HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

2019 Inventory

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format. Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information							27-56-47.79 =	082-27-39.64
Florida [12] Hillsborough County [057])57]	Tampa [71000] 0.7 MI W OF US-		41		27.946608	= -82.461011
100100Highway agency district:7		Owner State Highway A	Owner State Highway Agency [01] Mai		responsibility	State Highway Agency [01]		
Route 60	SR 60 k	KENNEDY BLVD	Toll On free road [3] Features intersected HILLSE			ted HILLSBORO	UGH RIVER	
Design - Steel [3] main 1 Movable - E	Bascule [16]	Design - approach 2 Arch -	ete [1] Deck [11]	Kilometerpoint49Year built1913Skew angle23Historical significance	Structure Fl	constructed 1995		
Total length 98.1 m =		th of maximum spa 18.1 m = 59.4 ft	n 36.9 m = 121.1 ft Curb or sidewalk wi	Deck width, out-to-o	ut 23.8 m = 78.7		way width, curb-to-cu walk width - right	rb 18.1 m = 59.4 ft 1.4 m = 4.6 ft
Deck structure type Type of wearing surface	Cor	ncrete Cast-in-Plac					waik width - right	1.4 m – 4.0 n
Deck protection Type of membrane/we	earing surface							
Weight Limits								
Bypass, detour length 0.3 km = 0.2 mi			Load Factor(LF) [1] Load Factor(LF) [1]		Inventory rating22 metric ton = 24.2Operating rating36.7 metric ton = 40			
	Bridge posting E	equal to or above le	gal loads [5]	De	sign Load MS	18 / HS 20 [5]		

Functional Details						
Average Daily Traffic 36500 Average daily tr	uck traffi 4 % Year 2018 F	uture average daily traffic 6	3328 Year 2038]		
Road classification Other Principal Arterial (Urban)	[14] Lanes on structure 5		Approach roadway width	18.1 m = 59.4 ft		
Type of service on bridge Highway-pedestrian [5]	Direction of traffic 2 - way	traffic [2]	Bridge median			
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	e exists. [N]					
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0	Navigation control Na	vigation control on waterway	/ (bridge permit required). [1]		
Navigation vertical clearanc 3.3 m = 10.8 ft	Navigation horizor	ntal clearance 25.6 m = 84.0 ft				
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift brid	dge 0 m = 0.0 ft	Minimum vertical clearance	e over bridge roadway 5.6	1 m = 18.4 ft		
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Fe	eature not a highway or railroad [N]					
Minimum lateral underclearance on right $0 = N/A$		Minimum lateral undercleara	nce on left 0 = N/A			
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A	Minimum vertical un	nderclearance reference feature	e Feature not a highway or r	ailroad [N]		
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]]			
Danair and Danlagement Diang						
Repair and Replacement Plans						
Type of work to be performed	Work done by					
	Bridge improvement cost 0	Roadway improv	vement cost 0			
	Length of structure improvement	0 m = 0.0 ft Total	project cost 0			
	Year of improvement cost estimate					
	Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state					
	Border bridge - structure number					

Inspection and Sufficien	су							
Structure status Open, no restriction [A]				praisal ratings - uctural	Somewhat better than minimum adequacy to tolerate being left in place as is [5]			
Condition ratings - supers	ondition ratings - superstructure Satisfactory [6]			ppraisal ratings - adway alignment	Equal to present desirable criteria [8]			
Condition ratings - substructure Satisfactory [6]			ppraisal ratings -	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of replacement [2]				
Condition ratings - deck	ondition ratings - deck Good [7]			ck geometry				
Scour		Bridge is scour critical; bridge foundations determined to be unstable. [3]						
Channel and channel pro	tection		cted or well vegetal n a stable conditior		evices such a	s spur dikes and emb	ankment protection are not	
Appraisal ratings - water adequacy		Better than pres	Better than present minimum criteria [7]			Status evaluation	Functionally obsolete [2]	_
Pier or abutment protection		None present but re-evaluation suggested [5]				Sufficiency rating	55	
Culverts Not applicable	. Used if strue	icture is not a culve	ert. [N]					
Traffic safety features - r	ailings							
Traffic safety features - t	ransitions							
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail Not appli			Not applicable or a	ble or a safety feature is not required. [N]				
Traffic safety features - a	approach guar	rdrail ends	Not applicable or a	a safety feature is no	ot required. [N]		
Inspection date April	2018 [0418]	Des	signated inspection	frequency 24	Μ	onths		
Underwater inspection	Every	y two years [Y24]		Underwater inspec	ction date	March 2018 [03	18]	
Fracture critical inspection Not needed [N]				Fracture critical ins	al inspection date			
Fracture childal inspect					spection date			