HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information							27-56-32.74 =	082-48-14.39
Florida [12]	Pinellas County [1	03]	Belleair [05075]	0.5MI S OF BELLE	EVIEW BLVD		27.942428	= -82.803997
150062	Highway age	ency district: 7	Owner Town or Towns	hip Highway Agency	[03] Maintenance	responsibility	Town or Township	Highway Agency [03]
Route 233	INE	DIAN ROCKS ROAD	Toll On fre	ee road [3]	Features intersec	ted IKES CREE	K	
Design - Concrete [1 main Arch - Deck		Design - approach Other	[00]	Kilometerpoint Year built 1927 Skew angle Historical significal	Structure FI	onstructed N/A ared	[0000] for the NRHP. [3]	
Total length 17.4 m =	= 57.1 ft l	ength of maximum sp	an 17.4 m = 57.1 ft		to-out $9.4 \text{ m} = 30.8$. , , ,	lway width, curb-to-cu	urb 7.5 m = 24.6 ft
Inventory Route, Total	Horizontal Clearar	7.5 m = 24.6 ft	Curb or sidewalk w	ridth - left 0 m = 0	0.0 ft	Curb or side	walk width - right	1 m = 3.3 ft
Deck structure type		Not applicable [N]						
Type of wearing surface	ce	Not applicable (applie	es only to structures with no	deck) [N]				
Deck protection		Not applicable (applie	es only to structures with no	deck) [N]				
Type of membrane/we	earing surface	Not applicable (applie	es only to structures with no	deck) [N]				
Weight Limits								
Bypass, detour length 0.3 km = 0.2 mi	Wictillou to dote	rmine inventory rating rmine operating rating	Load Factor(LF) [1] Load Factor(LF) [1]		Inventory rating Operating rating	99.9 metric ton = 99.9 metric ton =		
	Bridge posting	Equal to or above le	egal loads [5]		Design Load			

Functional Details	
Average Daily Traffic 14000 Average daily to	ruck traffi 3 % Year 2018 Future average daily traffic 24290 Year 2040
Road classification Collector (Urban) [17]	Lanes on structure 2 Approach roadway width 7.5 m = 24.6 ft
Type of service on bridge Highway-pedestrian [5]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2] Bridge median
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bri	idge 0 m = 0.0 ft Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 99.99 m = 328.1 ft
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature F	eature not a highway or railroad [N]
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A	Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A	Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]	
David and David and Division	
Repair and Replacement Plans	West days by
Type of work to be performed	Work done by
	Bridge improvement cost 0 Roadway improvement cost 0
	Length of structure improvement 0 m = 0.0 ft Total project cost 0
	Year of improvement cost estimate
	Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state
	Border bridge - structure number

Inspection and Sufficiency									
Structure status Open, no restriction [A]		Appraisal ratings - structural	Better than present minimum criteria [7]						
Condition ratings - superstructure Good [7]		Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Better than present minimum criteria [7]						
Condition ratings - substructure	Good [7]	Appraisal ratings -	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of replacement [2]						
Condition ratings - deck	Not Applicable [N]	deck geometry							
Scour	Bridge foundations determine	Bridge foundations determined to be stable for assessed or calculated scour condition. [5]							
Channel and channel protection	There are no noticeable or no	There are no noticeable or noteworthy deficiencies which affect the condition of the channel. [9]							
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	Better than present minimum	Better than present minimum criteria [7]		evaluation	Functionally obsolete [2]				
Pier or abutment protection			Sufficier	ncy rating	75.5				
	if structure is not a culvert. [N]								
Traffic safety features - railings Traffic safety features - transition									
Traffic safety features - approach									
Traffic safety features - approach									
Inspection date January 1999 [199] Designated inspection frequency 24 Months									
Underwater inspection Not needed [N]		Underwater inspec	ction date						
Fracture critical inspection	Not needed [N]	eeded [N] Fracture critical ins							
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special inspection date							