The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information					41-49-20 =	072-29-53 = -	
Connecticut [09] Tolland County [013]		Vernon [78250] 300 FT FR JCT ROUTE 83		41.822222	72.498056		
4575 Highway agency district 1		Owner Town or Township Highway Agency [03] Maintenance responsibility		ce responsibility Town or Townshi	p Highway Agency [03]		
Route 0	coute 0 MAIN STREET		Toll On free road [3] Features intersected TANKERHO		sected TANKERHOOSEN RIVER		
Design - Steel [3] main Stringer/Mu	ulti-beam or girder [02]	Design - approach 0 Other	[00]	Skew angle 16 Structure	reconstructed 1995 Plared e is on the NRHP. [1]		
Total length 21 m =	68.9 ft Ler	ngth of maximum sp	an 20.7 m = 67.9 ft	Deck width, out-to-out 4.9 m = 10	5.1 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-	curb 4.9 m = 16.1 ft	
Inventory Route, Tota	I Horizontal Clearance	4.9 m = 16.1 ft	Curb or sidewalk w	vidth - left 0 m = 0.0 ft	Curb or sidewalk width - right	0 m = 0.0 ft	
Deck structure type	C	Corrugated Steel [6]					
Type of wearing surface Bituminous [6]							
Deck protection Galvanized Ro		Salvanized Reinforci	nforcing [2]				
Type of membrane/we	earing surface						
Weight Limits							
Bypass, detour length Method to determine inventory rating		Load Factor(LF) [1]	Inventory rating	36 metric ton = 39.6 tons			
15.9 km = 9.9 mi	Method to determ	nine operating rating	Load Factor(LF) [1]	Operating rating	60 metric ton = 66.0 tons		
Bridge posting Equal to or above legal loads [5]				Design Load	MS 22.5 / HS 25 [9]		

Functional Details							
Average Daily Traffic 20 Average daily tru	uck traffi 1 % Year 2009 Future average daily traffic 10 Year 2029						
Road classification Local (Urban) [19]	Lanes on structure 1 Approach roadway width 7.6 m = 24.9 ft						
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic One lane bridge for 2 - way traffic [3] Bridge median						
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	exists. [N]						
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control						
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A						
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift brid	ge 0 m = 0.0 ft Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 99.99 m = 328.1 ft						
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Fe	ature not a highway or railroad [N]						
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 99.9 = Unlim	nimum lateral underclearance on right 99.9 = Unlimited Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A						
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A	Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]						
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]							
Repair and Replacement Plans							
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by owner's forces [2]						
Other structural work, including hydraulic replacements. [38]	Bridge improvement cost 1000 Roadway improvement cost 1000						
replacements. [50]	Length of structure improvement 0.1 m = 0.3 ft Total project cost 2000						
	Year of improvement cost estimate						
	Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state						
	Border bridge - structure number						

Inspection and Sufficiency									
Structure status Open, no res	striction [A]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4]						
Condition ratings - superstructur	ndition ratings - superstructur		Equal to present desirable criteria [8]						
Condition ratings - substructure	Poor [4]	Appraisal ratings - deck geometry	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of corrrective action [3]						
Condition ratings - deck	Good [7]								
Scour	Bridge foundations determine	Bridge foundations determined to be stable for assessed or calculated scour condition. [5]							
Channel and channel protection		Bank is beginning to slump. River control devices and embankment protection have widespread minor damage. There is minor stream bed movement evident. Debris is restricting the channel slightly. [6]							
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	Equal to present desirable cri	teria [8]	Status evalu	uation Structurally deficient [1]					
Pier or abutment protection				rating 48.2					
Culverts Not applicable. Used	if structure is not a culvert. [N]								
Traffic safety features - railings									
Traffic safety features - transition	ns								
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail								
Traffic safety features - approach	h guardrail ends								
Inspection date October 2009 [1009] Designated inspection frequency 24 Months									
Underwater inspection	Every two years [Y24]	Underwater inspec	octobe Octobe	er 2009 [1009]					
Fracture critical inspection	Not needed [N]	Fracture critical in:	spection date						
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special insp	ection date						