## HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information							27 50 00 41	002 20 20 44
Florida [12] Hillsborough County [057]			Tampa [71000] COLUMBUS AT HILL. RIVER			27-58-00.41 = 27.966781	082-28-30.44 = -82.475122	
105504 Highway agency district: 7			Owner County Highway Agency [02] Maintenance responsibility			County Highway A	gency [02]	
Route 0	COLU	MBUS DRIVE	Toll On fre	ee road [3]	Features intersec	cted HILLSBORG	OUGH RIVER	
Design - main  Steel [3]  Movable - S	Swing [17]	Design - approach  Tee be	ete [1] eam [04]	Kilometerpoint Year built 1926 Skew angle 0	290.5 km = 180.1 r Year red Structure F	constructed 2013	3	
				Historical significan			for the NRHP. [3]	
Total length 143.3 m	= 470.2 ft Len	gth of maximum spa	n 49.7 m = 163.1 ft	Deck width, out-to	o-out 12.9 m = 42.3	3 ft Bridge road	dway width, curb-to-cu	12.2 m = 40.0 ft
Inventory Route, Total	Horizontal Clearance	12.2 m = 40.0 ft	Curb or sidewalk w	idth - left 1.2 m =	3.9 ft	Curb or side	ewalk width - right	1.2 m = 3.9 ft
Deck structure type  Concrete Cast-in-Place [1]								
Type of wearing surface Bituminous [6]								
Deck protection								
Type of membrane/we	aring surface							
Weight Limits								
Bypass, detour length	Method to determi	ine inventory rating	Load Factor(LF) [1]		nventory rating	33.3 metric ton =	= 36.6 tons	
0.3 km = 0.2 mi	Method to determi	ine operating rating	Load Factor(LF) [1]		Operating rating	45.1 metric ton =	= 49.6 tons	
	Bridge posting	Equal to or above le	gal loads [5]		Design Load MS	18 / HS 20 [5]		

Functional Details						
Average Daily Traffic 25500 Average daily tr	uck traffi 7 % Year 2018 Future average daily traffic	31875 Year 2038				
Road classification Collector (Urban) [17]	Lanes on structure 4	Approach roadway width 12.2 m = 40.0 ft				
Type of service on bridge Highway-pedestrian [5]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2]	Bridge median				
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	e exists. [N]					
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control	Navigation control on waterway (bridge permit required). [1]				
Navigation vertical clearanc 3.1 m = 10.2 ft	Navigation horizontal clearance 15.2 m = 4	19.9 ft				
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift brid	dge 0 m = 0.0 ft Minimum vertical clea	arance over bridge roadway 99.99 m = 328.1 ft				
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Fe	eature not a highway or railroad [N]					
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A	Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A  Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A					
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A	Minimum Vertical Underclearance   0 = N/A   Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature   Feature not a highway or railroad [N]					
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]						
Repair and Replacement Plans						
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]					
Replacement of bridge or other structure because of substandard load carrying capacity or substantial						
bridge roadway geometry. [31]	Length of structure improvement 143.3 m = 470.2 ft	Total project cost 5023000				
	Year of improvement cost estimate 2013					
	Border bridge - state	Forder bridge - percent responsibility of other state				
	Border bridge - structure number					

Inspection and Suf	fficiency								
Structure status	Open, no res	striction [A]		ppraisal ratings - tructural	Somewhat better than minimum adequacy to tolerate being left in place as is [5]				
Condition ratings - superstructure Fair [5]				ppraisal ratings - padway alignment	Better than	an present minimum criteria [7]			
Condition ratings - substructure Satis		Satisfactory [6]		Appraisal ratings - deck geometry	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of replacement [2]				
Condition ratings - deck Good [		Good [7]	(						
Scour		Countermeasu	Countermeasures have been installed to mitigate an existing problem with scour. [7]						
Channel and channel protection			Bank protection is in need of minor repairs. River control devices and embankment protection have a little minor damage.  Banks and/or channel have minor amounts of drift. [7]						
Appraisal ratings - water adequacy		Better than pro	tter than present minimum criteria [7]			Status evaluation			
Pier or abutment protection		In place and fu	n place and functioning [2]			Sufficiency rating 57.5			
		if structure is not a cul	vert. [N]						
Traffic safety featu	ıres - railings								
•		Inpected feature	npected feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]						
Traffic safety featu									
Traffic safety featu									
Inspection date September 2017 [0917] Designated insp			esignated inspection			Months			
		Every two years [Y24]			ction date	August 2017 [0817]			
		Every year [Y12]			spection date				
Other special inspection Every		Every year [Y12]	y year [Y12]		ection date	September 2018 [0918]			