HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information							41-34-30 =	073-24-54 = -
Connecticut [09] Litchfield County [005])5]	New Milford [52630]	AT INT OF ROUTE 7 & 202			41.575000	73.415000
901 Highway agency district 4		Owner State Highway	wner State Highway Agency [01] Maintenance responsibility		State Highway Ag	ency [01]		
Route 202 US 202 & RT. 67		Toll On fi	Toll On free road [3] Features intersected HOUSATON		NIC RIVER			
		Design - approach O Other	er [00]		953 Year re	constructed 200	0	
	200.05			Skew angle Historical sign	Bridge	s possibly eligible	e for the NRHP. [3]	
Total length 101.2 m Inventory Route, Total Deck structure type	pan 99.1 m = 325.1 ft Curb or sidewalk vanels [2]	out-to-out 10.2 m = 33 5 m = 4.9 ft		dway width, curb-to-c	0 m = 0.0 ft			
Type of wearing surface Bituminous [6]								
Deck protection Epoxy Coated Rein		forcing [1]						
Type of membrane/wearing surface Built-up [1]								
Weight Limits								
Bypass, detour length	Wethou to determine inventory rating				Inventory rating	32.4 metric ton	= 35.6 tons	
2.9 km = 1.8 mi	Method to detern	nine operating ratin	Load Factor(LF) [1]		Operating rating	54 metric ton =	59.4 tons	
Bridge posting Equal to or above legal loads [5]				Design Load MS	5 18 / HS 20 [5]			

Functional Details									
Average Daily Traffic 25000 Average daily tr	ruck traffi 4 % Year 2009 Future average daily traffic 12500 Year 2029								
Road classification Other Principal Arterial (Urban)	[14] Lanes on structure 2 Approach roadway width 11.6 m = 38.1 ft								
Type of service on bridge Highway-pedestrian [5]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2] Bridge median								
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	e exists. [N]								
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control								
Navigation vertical clearance 0 = N/A Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A									
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge 0 m = 0.0 ft Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 4.7 m = 15.4 ft									
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]									
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 99.9 = Unlimited Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A									
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]									
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]									
Danair and Danlagement Dlane									
Repair and Replacement Plans	Wards dans have Wards to his dans has surrounded formers [2]								
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by owner's forces [2]								
Other structural work, including hydraulic replacements. [38]	Bridge improvement cost 1000 Roadway improvement cost 1000								
7, 11, 11, 11, 11, 11, 11, 11, 11, 11, 1	Length of structure improvement 0.1 m = 0.3 ft Total project cost 2000								
	Year of improvement cost estimate								
	Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state								
	Border bridge - structure number								

Inspection and Sufficiency									
Structure status Open, no res	striction [A]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in	place as is [4]					
Condition ratings - superstructur	Poor [4]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Equal to present minimum criteria [6]						
Condition ratings - substructure Good [7]		Appraisal ratings -	Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in	place as is [4]					
Condition ratings - deck	Good [7]	deck geometry							
Scour	Bridge foundation	Bridge foundations determined to be stable for the assessed or calculated scour condition. [8]							
Channel and channel protection Bank protection is in need of minor repairs. River control devices and embankment protection have a little minor damage. Banks and/or channel have minor amounts of drift. [7]									
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	Equal to present	minimum criteria [6]	Status evaluation Structurally	/ deficient [1]					
Pier or abutment protection			Sufficiency rating 41						
Culverts Not applicable. Used	if structure is not a culve	t. [N]							
Traffic safety features - railings		npected feature meets currently acce	ture meets currently acceptable standards. [1]						
Traffic safety features - transition	ns	npected feature meets currently acce	ature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]						
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail	npected feature meets currently acce							
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail ends Inpected feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]									
Inspection date August 2010 [0810] Designated inspection frequency 24 Months									
Underwater inspection	Not needed [N]	Underwater inspe	ction date						
·	Every two years [Y24]	Fracture critical in							
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special insp	ection date						