HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information							27-38-11.80 =	001 E0 E0 20
Florida [12]	Hardee County [0	49]	Unknown [00000]	1.6 MI W OF US-17			27.636611	081-50-58.20 = -81.849500
60034	Highway ago	ency district: 1	Owner County Highwa	y Agency [02]	Maintenance i	responsibility	County Highway A	gency [02]
Route 664	CR	2-664	Toll On fro	ee road [3]	Features intersect	ed LITTLE PA	YNE CREEK	
Design - Concrete [main 3 Arch - Dec		Design - approach 0 Other	[00]	Kilometerpoint 1 Year built 1915 Skew angle 0 Historical significance	Structure Fla	onstructed N/A	[0000]	
Total length 29.3 m Inventory Route, Tota		Length of maximum sp	9.8 m = 32.2 ft Curb or sidewalk w	Deck width, out-to-	-out 5.3 m = 17.4 f	Bridge roa	dway width, curb-to-c	urb 4.9 m = 16.1 ft 0 m = 0.0 ft
Deck structure type		Not applicable [N]			U II	Culb of slut	ewaik widin - right	0 III = 0.0 It
Type of wearing surfa	ice		es only to structures with no					
Deck protection		Not applicable (applied	es only to structures with no	deck) [N]				
Type of membrane/we	earing surface	Not applicable (applie	es only to structures with no	deck) [N]				
Weight Limits								
Bypass, detour lengt 0.1 km = 0.1 mi	Wicthod to dete	ermine inventory rating ermine operating rating	Load Factor(LF) [1] Load Factor(LF) [1]		, ,	33 metric ton = 55.2 metric ton		
	Bridge posting	Equal to or above lo	egal loads [5]		Design Load			

Functional Details									
Average Daily Traffic 500 Average daily tr	uck traffi 5 % Year 2016 Future average daily traffic 868 Year 2038								
Road classification Major Collector (Rural) [07]	Lanes on structure 1 Approach roadway width 5.1 m = 16.7 ft								
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic One lane bridge for 2 - way traffic [3] Bridge median								
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure exists. [N]									
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control								
Navigation vertical clearance 0 = N/A Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A									
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge 0 m = 0.0 ft Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 99.99 m = 328.1 ft									
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]									
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A									
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]									
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]									
Repair and Replacement Plans									
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]								
Replacement of bridge or other structure because of substandard load carrying capacity or substantial	Bridge improvement cost 402000 Roadway improvement cost 33000								
bridge roadway geometry. [31]	Length of structure improvement 29.3 m = 96.1 ft Total project cost 435000								
	Year of improvement cost estimate 2013								
	Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state								
	Border bridge - structure number								

Inspection and Su	fficiency							
Structure status	Open, no res	striction [A]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4]				
Condition ratings -	ondition ratings - superstructure Poor [4]		Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Somewhat better than minimum adequacy to tolerate being left in is [5]			g left in place as	
Condition ratings - substructure Poor [-		Poor [4]	Appraisal ratings -	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of replacement [2]				
Condition ratings -	ndition ratings - deck Not Applicable [N]		deck geometry					
Scour		Bridge is scou	ur critical; bridge foundations determined	to be unstable. [3				
Channel and chann	nel protection		pankment protection is severely undermi he channel. [4]	ned. River contro	l devices have severe dan	nage. Large depo	sits of	
Appraisal ratings - water adequacy		Better than p	resent minimum criteria [7]	Sta	tus evaluation Structur	Structurally deficient [1]		
Pier or abutment protection				Sui	fficiency rating 60.5	60.5		
Culverts Not app	olicable. Used	if structure is not a cu	ılvert. [N]					
Traffic safety featu	ures - railings							
Traffic safety features - transitions Inpec		Inpected feature meets currently acce	ted feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]					
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail Inp		Inpected feature meets currently acce	npected feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]					
Traffic safety featu	ures - approacl	n guardrail ends	Inpected feature meets currently acce	eptable standards.	[1]			
Inspection date February 2018 [0218] Designated			esignated inspection frequency 24	spection frequency 24 Months				
Underwater inspection Every ye		Every year [Y12]	Underwater inspe	ction date	July 2018 [0718]			
Fracture critical inspection Not no		Not needed [N]	Fracture critical in	spection date				
Other special inspection Every		Every year [Y12]	Other special insp	ection date	ection date August 2018 [0818]			