HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Info	ormation										27-26-39.25 =	082-41-16.56
Florida [12] Manatee County [081]			Longboa	Longboat Key [41150] 1.7MI SOUTH OF SR 684					27.444236	= -82.687933		
130057 Highway agency district: 1			Owner	Owner State Highway Agency [01] Maintenance responsibility			State Highway Age	ency [01]				
Route 789 SR 789				Toll On free road [3] Features intersected LONGBOA				AT KEY PASS				
Design - Steel [3] main			Design - approach		ssed concrete [5]		oint 73	1.4 km = 453 Year	5 mi	05		
1	1 Movable - Bascule [16] 43 Stri		43	3 St	Stringer/Multi-beam or girder [02]		Skew angle	e 0	Structure	e Flared		
			Historical		Historical s	significance	icance Bridge is not eligible for t		r the NRHP. [5]			
Total length 649 m = 2129.4 ft Length of maximum span 24.7 m = 81.0 ft Deck width, out-to-out 11.4 m = 37.4 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 7.3 m = 24.0 ft												
Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 7.3 m = 24.0 ft Curb or sidewalk width - left 1.5							1.5 m = 4.	9 ft	Curb or si	dewalk width - right	1.5 m = 4.9 ft	
Deck structure type Concrete Cast-in-Place [1]												
Type of w	Type of wearing surface											
Deck protection												
Type of membrane/wearing surface												
Weight Li	imits											
J.				mine inventory rating Load Factor(LF)		ad Factor(LF) [1]	Inve		entory rating	entory rating 19.3 metric ton = 21.2 tons		
0.2 km = 0.1 mi Method to determin			o determine (mine operating rating Load Factor(LF) [1]				Ор	erating rating	30 metric ton	= 33.0 tons	
Bridge posting Equal to or above le			ve legal loads	gal loads [5]			Design Load MS 18 / HS 20 [5]					

Functional Details							
Average Daily Traffic 11805 Average daily tr	uck traffi 5 % Year 2018 Future avera	nge daily traffic 14756 Year 2038					
Road classification Collector (Urban) [17]	Lanes on structure 2	Approach roadway width 9 m = 29.5 ft					
Type of service on bridge Highway-pedestrian [5]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2]	Bridge median					
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure exists. [N]							
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navi	Mavigation control on waterway (bridge permit required). [1]					
Navigation vertical clearance 5.1 m = 16.7 ft Navigation horizontal clearance 14 m = 45.9 ft							
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge 0 m = 0.0 ft Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 99.99 m = 328.1 ft							
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]							
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A	Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A						
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]							
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]	Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]						
Denois and Danlesson and Diago							
Repair and Replacement Plans							
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]						
Other structural work, including hydraulic replacements. [38]	Bridge improvement cost 150000	Roadway improvement cost 15000					
4	Length of structure improvement 64.9 m = 2	12.9 ft Total project cost 165000					
	Year of improvement cost estimate						
	Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state						
	Border bridge - structure number						

Inspection and Sufficiency								
Structure status Open, no res	striction [A]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4]					
Condition ratings - superstructure	Satisfactory [6]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Equal to present desirable criteria [8]					
Condition ratings - substructure	Fair [5]	Appraisal ratings -	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of replacement [2]					
Condition ratings - deck	Satisfactory [6]	deck geometry						
Scour	Countermeasures have been	Countermeasures have been installed to mitigate an existing problem with scour. [7]						
Channel and channel protection		Bank protection is in need of minor repairs. River control devices and embankment protection have a little minor damage. Banks and/or channel have minor amounts of drift. [7]						
Appraisal ratings - water adequad	Superior to present desirable	criteria [9]	Status evaluation Functionally obsolete [2]					
Pier or abutment protection	In place but in a deteriorated	condition [3]	Sufficiency rating 43.1					
Culverts Not applicable. Used	if structure is not a culvert. [N]							
Traffic safety features - railings								
Traffic safety features - transition	ns							
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail							
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail ends							
Inspection date February 2018 [0218] Designated inspection frequency 24 Months								
•	Every two years [Y24]	Underwater inspec						
·	Every year [Y12]	Fracture critical ins						
Other special inspection	Every year [Y12]	Other special insp	February 2018 [0218]					