## HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information						30-20-00.00 =	081-39-07.80
Florida [12]	Duval County [031	1]	Jacksonville [35000]	0.2 MI SOUTH OF 1ST	ST	30-20-00.00 =	= -81.652167
724172	Highway age	ency district 2	Owner City or Municipa	al Highway Agency [04]	Maintenance responsib	City or Municipal H	ighway Agency [04]
Route 0	MA	RKET STREET	Toll On fre	ee road [3] Fe	eatures intersected HOG	AN CREEK	
Design - Concrete [ main Slab [01]	1]	Design - approach  0 Other	· [00]	Year built 1929 Skew angle 9	Year reconstructed Structure Flared		
Total length 7.4 m = Inventory Route, Total		Length of maximum space 17.7 m = 58.1 ft	an 7.4 m = 24.3 ft  Curb or sidewalk w	Historical significance  Deck width, out-to-ou  idth - left  2.7 m = 8.9	t 18.3 m = 60.0 ft Brid	eligible for the NRHP. [3] ge roadway width, curb-to-cu or sidewalk width - right	urb 12.2 m = 40.0 ft 2.7 m = 8.9 ft
Deck structure type	THORZOMAI Cicarai	Concrete Cast-in-Pla		2.7 111 - 0.7	Tt Cub	or sidewalk width a right	2.7 111 – 0.7 10
Type of wearing surfa	ce	Bituminous [6]					
Deck protection  Type of membrane/w	earing surface						
Weight Limits							
Bypass, detour lengt 0 km = 0.0 mi	Wicthod to dete	ermine inventory rating ermine operating rating	` ' '		, ,	ric ton = 13.0 tons ric ton = 21.0 tons	
	Bridge posting			Des	ign Load		

Functional Details									
Average Daily Traffic 1551 Average daily to	ruck traffi 10 % Year 2012 Future average daily traffic 2691 Year 2034								
Road classification Local (Urban) [19]	Lanes on structure 2 Approach roadway width 12.2 m = 40.0 ft								
Type of service on bridge Highway-pedestrian [5]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2]  Bridge median								
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure exists. [N]									
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control								
Navigation vertical clearance  0 = N/A  Navigation horizontal clearance  0 = N/A									
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge 0 m = 0.0 ft  Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 99.99 m = 328.1 ft									
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]									
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A	Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A								
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A	Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]								
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]									
Repair and Replacement Plans									
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]								
Bridge rehabilitation because of general structure deterioration or inadequate strength. [35]	Bridge improvement cost 154000 Roadway improvement cost 38000								
deterioration of madequate strongth. [55]	Length of structure improvement 12.5 m = 41.0 ft Total project cost 231000								
	Year of improvement cost estimate								
	Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state								
	Border bridge - structure number								

Inspection and Sufficiency							
Structure status Posted for load [P]		Appraisal ratings - structural	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of corrrective action [3]				
Condition ratings - superstructure	Satisfactory [6]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Equal to present minimum criteria [6]				
Condition ratings - substructure	Good [7]	Appraisal ratings - deck geometry	Better than present minimum criteria [7]				
Condition ratings - deck	Satisfactory [6]						
Scour	Bridge foundations determine	d to be stable for the asse	essed or calcu	ulated scour conditior	n. [8]		
Channel and channel protection	Bank protection is in need of Banks and/or channel have n		rol devices and	d embankment prote	ction have a little minor dam	age.	
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	Equal to present minimum cr	Equal to present minimum criteria [6]		Status evaluation	Functionally obsolete [2]		
Pier or abutment protection				Sufficiency rating	62.6		
Culverts Not applicable. Used  Traffic safety features - railings	if structure is not a culvert. [N]						
Traffic safety features - transition							
Traffic safety features - approach							
Traffic safety features - approach							
Inspection date July 2012 [07]	712] Designated inspe	ection frequency 24	Mo	onths			
Underwater inspection Not needed [N]		Underwater inspec	ction date				
Fracture critical inspection	Not needed [N]	Fracture critical inspection date					
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special inspection date					