HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information							41-12-10.40 =	073-06-37.75
Connecticut [09] New Haven County [009]			Milford [47535] AT STRATFORD		VN LINE		41.202889	= -73.110486
327	Highway age	ency district: 3	Owner State Highway A	Agency [01]	Maintenance re	esponsibility	State Highway Age	ncy [01]
Route 1	US	ROUTE 1	Toll On fre	ee road [3]	eatures intersecte	d HOUSATON	NIC RIVER	
Design - Steel [3] main Movable -	Bascule [16]	approach	Concrete [1] Arch - Deck [11]	Kilometerpoint 567 Year built 1921 Skew angle 0	74.9 km = 3518.4 Year reco	nstructed 2006	5	
				Historical significance	Bridge is p	oossibly eligible	for the NRHP. [3]	
Total length 267.3 n	n = 877.0 ft L	ength of maximu	ım span 55.8 m = 183.1 ft	Deck width, out-to-ou	ut 18.7 m = 61.4 f	t Bridge road	dway width, curb-to-cu	14.6 m = 47.9 ft
Inventory Route, Tota	l Horizontal Clearan	ce 14.6 m = 47	.9 ft Curb or sidewalk wi	idth - left 1.8 m = 5.9	9 ft	Curb or side	ewalk width - right	1.8 m = 5.9 ft
Deck structure type		Concrete Cast-i	n-Place [1]					
Type of wearing surfa	се	Bituminous [6]						
Deck protection		Epoxy Coated R	Reinforcing [1]					
Type of membrane/w	earing surface	Built-up [1]						
Weight Limits								
Bypass, detour lengt	h Method to dete	rmine inventory r	rating Load Factor(LF) [1]	Inve	entory rating 3	32.7 metric ton	= 36.0 tons	
0.5 km = 0.3 mi	Method to dete	rmine operating r	rating Load Factor(LF) [1]	Ope	erating rating 5	4.5 metric ton	= 60.0 tons	
	Bridge posting	Equal to or ab	ove legal loads [5]	Des	sign Load MS 1	8 / HS 20 [5]		

Functional Details						
Average Daily Traffic 21600 Average daily to	uck traffi 6 % Year 2015 Future average	ge daily traffic 32095 Year 2035				
Road classification Other Principal Arterial (Urban)	[14] Lanes on structure 4	Approach roadway width 14.6 m = 47.9 ft				
Type of service on bridge Highway-pedestrian [5]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2]	Bridge median				
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	e exists. [N]					
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Naviga	Navigation control on waterway (bridge permit required). [1]				
Navigation vertical clearanc 9.8 m = 32.2 ft	Navigation horizontal clearanc	e 38.1 m = 125.0 ft				
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bri	dge Minimur	n vertical clearance over bridge roadway 99.99 m = 328.1 ft				
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature F	eature not a highway or railroad [N]					
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A	Minimum I	ateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A				
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A	Minimum vertical underclearanc	e reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]				
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]						
Repair and Replacement Plans						
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]					
Other structural work, including hydraulic replacements. [38]	Bridge improvement cost	Roadway improvement cost				
opiassinoritor (ee)	Length of structure improvement	Total project cost 17100000				
	Year of improvement cost estimate 2017					
	Border bridge - state	Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state				
	Border bridge - structure number					

Inspection and Sufficiency								
Structure status Open,	no restriction [A]		ppraisal ratings - tructural	Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4]				
Condition ratings - superstructure Poor [4]			Appraisal ratings - Equipment		Equal to present desirable criteria [8]			
Condition ratings - substructure Fair [5]			Appraisal ratings -	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of replacement [2]				
Condition ratings - deck Poor [4]		(leck geometry					
Scour		undations determined to	be stable for the asso	essed or calcu	llated scour condition	n. [8]		
Channel and channel protect		Bank protection is being eroded. River control devices and/or embankment have major damage. Trees and rush restrict the channel. [5]						
Appraisal ratings - water add	equacy Equal to	present desirable criteria	a [8]		Status evaluation Structurally deficient [1]			
Pier or abutment protection	In place	In place and functioning [2]			Sufficiency rating 39.1			
Culverts Not applicable.	Jsed if structure is no	t a culvert. [N]						
Traffic safety features - rail	ngs							
Traffic safety features - transitions								
Traffic safety features - app	Inpected feature	Inpected feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]						
Traffic safety features - app	Inpected feature	npected feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]						
Inspection date Octobe	Designated inspection	ection frequency 24 Mo		Months				
Underwater inspection Every two years		[Y24]	Underwater inspec	ction date	March 2018 [0318]			
Fracture critical inspection	Every two years	[Y24]	Fracture critical ins	spection date	October 2018 [1	018]		
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]		Other special insp	ection date				