

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportation departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.
 Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information

Connecticut [09]	New Haven County [009]	New Haven [52070]	1.2 MI SOUTH JCT I-91 NB	41-18-48 = 41.313333	072-53-36 = -72.893333
3809	Highway agency district: 3	Owner City or Municipal Highway Agency [04]	Maintenance responsibility City or Municipal Highway Agency [04]		
Route 0	FERRY STREET	Toll On free road [3]	Features intersected QUINNIPIAC RIVER		
Design - main Steel [3]	Design - approach Steel [3]	Kilometerpoint 15040.9 km = 9325.4 mi	Year built 1940	Year reconstructed 2008	
1 Movable - Bascule [16]	2 Girder and floorbeam system [03]	Skew angle 0	Structure Flared		
		Historical significance	Bridge is possibly eligible for the NRHP. [3]		
Total length 131.4 m = 431.1 ft	Length of maximum span 37.8 m = 124.0 ft	Deck width, out-to-out 18.3 m = 60.0 ft	Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 13.1 m = 43.0 ft		
Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 13.1 m = 43.0 ft	Curb or sidewalk width - left 1.8 m = 5.9 ft	Curb or sidewalk width - right 1.8 m = 5.9 ft			
Deck structure type	Open Grating [3]				
Type of wearing surface					
Deck protection					
Type of membrane/wearing surface					

Weight Limits

Bypass, detour length 0.2 km = 0.1 mi	Method to determine inventory rating	No rating analysis performed [5]	Inventory rating	32.4 metric ton = 35.6 tons
	Method to determine operating rating	No rating analysis performed [5]	Operating rating	44.1 metric ton = 48.5 tons
Bridge posting	Equal to or above legal loads [5]		Design Load	

Functional Details

Average Daily Traffic Average daily truck traffi % Year Future average daily traffic Year

Road classification Lanes on structure Approach roadway width

Type of service on bridge Direction of traffic Bridge median

Parallel structure designation

Type of service under bridge Lanes under structure Navigation control

Navigation vertical clearanc Navigation horizontal clearance

Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway

Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature

Minimum lateral underclearance on right Minimum lateral underclearance on left

Minimum Vertical Underclearance Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature

Appraisal ratings - underclearances

Repair and Replacement Plans

Type of work to be performed

Work done by

Bridge improvement cost Roadway improvement cost

Length of structure improvement Total project cost

Year of improvement cost estimate

Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state

Border bridge - structure number

Inspection and Sufficiency

Structure status	<input type="text" value="Open, no restriction [A]"/>	Appraisal ratings - structural	<input type="text" value="Somewhat better than minimum adequacy to tolerate being left in place as is [5]"/>
Condition ratings - superstructure	<input type="text" value="Fair [5]"/>	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	<input type="text" value="Better than present minimum criteria [7]"/>
Condition ratings - substructure	<input type="text" value="Satisfactory [6]"/>	Appraisal ratings - deck geometry	<input type="text" value="Somewhat better than minimum adequacy to tolerate being left in place as is [5]"/>
Condition ratings - deck	<input type="text" value="Fair [5]"/>		

Scour	<input type="text" value="Bridge foundations determined to be stable for the assessed or calculated scour condition. [8]"/>
-------	---

Channel and channel protection	<input type="text" value="Bank is beginning to slump. River control devices and embankment protection have widespread minor damage. There is minor stream bed movement evident. Debris is restricting the channel slightly. [6]"/>
--------------------------------	--

Appraisal ratings - water adequacy	<input type="text" value="Equal to present desirable criteria [8]"/>	Status evaluation	<input type="text"/>
------------------------------------	--	-------------------	----------------------

Pier or abutment protection	<input type="text" value="In place and functioning [2]"/>	Sufficiency rating	<input type="text" value="78.2"/>
-----------------------------	---	--------------------	-----------------------------------

Culverts	<input type="text" value="Not applicable. Used if structure is not a culvert. [N]"/>
----------	--

Traffic safety features - railings	<input type="text"/>
------------------------------------	----------------------

Traffic safety features - transitions	<input type="text"/>
---------------------------------------	----------------------

Traffic safety features - approach guardrail	<input type="text"/>
--	----------------------

Traffic safety features - approach guardrail ends	<input type="text"/>
---	----------------------

Inspection date	<input type="text" value="March 2011 [0311]"/>	Designated inspection frequency	<input type="text" value="24"/>	Months
-----------------	--	---------------------------------	---------------------------------	--------

Underwater inspection	<input type="text" value="Not needed [N]"/>	Underwater inspection date	<input type="text"/>
-----------------------	---	----------------------------	----------------------

Fracture critical inspection	<input type="text" value="Not needed [N]"/>	Fracture critical inspection date	<input type="text"/>
------------------------------	---	-----------------------------------	----------------------

Other special inspection	<input type="text" value="Not needed [N]"/>	Other special inspection date	<input type="text"/>
--------------------------	---	-------------------------------	----------------------