highway Agency [01]		
Route 32	ACC	ESS TO MAINT GA	Toll On fre	e road [3]	Features intersect	ed THUNDER BA	AY R	
Design - mainConcrete [1]2Girder and fill	loorbeam system [03	Design - approach 3] 0 Other	[00]	KilometerpointYear built1922Skew angle52Historical significant	64.9 km = 40.2 mi Year rec Structure Fla nce Bridge is	onstructed N/A [00 ared	000]	
Total length 45.7 m = 149.9 ft Length of maximum span 22.8 m = 74.8 ft Deck width, out-to-out 9.2 m = 30.2 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 6.2 m = 20.3 ft								
Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 6.2 m = 20.3 ft Curb or sidewalk width - left 0.1 m = 0.3 ft Curb or sidewalk width - right 1.5 m = 4.9 ft Deck structure type Concrete Cast-in-Place [1] Concrete Cast-in-Place [1] Concrete Cast-in-Place [1] Concrete Cast-in-Place [1]						1.5 m = 4.9 ft		
Type of wearing surface Integral Concrete (sep			varate non-modified layer of concrete added to structural deck) [2]					
Deck protection								
Type of membrane/wearing surface Other [9]								
Weight Limits								
Bypass, detour length Method to determ		mine inventory rating	Allowable Stress(AS)) [2]	Inventory rating	23.6 metric ton = 2	26.0 tons	
0.8 km = 0.5 mi	Method to deterr	mine operating rating	Allowable Stress(AS)) [2]	Operating rating	63.6 metric ton = $\overline{7}$	70.0 tons	
Bridge posting Equal to or above leg			gal loads [5]		Design Load M 13	3.5 / H 15 [2]		

Functional Details							
Average Daily Traffic 5200 Average daily tr	uck traffi 8 % Year 1995	Future average daily traffic 4	543 Year 2015]			
Road classificationLocal (Rural) [09]Lanes on structure2Approach roadway width6.1 m = 20.0 ft							
Type of service on bridge Highway-pedestrian [5] Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2] Bridge median							
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure exists. [N]							
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5] Lanes under structure O Navigation control							
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation ho	rizontal clearance 0 = N/A					
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift brid	Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge 0 m = 0.0 ft Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 99.99 m = 328.1 ft						
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature	eature not a highway or railroad [N]						
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 99.9 = Unlin	nited	Minimum lateral undercleara	nce on left 0 = N/A				
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A	Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]						
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]							
Repair and Replacement Plans	Repair and Replacement Plans						
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by	contract [1]					
Replacement of bridge or other structure because	Bridge improvement cost 1000	Roadway impro	vement cost 1000				
bridge roadway geometry. [31]	Length of structure improvement	45.7 m = 149.9 ft Tota	l project cost				
	Year of improvement cost estimate	1994					
	Border bridge - state	Borde	r bridge - percent responsibil	ity of other state 0			
	Border bridge - structure number						

Inspection and Sufficiency							
Structure status Open, no restriction [A]		Appraisal ratings - structural	Somewhat better than minimum adequacy to tolerate being left in place as is [5]				
Condition ratings - superstructur Fair [5]		Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Equal to present minimum criteria [6]				
Condition ratings - substructure	Fair [5]	Appraisal ratings -	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of replacement [2]				
Condition ratings - deck	Poor [4]	deck geometry					
Scour	Scour calculation/evaluation h	Scour calculation/evaluation has not been made. [6]					
Channel and channel protection	Bank protection is in need of r Banks and/or channel have m	Bank protection is in need of minor repairs. River control devices and embankment protection have a little minor damage. Banks and/or channel have minor amounts of drift. [7]					
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	Equal to present desirable crit	teria [8]	Status evaluation Structurally deficient [1]				
Pier or abutment protection			Sufficiency rating 51.7				
Culverts Not applicable. Used	if structure is not a culvert. [N]						
Traffic safety features - railings							
Traffic safety features - transition	IS						
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail						
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail ends						
Inspection date October 1999	9 [1099] Designated inspe	ection frequency 15	Months				
Underwater inspection	Unknown [N24]	Underwater inspec	ection date				
Fracture critical inspection Unknown [N24]		Fracture critical ins	nspection date				
Other special inspection	Unknown [N24]	Other special inspe	pection date				