HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information							38-54-16.65 =	077-04-07.77
District of Columbia [District of Columbia [001]			Unknown [00000] WHITEHURST FRWY		38.904625	= -77.068825		
7 Highway agency district: 1			Owner State Highway	Owner State Highway Agency [01] Maintenance responsibility		State Highway Age	ency [01]	
Route 29 Key Bridge			Toll On fr	ee road [3]	Features intersec	ted WHITEHUF	RST FRWY	
Design - main Concrete [1] Design - approach Rach - Deck [11] 0 0		approach	Kilometerpoint Year built 192 or [00] Skew angle 0		0 km = 0.0 mi Year reconstructed 1986 Structure Flared			
Total langth F20.0	n = 1738.6 ft	Length of maximum s	non (2.4 m., 200.0 ft	Historical significance		on the NRHP. [urb 20.1 m 45.0 ft
Inventory Route, Total	Deck width, out-to-covidth - left 3.1 m = 10			dway width, curb-to-co ewalk width - right	3.1 m = 10.2 ft			
Deck structure type Concrete Cast-in-Place [1]								
31			(concurrently placed with structural deck) [1]					
Deck protection Epoxy Coated Reinf		forcing [1]						
Type of membrane/wearing surface								
Weight Limits								
Bypass, detour length Method to determine inventory rating		Load Factor(LF) [1]		ventory rating	atory rating 35.4 metric ton = 38.9 tons			
0.6 km = 0.4 mi Method to determine operating rating		g Load Factor(LF) [1]	Op	perating rating 54.4 metric ton = 59.8 tons				
	Bridge postin	Equal to or above	legal loads [5]	De	esign Load MS	18 / HS 20 [5]		

Functional Details							
Average Daily Traffic 62000 Average daily t	ruck traffi 3 % Year 2006 Fu	ture average daily traffic	65000 Year 2026				
Road classification Other Principal Arterial (Urban)	[14] Lanes on structure 6		Approach roadway width 20.1 m = 65.9 ft				
Type of service on bridge Highway-pedestrian [5]	Bridge median						
Parallel structure designation No parallel structu	re exists. [N]						
Type of service under bridge Highway-waterway [6] Lanes under structure 4 Navigation control Navigation control on waterway (bridge permit required). [1]							
Navigation vertical clearanc 22 m = 72.2 ft	Navigation horizont	tal clearance 63 m = 206	5.7 ft				
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge 0 m = 0.0 ft Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 99.99 m = 328.1 ft							
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Highway beneath structure [H]							
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 2.4 m = 7.9 ft Minimum lateral underclearance on left 1.5 m = 4.9 ft							
Minimum Vertical Underclearance [4.31 m = 14.1 ft] Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Highway beneath structure [H]							
Appraisal ratings - underclearances Basically intole	rable requiring high priority of corrrective action	on [3]					
Repair and Replacement Plans							
Type of work to be performed	Work done by						
	Bridge improvement cost 0	Roadway in	nprovement cost 0				
	Length of structure improvement 0	0 m = 0.0 ft	Total project cost 0				
	Year of improvement cost estimate						
	Border bridge - state	Во	order bridge - percent responsibility of other state				
	Border bridge - structure number						

Inspection and Sufficiency								
Structure status Open, no re	striction [A]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Somewhat better than minimum adequacy to tolerate being left in place as is [5]					
Condition ratings - superstructur	e Fair [5]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Equal to present desirable criteria [8]					
Condition ratings - substructure	Fair [5]	Appraisal ratings - deck geometry	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of replacement [2]					
Condition ratings - deck	Fair [5]							
Scour	Bridge foundations determin	Bridge foundations determined to be stable for assessed or calculated scour condition. [5]						
Channel and channel protection		Banks are protected or well vegetated. River control devices such as spur dikes and embankment protection are not required or are in a stable condition. [8]						
Appraisal ratings - water adequa	Superior to present desirab	le criteria [9]	Status evaluation Functionally obsolete [2]					
Pier or abutment protection	In place and functioning [2]		Sufficiency rating 48					
Culverts Not applicable. Used	if structure is not a culvert. [N]							
Traffic safety features - railings	Inpected fe	eature meets currently acce	ceptable standards. [1]					
Traffic safety features - transition	ns							
Traffic safety features - approac	ch guardrail							
Traffic safety features - approac	h guardrail ends							
Inspection date May 2015 [Designated ins	pection frequency 24	4 Months					
Underwater inspection	Unknown [Y60]	Underwater inspec	ection date May 2013 [0513]					
Fracture critical inspection	Not needed [N]	Fracture critical ins	nspection date					
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special insp	spection date					