The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information							00-00-00 =	000-00-00 = -	
Michigan [26]	Saginaw County [145]	Buena Vista [11560] 0.3 MI N OF BEC		CKER ROAD		0.000000	0.000000	
73200140000B010 Highway agency district 4		Owner County Highway Agency [02] Maintenance responsibility		County Highway A	gency [02]				
Route 7379	PORT	SMOUTH RD	Toll On free road [3] Features intersected CHEBOYGA			ANING CREEK			
Design - main Concrete [1 Arch - Deck		Design - approach Steel String	[3] ger/Multi-beam or girder [02]	Kilometerpoint Year built 1921 Skew angle 30 Historical significan	Structure FI	constructed 1930 ared s on the NRHP. [1			
Total length 38.1 m = 125.0 ft Length of maximum span 21.3 m = 69.9 ft Deck width, out-to-out 8.6 m = 28.2 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 7.3 m = 24.0 ft Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 7.3 m = 24.0 ft Curb or sidewalk width - left 0 m = 0.0 ft									
Deck structure type Concrete Cast-in-Place [1]									
Type of wearing surface Bituminou		tuminous [6]	ninous [6]						
Deck protection									
Type of membrane/we	earing surface								
Weight Limits									
Bypass, detour length 0.3 km = 0.2 mi Method to determine inventory rating Method to determine operating rating		, , , , ,		Inventory rating Operating rating	10.9 metric ton =				
Bridge posting					Design Load M 13.5 / H 15 [2]		0 110 10115		

Functional Details								
Average Daily Traffic 1382 Average daily tr	uck traffi 5 % Year 1997 Future average daily traffic 2275 Year 2011							
Road classification Major Collector (Rural) [07]	Lanes on structure 2 Approach roadway width 6.4 m = 21.0 ft							
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2] Bridge median							
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	e exists. [N]							
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control							
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A							
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bri	Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 99.99 m = 328.1 ft							
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature F	eature not a highway or railroad [N]							
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 99.9 = Unlimited Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A								
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]								
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]								
Repair and Replacement Plans								
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]							
Bridge rehabilitation because of general structure deterioration or inadequate strength. [35]	Bridge improvement cost 1000 Roadway improvement cost 1000							
deterioration of madequate strength. [55]	Length of structure improvement 45.7 m = 149.9 ft Total project cost							
	Year of improvement cost estimate 1991							
	Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state 0							
	Border bridge - structure number							

Inspection and Sufficiency									
Structure status Posted for lo	ad [P]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of corrrective action [3]						
Condition ratings - superstructur Fair [5]		Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment							
Condition ratings - substructure	Poor [4]	Appraisal ratings -	Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4]						
Condition ratings - deck	Fair [5]	deck geometry							
Scour		Scour calculation/evaluation has not been made. [6]							
Channel and channel protection		Bank is beginning to slump. River control devices and embankment protection have widespread minor damage. There is minor stream bed movement evident. Debris is restricting the channel slightly. [6]							
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	Basically intoler	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of corrrective action [3] Status evaluation Structurally deficient [1]							
Pier or abutment protection			Sufficiency rating	14.9					
Culverts Not applicable. Used	if structure is not a culv	ert. [N]							
Traffic safety features - railings		Inpected feature meets currently acce	eptable standards. [1]						
Traffic safety features - transition	ns	Inpected feature meets currently acce	ature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]						
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail	Inpected feature meets currently acce	eature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]						
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail ends								
Inspection date January 199									
·	Unknown [N24]		Underwater inspection date						
·	Unknown [N24]	Fracture critical in							
Other special inspection	Unknown [N24]	Other special inspection date							