## HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information						30-19-38.00 =	081-37-21.00
Florida [12] Duval County [031]			Jacksonville [35000] SR-10A / ST. JOHNS RIVER			30.327222	= -81.622500
720076	Highway agend	cy district 2	Owner State Highway A	Agency [01]	Maintenance responsibil	ity State Highway Age	ncy [01]
Route #Num!	SR-10	0A (MATHEWS)	Toll On fre	e road [3] Fe	eatures intersected ST. JC	OHNS RIVER & USA-1	
Design - Steel continuation		Design - approach Steel [3		Year built 1953	0.6 km = 1314.8 mi  Year reconstructed	N/A [0000]	
6 Truss - Thr	u [10]	59 Girder	and floorbeam system [03]	Skew angle 0	Structure Flared		
				Historical significance	Bridge is possibly e	ligible for the NRHP. [3]	
Total length 2248.1	m = 7376.0 ft Ler	ngth of maximum spa	n 246.9 m = 810.1 ft	Deck width, out-to-out	t 17.7 m = 58.1 ft Bridge	e roadway width, curb-to-cu	urb 14.9 m = 48.9 ft
Inventory Route, Tota	l Horizontal Clearance	7.5 m = 24.6 ft	Curb or sidewalk wi	dth - left $0.6 \text{ m} = 2.0$	ft Curb o	or sidewalk width - right	0.6 m = 2.0 ft
Deck structure type	C	Concrete Cast-in-Place	e [1]				
Type of wearing surfa	се						
Deck protection							
Type of membrane/we	earing surface						
Weight Limits							
Bypass, detour lengt	h Method to determ	nine inventory rating	Load and Resistance	e Factor(LRFR) [3] Inve	entory rating 23.9 metric	c ton = 26.3 tons	
0.8 km = 0.5 mi	Method to determ	nine operating rating	Load and Resistance	e Factor(LRFR) [3] Ope	erating rating 32.7 metric	c ton = 36.0 tons	
	Bridge posting	Equal to or above leg	gal loads [5]	Des	ign Load HL93 [A]		

Functional Details				
Average Daily Traffic 67500 Average daily tr	uck traffi 2 % Year 2011 Fut	ure average daily traffic 11	7113 Year 2033	3
Road classification Principal Arterial - Other Freewa	ys or Exp Lanes on structure 4		Approach roadway widt	h 14.9 m = 48.9 ft
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic 2 - way tra	affic [2]	Bridge median	Closed median with non-mountable bar
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	e exists. [N]			
Type of service under bridge Highway-waterway [6]	Lanes under structure 12	Navigation control Nav	vigation control on water	way (bridge permit required). [1]
Navigation vertical clearanc 46.3 m = 151.9 ft	Navigation horizonta	al clearance 214.9 m = 705.1	ft	
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift brid	dge 0 m = 0.0 ft	Minimum vertical clearance	over bridge roadway	6.28 m = 20.6 ft
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Hi	ghway beneath structure [H]			
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 1.2 m = 3.9	ft	Minimum lateral underclearand	ce on left 1.5 m = 4.9 ft	
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 4.42 m = 14.5 ft	Minimum vertical und	erclearance reference feature	Highway beneath struc	cture [H]
Appraisal ratings - underclearances Basically intoler	able requiring high priority of corrrective action	on [3]		
Danair and Danlacoment Dlana				
Repair and Replacement Plans		. 543		
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contra	act [1]		
Other structural work, including hydraulic replacements. [38]	Bridge improvement cost 3180000	Roadway improve	ement cost 0	
. spiassimona. [es]	Length of structure improvement 22	27.4 m = 746.1 ft Total p	project cost 409300	00
	Year of improvement cost estimate			
	Border bridge - state	Border I	bridge - percent respons	ibility of other state
	Border bridge - structure number			

Inspection and Sufficien	ncy							
Structure status Ope	en, no restric	tion [A]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Somewhat better than minimum adequacy to tolerate being left in place as is [5]				
Condition ratings - superstructure Fair [5]		Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Equal to present desirable criteria [8]					
Condition ratings - substructure Satisf		atisfactory [6]	Appraisal ratings -	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of replacement [2]				
Condition ratings - deck	Fa	nir [5]	deck geometry					
Scour		Bridge is scour critic	al; bridge foundations determined	to be unstable	[3]			
Channel and channel protection			Bank is beginning to slump. River control devices and embankment protection have widespread minor damage. There is minor stream bed movement evident. Debris is restricting the channel slightly. [6]					
Appraisal ratings - water adequacy		Equal to present des	sirable criteria [8]		Status evaluation Functionally obsolete [2]			
Pier or abutment protection		In place and function	ning [2]		Sufficiency rating 41.9			
Culverts Not applicable	le. Used if st	ructure is not a culvert. [	N]					
Traffic safety features -	railings							
Traffic safety features -	transitions							
Traffic safety features -	approach gu	ardrail						
Traffic safety features -	approach gu	ardrail ends						
Inspection date Aug	gust 2011 [08	Designa	ted inspection frequency 24	Mo	onths			
Underwater inspection Every two years [		ery two years [Y24]	Underwater inspec	ction date	August 2011 [0811]			
Fracture critical inspection Every		ery two years [Y24]	Fracture critical in:	spection date	ection date August 2011 [0811]			
Other special inspection Not no		needed [N]	Other special insp	ection date				