## HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information						27-00-41.77 =	082-24-36.17		
Florida [12] Sarasota County [115]			Manasota Key [42750] 1.6MI W/O SR-776			27.011603	= -82.410047		
170058 Highway agency district: 1			Owner County Highway Agency [02] Maintenance responsibility			County Highway Aç	County Highway Agency [02]		
Route 774 CR-774			Toll On free road [3] Features intersected INTRACOA			ACOASTAL WATERWAY			
Design - Steel [3]		Design - approach	stressed concrete [5]		n = 0.0 mi				
	Bascule [16]		nger/Multi-beam or girder [02]	Year built 1964	Year reconstructed  Structure Flared	N/A [0000]			
				Skew angle 0 Historical significance					
Total length 187.1 m	n = 613.9 ft Leng	yth of maximum s	pan 40.7 m = 133.5 ft	Deck width, out-to-out	t 11.5 m = 37.7 ft Bridg	e roadway width, curb-to-cu	7.9 m = 25.9 ft		
Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 7.9 m = 25.9 ft Curb or sidewalk width - left			dth - left 1.2 m = 3.9	ft Curb o	or sidewalk width - right	1.2 m = 3.9 ft			
Deck structure type	Со	ncrete Cast-in-Pl	ace [1]						
Type of wearing surfa	ce								
Deck protection									
Type of membrane/we	earing surface								
Weight Limits									
Bypass, detour length Method to determine inventory rating			g Load Factor(LF) [1]	Load Factor(LF) [1] Inve		c ton = 24.8 tons			
2.4 km = 1.5 mi	Method to determine	ne operating ratin	g Load Factor(LF) [1]	Ope	perating rating 37.5 metric ton = 41.3 tons				
Bridge posting Equal to or above le			legal loads [5]	Des	ign Load				

Functional Details								
Average Daily Traffic 2500 Average daily to	ruck traffi 3 % Year 2018 Future average daily traffic 3125 Year 2038							
Road classification Collector (Urban) [17]	Lanes on structure 2 Approach roadway width 9.1 m = 29.9 ft							
Type of service on bridge Highway-pedestrian [5]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2]  Bridge median							
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure exists. [N]								
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control Navigation control on waterway (bridge permit required). [1]							
Navigation vertical clearanc 6.6 m = 21.7 ft	Navigation horizontal clearance 27.3 m = 89.6 ft							
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge 0 m = 0.0 ft  Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 5.46 m = 17.9 ft								
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature F	eature not a highway or railroad [N]							
Minimum lateral underclearance on right $0 = N/A$	Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A							
Minimum Vertical Underclearance   0 = N/A   Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature   Feature not a highway or railroad [N]								
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]								
Repair and Replacement Plans	West days by							
Type of work to be performed	Work done by							
	Bridge improvement cost 0 Roadway improvement cost 0							
	Length of structure improvement 0 m = 0.0 ft Total project cost 0							
	Year of improvement cost estimate							
	Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state							
	Border bridge - structure number							

Inspection and Suf	fficiency									
Structure status	Open, no res	en, no restriction [A]		ppraisal ratings - tructural	Somewh is [5]	Somewhat better than minimum adequacy to tolerate being left in place as is [5]				
Condition ratings -	ondition ratings - superstructure Fair [5]			Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment		Equal to present minimum criteria [6]				
Condition ratings -	ondition ratings - substructure Good [7]			Appraisal ratings -	Basically	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of corrrective action [3]				
Condition ratings -	deck	Good [7]	C	eck geometry						
Scour		Bridge is scou	ur critical; bridge four	ndations determine	ed to be unstak	ole. [3]				
Channel and channel protection			on is in need of mino channel have minor			and emba	inkment prote	ection have a little minor	damage.	
Appraisal ratings - water adequacy		Equal to pres	Equal to present desirable criteria [8]			Status	Functionally obsolete [2]			
Pier or abutment protection		In place and	In place and functioning [2]			Sufficie	Sufficiency rating 46.5			
Culverts Not app	licable. Used	if structure is not a cu	ulvert. [N]			-				
Traffic safety features - railings										
Traffic safety featu	ıres - transitior	ns								
Traffic safety featu	ıres - approacl	h guardrail								
Traffic safety featu	ıres - approacl	n guardrail ends								
Inspection date	August 2017	[0817]	Designated inspection	n frequency 2	24	Months				
Underwater inspection Every		Every two years [Y24	two years [Y24]		r inspection date		July 2017 [0717]			
Fracture critical inspection Every		Every year [Y12]	year [Y12]		Fracture critical inspection date		August 2018 [0818]			
Other special inspection Every		Every year [Y12]	year [Y12]		Other special inspection date		August 2018 [0818]			