

HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

2019 Inventory

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportation departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information

Florida [12]	Pinellas County [103]	Saint Petersburg [63000]	BETWEEN 6TH & 7TH AVE S	27-45-48.42 = 27.763450	082-38-47.87 = -82.646631
157117	Highway agency district: 7	Owner City or Municipal Highway Agency [04]	Maintenance responsibility City or Municipal Highway Agency [04]		
Route 0		9TH ST SOUTH	Toll On free road [3]	Features intersected	BOOKER CREEK
Design - main	Concrete [1]	Design - approach		Kilometerpoint	193.8 km = 120.2 mi
6	Tee beam [04]	0	Other [00]	Year built	1920
				Year reconstructed	N/A [0000]
				Skew angle	25
				Structure Flared	
				Historical significance	Bridge is possibly eligible for the NRHP. [3]
Total length	48.8 m = 160.1 ft	Length of maximum span	11 m = 36.1 ft	Deck width, out-to-out	14.3 m = 46.9 ft
Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb	10.1 m = 33.1 ft	Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance	10.1 m = 33.1 ft	Curb or sidewalk width - left	1.5 m = 4.9 ft
Curb or sidewalk width - right	1.5 m = 4.9 ft	Deck structure type	Concrete Cast-in-Place [1]	Type of wearing surface	Bituminous [6]
Deck protection		Type of membrane/wearing surface			

Weight Limits

Bypass, detour length	Method to determine inventory rating	Load Factor(LF) [1]	Inventory rating	42.6 metric ton = 46.9 tons
0.3 km = 0.2 mi	Method to determine operating rating	Load Factor(LF) [1]	Operating rating	71.3 metric ton = 78.4 tons
Bridge posting	Equal to or above legal loads [5]	Design Load		

Functional Details

Average Daily Traffic	12000	Average daily truck traffi	3	%	Year	2017	Future average daily traffic	20820	Year	2039
Road classification	Minor Arterial (Urban) [16]		Lanes on structure	3		Approach roadway width	10.1 m = 33.1 ft			
Type of service on bridge	Highway-pedestrian [5]		Direction of traffic	1 - way traffic [1]		Bridge median				
Parallel structure designation	No parallel structure exists. [N]									
Type of service under bridge	Waterway [5]		Lanes under structure	0		Navigation control				
Navigation vertical clearanc	0 = N/A		Navigation horizontal clearance	0 = N/A						
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge	0 m = 0.0 ft					Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway	99.99 m = 328.1 ft			
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature	Feature not a highway or railroad [N]									
Minimum lateral underclearance on right	0 = N/A					Minimum lateral underclearance on left	0 = N/A			
Minimum Vertical Underclearance	0 = N/A		Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature	Feature not a highway or railroad [N]						
Appraisal ratings - underclearances	N/A [N]									

Repair and Replacement Plans

Type of work to be performed	Work done by	Work to be done by contract [1]		
Replacement of bridge or other structure because of substandard load carrying capacity or substantial bridge roadway geometry. [31]	Bridge improvement cost	1538000	Roadway improvement cost	153000
	Length of structure improvement	48.8 m = 160.1 ft	Total project cost	1691000
	Year of improvement cost estimate	2013		
	Border bridge - state		Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state	
	Border bridge - structure number			

Inspection and Sufficiency

Structure status	Open, no restriction [A]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4]
Condition ratings - superstructure	Poor [4]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Equal to present desirable criteria [8]
Condition ratings - substructure	Fair [5]	Appraisal ratings - deck geometry	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of replacement [2]
Condition ratings - deck	Fair [5]		
Scour	Bridge is scour critical; bridge foundations determined to be unstable. [3]		
Channel and channel protection	Bank is beginning to slump. River control devices and embankment protection have widespread minor damage. There is minor stream bed movement evident. Debris is restricting the channel slightly. [6]		
Appraisal ratings - water adequacy	Superior to present desirable criteria [9]	Status evaluation	Structurally deficient [1]
Pier or abutment protection		Sufficiency rating	49.2
Culverts	Not applicable. Used if structure is not a culvert. [N]		
Traffic safety features - railings			
Traffic safety features - transitions	Inspected feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]		
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail	Not applicable or a safety feature is not required. [N]		
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail ends	Not applicable or a safety feature is not required. [N]		
Inspection date	May 2017 [0517]	Designated inspection frequency	24 Months
Underwater inspection	Not needed [N]	Underwater inspection date	
Fracture critical inspection	Not needed [N]	Fracture critical inspection date	
Other special inspection	Every year [Y12]	Other special inspection date	May 2018 [0518]